

**The Ramakrishna Mission
Institute of Culture Library**

Presented by

Dr. Baridbaran Mukerji

RMICL-8

6

19307

TRÜBNER & CO.'S ORIENTAL SERIES.

MESSRS. TRÜBNER & Co. beg to announce the forthcoming *ORIENTAL SERIES*, in which will be collected, as far as possible, all extant information and research upon the *History, Religions, Languages, Literature, &c., of Ancient India, China, and the East* in general.

THE ORIENTAL SERIES will be on a comprehensive design, and no labour or expense will be spared to render the undertaking worthy of its subject. Messrs. TRÜBNER & Co. have already secured the services of eminent Eastern students and writers; and while the labour proposed must necessarily prove vast, they intend to accomplish it by working with many able hands over the whole field, under careful and well-organised Editorship.

THE FOLLOWING WORKS ARE NOW READY:—

VOL. I.

Second Edition. Post 8vo, cloth, pp. xvi. and 427. Price 16s.

ESSAYS ON THE SACRED LANGUAGE, WRITINGS, AND RELIGION OF THE PARISIS.

By MARTIN HAUG, Ph.D.,

Late of the Universities of Tübingen, Göttingen, and Bonn; Superintendent of Sanskrit Studies, and Professor of Sanskrit in the Poona College; Honorary Member of the Bombay Branch Royal Asiatic Society, &c.

Edited by Dr. E. W. WEST.

Essay I.—History of the Researches into the Sacred Writings and Religion of the Parsis, from the Earliest Times down to the Present.

Essay II.—Languages of the Parsi Scriptures.

Essay III.—The Zend-Avesta, or the Scripture of the Parsis.

Essay IV.—The Zoroastrian Religion, as to its Origin and Development.

TRÜBNER'S ORIENTAL SERIES.

VOL. II.

Post 8vo, cloth, pp. viii. and 176. Price 7s. 6d.

**SCRIPTURAL TEXTS FROM THE BUDDHIST CANON
COMMONLY KNOWN AS DHAMMAPADA.**

Translated from the Chinese by S. BEAL, B.A., Professor of Chinese, University College, London.

Among the great body of books comprising the Chinese Buddhist Canon, presented by the Japanese Government to the Library of the India Office, Mr. Beal discovered a work bearing the title of "Law Verses, or Scriptural Texts," which on examination was seen to resemble the Pali version of Dhammapada in many particulars. It was further discovered that the original recension of the Pali Text found its way into China in the Third Century Anno Domini, where the work of translation was finished, and afterwards thirteen additional sections added. The Dhammapada, as hitherto known by the Pali Text edition, as edited by Fauböll, by Max Müller's English, and Albrecht Weber's German translations, consists only of twenty-six chapters or sections, whilst the Chinese version or rather recension, as now translated by Mr. Beal, consists of thirty-nine sections. The students of Pali who possess Fauböll's Text, or either of the above-named translations, will therefore needs want Mr. Beal's English rendering of the Chinese version; the thirteen above-named additional sections not being accessible to them in any other form: for, even if they understand Chinese, the Chinese original would be unobtainable by them.

VOL. III.

In One Volume, 8vo, pp. 368, handsomely bound in cloth.

THE HISTORY OF INDIAN LITERATURE.

By ALBRECHT WEBER.

Translated from the German by JOHN MANN, M.A., and THEODOR ZACHARIAE, Ph.D., with the sanction of the Author.

Dr. BURLER, Inspector of Schools in India, writes:—"I am extremely glad to learn that you are about to publish an English Translation of Professor A. Weber's 'History of Indian Literature.' When I was Professor of Oriental Languages in Elphinstone College, I frequently felt the want of such a work to which I could refer the students. I trust that the work which you are now publishing will become a class-book in all the Indian Colleges, as it is the first and only scientific one which deals with the whole field of Vedic, Sanskrit, and Prakrit literature."

Professor COWELL, of Cambridge, writes:—"The English translation of Professor A. Weber's 'History of Indian Literature' will be of the greatest use to those who wish to take a comprehensive survey of all that the Hindoo mind has achieved. It will be especially useful to the students in our Indian Colleges and Universities. I used to long for such a book when I was teaching in Calcutta. Hindu students are intensely interested in the history of Sanskrit literature, and this volume will supply them with all they want on the subject. I hope it will be made a text-book wherever Sanskrit and English are taught."

J. EGERTON, Professor of Sanskrit and Comparative Philology in the University of Edinburgh, writes:—"I am delighted to hear that the English translation of Professor Weber's 'Lectures on Hindu Literature' is at last ready for publication. The great want of a general critical survey of Sanskrit literature in English, such as Professor Weber gave to German students more than a quarter of a century ago, must have been felt by all scholars engaged in teaching Sanskrit in British and American Universities. The translation, I have no doubt, will be welcomed even more cordially by Hindu students, to whom, with few exceptions, Professor Weber's Lectures must hitherto have been a scale-book. Hindu scholars and students have expressed to me repeatedly how much the

TRÜBNER'S ORIENTAL SERIES.

feel the want of English translations of German works such as Weber's Lectures and Lassen's 'Indian Antiquities,' an acquaintance with which is indeed indispensable in dealing with questions of Sanskrit Literature. From what I have seen in proof of the English edition, I may say that the translation seemed to me exceedingly well done, and that it does great credit to the gentlemen engaged on it."

Dr R. Rost, Librarian of the India Office, writes:—"I have carefully examined and compared with the original German the English translation of pp. 1-24 of Weber's 'Vorlesungen,' and am able to state that it is more than a mere faithful reflex of the original work, and that it has the advantage of a very readable style and great clearness of expression. If the remainder of the translation is executed as carefully and as conscientiously as is the portion I have read, the whole will reflect the greatest credit upon the scholars who have been engaged upon it."

Professor WHITNEY, Yale College, Newhaven, Conn., U.S.A., writes:—"I am the more interested in your enterprise of the publication of Weber's Sanskrit Literature in an English version, as I was one of the class to whom the work was originally given in the form of Academic Lectures. At their first appearance they were by far the most learned and able treatment of their subject; and with their recent additions, they still maintain decidedly the same rank. Wherever the language, and institutions, and history of India are studied, they must be used and referred to as authority."

VOL. IV.

In One Volume, post 8vo,

THE MODERN LANGUAGES OF THE EAST INDIES.

Accompanied by Two Language Maps, Classified List of Languages and Dialects, and a List of Authorities for each Language.

By ROBERT CUST.

The Author has attempted to fill up a vacuum, the inconvenience of which pressed itself on his notice. Much had been written about the Languages of the East Indies, but the extent of our present knowledge had not even been brought to a focus. Information on particular subjects was only to be obtained or looked for by consulting a specialist, and then hunting down the numbers of a serial or the chapters of a volume not always to be found. It occurred to him that it might be of use to others to publish in an arranged form the notes which he had collected for his own edification. Thus the work has grown upon him.

THE FOLLOWING WORKS ARE IN PREPARATION:—

SELECTIONS FROM THE KU-RÁN.

WITH A COMMENTARY.

Translated by the late EDWARD WILLIAM LANE,
Author of an "Arabic-English Lexicon," &c.

A New Edition, Revised, with an Introduction on the History and Development of Islam, especially with reference to India.

By STANLEY LANE POOLE.

After the translation of the "Arabian Nights" was finished, Lane, since he could not be idle, arranged a volume of "Selections from the Ku-rán," with an introduction, notes, and an interwoven commentary. The book did not appear till 1843, when its author was

TRÜBNER'S ORIENTAL SERIES.

in Egypt and unable to correct the proofs, consequently it is defaced by considerable typographical errors, and its publication in that state was a continual source of annoyance to Linc. The notion was an excellent one. He wished to collect together all the important doctrinal parts of the Ku-rán, in order to show what the religion of Mohammed really was according to the Prophet's own words; and he omitted all those passages which weary or disgust the student, and render the Ku-rán an impossible book for general reading. The result is a small volume, which gives the ordinary reader a very fair notion of the contents of the Ku-rán, and of the circumstances of its origin. In the latter part of the subject there is, however, room for that addition and improvement which thirty years of continued progress in Oriental research could not fail to make needful; and such alteration will be made in the new edition, which is presently to be published.—*Life of Edward William Lane*, pp. 96, 97.

[*Nearly nearly.*

THE JATAKA STORIES.

With the Commentary and Collection of Buddhist Fairy Tales, Fables, and Folk Lore.

Translated from the original Pali.

By T. W. RHYS DAVIDS.

(The first Part of the Commentary contains the most complete account we yet have of the life of Buddha.)

CHINESE BUDDHISM.

A Volume of Sketches, Historical and Critical.

By J. EDKINS, D.D.,

Author of "China's Place in Philology," "Religion in China," &c. &c.

BUDDHIST RECORDS OF THE WESTERN WORLD,

Being the SI-YU-KI by HYEN THSANG.

Translated from the original Chinese, with Introduction, Index, &c.

By SAMUEL BEAL,

Trinity College, Cambridge; Professor of Chinese, University College, London.

IN TWO VOLUMES.

LONDON : TRÜBNER & CO., LUDGATE HILL.

TRÜBNER'S
ORIENTAL SERIES.

IV.

Ballantyne Press
BALLANTYNE, HANSON AND CO.
EDINBURGH AND LONDON

A SKETCH
OF THE
MODERN LANGUAGES OF THE
EAST INDIES.

Accompanied by Two Language-Maps.

BY
ROBERT N. CUST,
LATE OF HER MAJESTY'S INDIAN CIVIL SERVICE,
AND
HONORARY LIBRARIAN OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY

"Amicus Plato, Amicus Socrates
Major Amicus Veritas."

LONDON:
TRÜBNER & CO., LUDGATE HILL.
1878.

[*All rights reserved.*]

RMTC LIBRARY

Acc. No. 19307

Class No. 490 CUS

Date

St. Card

Class.

Cat.

Bk. Card

checked

TO

EDWARD LYALL BRANDRETH,

THE OLDEST OF MY FRIENDS,

AND

MY FELLOW-LABOURER

IN LINGUISTIC AND ORIENTAL STUDIES,

This Volume

IS

DEDICATED.

LONDON, *July 1878.*

P R E F A C E.

I LEFT India abruptly in 1867 under the pressure of heavy domestic affliction, a few months before my term of service was completed, and I had done my day's work. When, after a year of darkness, I found myself restored to my usual physical and intellectual vigour, my first thought was, "What can I do for India?"

I was commissioned to draft a Land-Revenue-Code for the North-West Provinces, and, when that work was done, I applied for employment, as Assistant Secretary in the Revenue and Judicial Department of the India Office, which happened to fall vacant. There were, however, so many gentlemen to be provided for, who had never seen India, nor knew the difference "between a "*Jájir*" and a "*Jhagrá*," a "*fusul*" and a "*faisala*," that I had to fold up in a napkin my experience of a quarter of a century from the lowest to the highest grade in both Departments, and look about me for something else to do. The prospect was not encouraging. Some of my contemporaries had taken to brewing beer; another had patented a machine for blacking shoes with a rotatory brush; a third was out in Egypt managing the private estates of the Khedive; a fourth was Director of a Bank and Treasurer to a Hospital; a fifth was being yelled at in the House of

Commons ; a sixth was trying petty cases as a Justice of the Peace. All old Indians must do something. So I turned back to my old love, before I went to India, and took up the skein, where I dropped it in 1842, of Language.

My stock-in-trade was a good knowledge of twelve Languages, six European, six Asiatic, a good memory, and a great passion for the study. I began by making a general and superficial survey of the whole subject of our existing knowledge, from Chinese to Anglo-Saxon, from Assyrian and Accadian to Finnic and Basque, and was astonished at the progress, that had been made, the number of subjects, the number of workers, the number of books published, the extraordinary energy, interest, and acumen displayed, the number of controversies, which were raging, and the bitterness displayed by scholars towards each other.

This survey, summary as it was, occupied me three years, and I then desired to find some more particular and specific study in one corner of the subject; and again the old feeling rose within me—"What can I do for India?"

In no department of the great Science of Language had greater progress been made than in that of the Languages of the East Indies. I feel ashamed now at my gross ignorance of the subject, when I left India. In fact, as a highly-paid public officer, I had been for twenty-five years foolishly devoting all my energies and leisure to the discharge of the duties, for which I was paid, and had thought of nothing beyond advancing the public service.

As it proved, it would have been more prudent to have dabbled in linguistic and archæological studies, served out my time, and secured the pension, which from ill-health and overtaxed energies I had forfeited. However, I found, that the information with regard to the Languages of the East Indies was scattered in a great many volumes and serials, so I first consolidated it for my own use, and now publish it, under the idea, that I am still doing something for India.

R. N. C.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

| | PAGE |
|-------------------------|------|
| INTRODUCTION, | I |

CHAPTER II.

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| ARYAN FAMILY, | 28 |
|-------------------------|----|

CHAPTER III.

| | |
|-----------------------------|----|
| DRAVIDIAN FAMILY, | 65 |
|-----------------------------|----|

CHAPTER IV.

| | |
|----------------------------|----|
| KOLARIAN FAMILY, | 79 |
|----------------------------|----|

CHAPTER V.

| | |
|---------------------------------|----|
| TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY, | 87 |
|---------------------------------|----|

CHAPTER VI.

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| KHASI FAMILY, | 117 |
|-------------------------|-----|

CHAPTER VII.

| | |
|-----------------------|-----|
| TAI FAMILY, | 119 |
|-----------------------|-----|

CHAPTER VIII.

| | |
|----------------------------|-----|
| MON-ANAM FAMILY, | 124 |
|----------------------------|-----|

CHAPTER IX.

| | PAGE |
|---------------------------|------|
| MALAYAN FAMILY, | 131 |

CHAPTER X.

| | |
|-----------------------|-----|
| CONCLUSION, | 148 |
|-----------------------|-----|

APPENDICES.

| | |
|--|-----|
| A. TWO LANGUAGE-MAPS, | 157 |
| B. TABLE OF LANGUAGES AND DIALECTS, | 157 |
| C. LIST OF AUTHORITIES FOR EACH LANGUAGE, | 173 |
| D. ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF LANGUAGES, DIALECTS, AND PECULIAR CHARACTERS, | 185 |
| E. ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF SUBJECTS, AUTHORS, BOOKS, AND PLACES, | 190 |
| F. LIST OF ORIENTAL SERIALS, AND BOOKS ON THE GENERAL SUBJECT, OR PORTIONS OF THE SUBJECT, | 194 |
| G. LIST OF TRANSLATIONS OF THE BIBLE IN THE LANGUAGES OF THE EAST INDIES, | 196 |

THE MODERN LANGUAGES OF THE EAST INDIES.

CHAPTER I.

INTRODUCTION.

I HAVE attempted in all humility to fill up a vacuum, the inconvenience of which pressed itself on my notice. Much had been written about the Languages of the East Indies, but the extent of our present knowledge had not ever been brought to a focus. Information on particular subjects was only to be obtained, or looked for, by consulting a specialist, and then hunting down the numbers of a serial or the chapters of a volume not always to be found. It occurred to me, that it might be of use to others to publish in an arranged form the notes, which I had collected for my own edification. Thus the work grew upon me.

I claim scarcely a word or a line as my own; my book is essentially a compilation. It is therefore with grim complacency, that I shall peruse strictures against passages, which my reference-book will bring home to perhaps one of the greatest living or deceased philologists. I have attempted to make my narrative perfectly colourless as regards my own views. I invite corrections, as I seek for accuracy: I look for scientific and practical suggestions, on the chance of a second edition being required.

I have drawn upon my friends in England, India, and on the Continent, without compunction, and have been met in a most friendly spirit. Failing to get what I required touching the Languages of the Dutch Colonies in the Indian Archipelago, I went over to Leiden in Holland, and was rewarded by the liberal instructions of Professor Henry Kern, and Professor Veth, President of the Dutch Geographical Society. More than this: The Maps of this volume were published, with Geographical Memoirs, in the "London Geographical Magazine" of January and February 1878. Copies of these papers, the Maps, and a Provisional List of Languages, have been despatched to some of the Governments of British India, and to Holland, praying for remarks and corrections, asking for particulars in doubtful points, urging the preparation of Language-Maps on larger scale, and Dialect-Maps, for each Province, suggesting the compilation of Grammars, Comparative Grammars, and reprints of serial essays of distinguished authors. Correspondence has ensued with distinguished scholars, and willing co-operators, in every part of the field from Peshawur to Bangkok and Batavia.

It is really astonishing to contemplate how much has been done in the last quarter of a century, how much is doing, and how much remains to be done. Colebrooke, the greatest and most accurate of scholars, remarked in 1801 that there were fifty-seven, or even eighty-four, Provinces in India, all with peculiar Languages. By the term "Language" he clearly meant "Dialects," as well as separate "Languages," and by India he meant the two Peninsulas of Nearer and Further India. Erskine Perry, to whose labours we are indebted for the first idea of a Language-Map, remarked in 1854 that the assertion of Colebrooke was exaggerated, but in 1878 we know, that the wise old man estimated the linguistic varieties under the mark, as the total of Languages and Dialects of the first six Families, now for the first time tabulated, will show three hundred names.

Different parts of the great Field have been treated at different times with wonderful ability; but knowledge has advanced with leaps and bounds, and left the authority in the rear, and the same fate will in a few years attend this compilation also. We must notice the labours of Buchanan, Leyden, Colebrooke, Marsden, Logan, Bryan Hodgson, Nathan Brown, Robinson, Stevenson, John Wilson, Max Müller, Erskine Perry, Latham, and Crawfurd; and in later years we have a cluster of scholars, on whose statements we can rest with confidence, such as Caldwell, Beames, Hearnle, Trumpp, John Muir, Dalton, Burnell, Bastian, Friederich Müller, and Hovelacque.

It would occupy too much space to notice the scholars, who have treated on one or two Languages only, but to some of whom we are indebted for admirable Grammars and Dictionaries; and from others we expect further and more enlarged and scientific handling of Languages, of which they have as yet only published Primers. There was a time, when we were grateful for Vocabularies, and delighted to receive short Grammatical Notes. We have got beyond that stage, and are not even satisfied with Primers, which are sufficient for a school, but not for a linguistic scholar; nor can we rest content with lists of words ranged in alphabetical order, with meanings attached to them, and called a Dictionary. Trumpp and Gundert have taught the present generation to be fastidious: it is not enough to express surprise at a grammatical idiosyncrasy or a far-fetched meaning; a sound explanation must also be supplied.

The geographical limits of this work embrace the whole of that region known for the last three centuries as the East Indies, into which Madagascar and Formosa, from linguistic necessity, have been incorporated. This region is in the possession, or under the political influence, of the English, French, Dutch, Spanish, and Portuguese Governments. Any attempt to draw the line at a narrower margin failed: it was necessary to exhaust that great

Civilisation, which occupies the vast space betwixt Persia and China. A discussion of the Aryan Family of Languages naturally led on to that of the Dravidian, which owes its culture to the former. Mixed up with portions of the Dravidian, but linguistically separate, we find the Kolarian. A consideration of the Kolarian naturally leads to the vast Family of the Tibeto-Burman, which again approaches in some particulars, or was formerly deemed to approach, the Dravidian, and is indebted to whatever culture some few members of the Family possess to the Aryan. Like an island in the midst of the great Tibeto-Burman sea is the tiny Family of Khasi. The Tibeto-Burman Family is geographically blended with the two other Indo-Chinese Families, the Tai and the Mon-Anam, which, again, with the single exception of the Annamite, owe their culture to the Aryan Family. When I had exhausted them, I found a residuum of the continent of Asia, partly in the kingdom of Siam, partly possessed by the English, and partly independent, occupied by an eighth Family, the Malayan. The same impulse, which compelled me to hunt up the outlying groups of the Tibeto-Burman Family within the kingdom of China, compelled me to follow up the Groups of the great Malayan Family, passing onward "from island unto island at the gateways of the day," until I reached the coast of Africa in Madagascar, and the coast of China in Formosa. I refused to follow out the other branch of the Polynesian Family, and excluded anything with regard to Papuan, except so far as it incidentally affects the Negritos of the Indian Archipelago. Whitmee will tell us all about that in his forthcoming *Polynesia Polyglotta*.

But what authority is there for this classification? The reply is that there is none. It is obvious, that so vast a subject could only be treated in some order, and a necessity therefore arose to devise some net, which could be thrown over the whole Field, and this net was necessarily made up of the materials already existing on the authority

of the most esteemed scholars. At the best, the present scheme is provisional, and is the one which causes the least amount of difference. The nomenclature of the eight Families was carefully considered, and the reasons for the entry of certain Languages in certain Families was carefully weighed, and are set out in the narrative of each Family and Language. It was obviously necessary to get rid of such vague terms as Turanian and Allo-Phylian, and such incorrect terms as Tamulic and Scythian, and such an unduly wide term as Non-Aryan. Time will show whether this nomenclature and grouping of Families will be accepted or amended. It has this advantage, that it is tangible, intelligible, and nearly exhaustive.

When the number of Families were settled, the graver question arose as to the number of Languages. The use of a lax phraseology had complicated the subject. The most esteemed authors use the phrases, "language," "dialect," "tongue," "form of speech," without any degree of precision. Different local names were at random applied to the same Language, drawn sometimes from the physical character of the country, such as Pahári, Purbatya, Desi; sometimes from the political divisions of the country, such as Bengáli, Gujaráti; sometimes from the name of the tribe, such as Dogri, Uriya, Chubháli, Gond; sometimes from artificial and historical causes, such as Tamil, Pushtu, Sanskrit, Prakrit, Kawi. It was above all things necessary to use two words *only*—"Language" and "Dialect"—as major and minor relative terms, and to determine their relation to each other.

Assuming a Language to be the form of speech of a given population, we have to determine what amount of dialectal variation constitutes a Dialect of a Language, and what extreme degree of variation justifies the claim to be a sister-Language, instead of a child-Dialect. That variation may be of three kinds—1. Vocabulary; 2. Grammar; 3. Phonetics. It is obvious that Portuguese and Spanish rank as sister-Languages, while Venetian and

Tuscan are only Dialects of the parent Italian. We shall find, as we proceed, the difficulty, from lack of accurate diagnosis, of deciding whether Punjábi and Nepálese are Dialects of Hindi or sister-Languages. But in dealing with forms of unwritten and uncultivated speech, new difficulties arise; for clusters of clans are found speaking varying, yet obviously kindred, Languages, and it is a great practical difficulty, whether these variations, in the absence of any superior or literary standard, are to be classed as Languages or Dialects. Again, clusters of tribes are found bearing one general name; and yet, upon inquiry, it is found that the component members speak totally different and mutually unintelligible Languages. Thus, in the Tibeto-Burman Family we have the Kiranti, of which Bryan Hodgson records no less than seventeen Dialects; under the single head of Naga we have three separate Languages, each of which has Dialects. Where there exists a literary Dialect totally distinct from the common Dialect, as in Tamil, and a poetic Dialect, such as Elu with regard to Sinhalese, and an Archaic Dialect, such as "old Hindui," the Language of Chánd, and "Hindui," the Language of Tulsec Dass, differing essentially from modern Hindi, they must be noticed. It would be a mistake to suppose, that a sharp line can be drawn as the boundary of a Language. Instances may occur of half a town speaking one Language, and the other half another; but ordinarily there is a gradual shading off of one Language into another, if they are kindred Languages; or, in the case of Languages belonging to different Families, the population of the transition or neutral zone is bilingual. We read of the Balúchi Language becoming more Persianised as it approaches the borders of Persia, and of a mongrel Language spoken in the Upper Godavery District of the Central Provinces, composed of Telugu and Hindi. Occasionally, where a great river separates Religion, Race, and Language, the line may be drawn sharply, as on the Indus betwixt Pesháwur and Rawulpindi of the Punjáb Province.

As far as possible, no name is entered as a Language, or a Dialect, unless it is represented at least by a well-authenticated Vocabulary, and unless it can be pointed out with some degree of certainty within what geographical limits it is spoken. In British India we are approaching a certain degree of precision. Wherever a survey has been made, the habitat of the speakers of a Language can be indicated; but on the north-east frontier of Assam and Bengal, on the upper basin of the Irawaddy and Mekong, in the interior of the islands of the Archipelago, there is great uncertainty. Vocabularies are supplied, and no habitat can be pointed out; or the existence of a tribe with a distinct Language is pointed out, and there is no Vocabulary forthcoming. The subject is, therefore, by no means exhausted. Again, as regards classing certain Languages in Families, where there appears to be no ethnical affinity, or even local juxtaposition, a provisional classification has been doubtfully made: thus the Alfurese and Negritos have been, under protest, grouped in the Malayan Family; and with regard to the Languages spoken in certain islands, I have with great hesitation, and contrary to good advice, provisionally grouped them. It is impossible, however, to say what a shipwreck, or a designed deposit of a ship's cargo of slaves, may have in ages past done, with the unintentional result of upsetting linguistic and ethnical theories.

In late years several valuable books of Vocabularies have been published, notably George Campbell's "Languages of India," Dalton's "Ethnology of Bengal," Hodgson's Essays, Hunter's "Non-Aryan Languages," Lewin's "Chittagong Tribes," McCulloch's "Munipúr Hill Tribes," Garnier's "Exploration of the Mekong," &c., &c., and some difficulty has been experienced in accounting for all these specimens, and yet it would not be satisfactory to leave any unaccounted for; at least it is admitted, that, in proportion to the number not accounted for, this book is imperfect. The difficulty arises, as regards some of the

greater collections, that they are necessarily compiled from returns made from a considerable number of districts, and by persons not possessed of linguistic or local knowledge, which would enable them to control double entries under different names, or manifestly erroneous entries. No blame whatever is attached to the compiling authority; and possibly any delay, with a view of testing the return, might have jeopardised the publication altogether. A Language-Map and a Classified List have the necessary result of compelling greater accuracy of nomenclature, and a few years later all these difficulties will disappear.

In fixing the boundary of Language-Fields, the Census Reports have supplied authoritative data, and, though perhaps not always strictly correct, at any rate such as can be accepted until corrected. The circumstance that the territory of Native Chiefs is so much intermixed with the Districts of British India, leaves room for great uncertainty, as, for instance, regarding the boundary of the Telugu Language-Field in the Nizam's territory. Beames, Caldwell, John Wilson, and Erskine Perry have contributed to this part of the subject, but it is at once admitted, that it is only a rough approximation. The same remark applies to the estimated Population of each Language-Field. With the exception perhaps of Bengáli, the whole of which Language-Field is included in one Province, and sharply defined by known boundaries, all other entries are mere approximations, even as regards the great Aryan and Dravidian Languages. As regards the Kolarian Languages, I had the advantage of Colonel Dalton's personal superintendence of the entries in the Map, which may be accepted so far as correct. The relative position of the entries of the Tibeto-Burman Family within the confines of British India may be depended upon, but the entries of the Nepál Group, the Munipúr-Chittagong Group, and Burma Group are only approximate. The same remark applies to the whole of the Tai Family. That portion of the Mon-Anam Family, which falls within the territory of British India and of the

French Colony of Saigon, may be considered correct, but the rest is approximate. The Malayan Family, being scattered in islands, has to a certain extent Language-Fields physically limited; and as regards this Family I had the inestimable advantage of the personal supervision of Professor Veth of Leiden, who marked off the Language-Fields in the greater islands. As regards the clusters of smaller islands, certain evidences are demanded to indicate approximately the nature of the Language spoken, but the whole is uncertain from the absence of surveys, and the circumstance of the interior of some of the islands being occupied by Negritos or Alfurese. The Language-Map must not be judged critically, for, though great assistance was supplied by friends in India, and a great advance has been made on any previously existing Language-Map, viz., the one published by Lassen in 1853, by Erskine Perry in 1854, by the Church Missionary Society in 1859, by Beames in 1868, and Hovelacque and Schlagintheit in 1875, still it can be deemed only a further advance, and as showing the way to better things.

No one can fail to remark the singular protrusion of one Language-Field into another: this can only be explained by examining carefully prepared physical Maps, showing the hill and plain, and making out the history of the strata of colonisation. The phenomenon of the Hindi-speaking wedge in the heart of Gondwana, south of the Nerbudda, is explained by the fact of the hardy and industrious cultivators of Hindostan having pushed the Gonds out of their rich valleys into the mountain-ranges. Probably the present peaceful occupation is the result of a long struggle and bloody feuds, of which no record remains; and probably the hills and rivers and chief settlements still bear Gond names, the imperishable record of the first settlers, if indeed they were so, for it is not improbable that there were settlers even anterior to the Gonds, who, being of the Dravidian Family, may be presumed to have pushed out the earlier Kolarian hunters and nomads, as they did in

their turn the hypothetical aborigines, who preceded them also.

Another feature worthy of remark is the capricious chance, by which some tribes have kept their Language, and others have lost it. The consequence of this phenomenon is, that the ethnical and linguistic strata of the population are not parallel. The weight of evidence seems to be in favour of the fact that the Bhils and Bhars, presumably Kolarians, have lost their Language, and adopted Hindi. Unquestionably the Kuch tribe in the Terai have lost their Tibeto-Burman Language and adopted Bengáli. Millions of Pagan Non-Aryans have in the course of centuries passed into Hindooism or Mahomedanism, and adopted a new Language. Some, however, have managed to keep their Language laden with a great burden of loan-words from their neighbours, more powerful and more civilised. On the other hand, we have the phenomenon of the Vernacular of the conquering race assimilating so much of the Grammar and Vocabulary of the conquered as to be sensibly affected by them. This is asserted by some to be the case of the great Aryan Lingua-franca of India, but denied, or reduced to a minimum, by others. The accession of culture from a superior race to an inferior is sometimes dangerous to the purity of a Language: the great Dravidian Languages have suffered in this way by the large infiltration of Sanskrit, though it is asserted by some, that they in their turn have influenced Sanskrit. In the same manner the Burmese, Siamese, Mon, and Kambojan, have been sensibly affected by the contact of the sacred Language of the Buddhists, the Pali, an Aryan Prakrit: on the other hand, the rude Dravidian Languages, and all the Kolarians, having come under no influence of culture, have generally escaped linguistic contact.

The distribution of Languages has been by Families, upon the basis, proved or implied, of ethnical union at some very remote period. It remains to consider the other principle of division—the morphological. The first

Family represents the Inflexive Method; the second and third, the Dravidian and Kolarian, may be considered to represent the Agglutinative Method, notwithstanding that Pope, up to this day, maintains that the Dravidian Family belongs to the same Morphological Order as the Aryan. The Khasi, Tai, and Mon-Anam Families represent the Monosyllabic Method; and the eighth Family, the Malayan, represents the Polynesian Order, which I will not discuss further here. There remains the fourth Family, the Tibeto-Burman. Such Languages of this Family as have come under the hands of grammarians have been hitherto described as Monosyllabic, but a closer consideration of the subject has led to the opinion, favoured by Max Müller and others, that it is not so, but rather that they represent a transition stage betwixt the Monosyllabic and Agglutinative methods, or may be classed among the earliest specimens of Agglutination. Much of the Vocabulary is no doubt Monosyllabic. The Chinese Language, the type of Monosyllabism, has rudimentary traces of Agglutination in the use of empty words: the Tibeto-Burman Family has advanced far beyond this, and it is the extent to which the principle of Agglutination is the rule rather than the exception that must decide the Order, to which the Language belongs.

I may here briefly state the most approved theory for accounting for the existence of these Families of Languages. Whether there existed a race anterior to those which now exist, and which has been stamped out, or absorbed beyond recognition, is uncertain. The Kolarians were first in the field in Central India, consisting of their present tribes, and those in addition who have lost their Language, like the Bhils, Bhars, and the Northern Savára, or who have become Hindooised, and passed into the lower strata of the Aryans. I will state further on the probable direction from which the Kolarians came. Next in time arrived the Dravidians from the north-west frontier, entering Sindh by the Bolan Pass, and leaving traces of their

Archaic Language in the Brahui. A connection is asserted of the Language of this Family with the Archaic Language of the second or Scythian tablet of Behistun in Persia. Last in order, but at least 2000 B.C., came the Aryans from their home on the Pamir, where they had dwelt for some period, till the time came when the Iranic branch went to the South-West, and the Indic to the South-East. The Aryans advanced down the basins of the Indus and the Ganges to the estuary of both rivers; they felt their way into the lower and middle range of the Himalaya, and up the valley of Assam; they found their way down the coast of the Bay of Bengal as far as Chikakole in the Ganjam District, across the River Nerbudda and Mahanudy into Central India, and along the West coast as far South as Goa. They appear to have chased the Kolarians to their hill-fastnesses, but they adopted a policy of peace and conciliation to the more powerful Dravidians, and imparted to them their religion and civilisation. Another stream of Aryans went by sea to Ceylon, and laid the foundation of the Sinhalese culture and Language. A third went by sea to Java, and did the same work in that island, of which a remnant exists to this day in the island of Bali.

From the plateau of Tibet, at some remote period, by the numerous passes of the Himalaya, the Cis-Himalayan portion of the Tibeto-Burman Family flowed down into the basins of the Irawaddy, Ganges, and Brahmaputra, and across into Central India, for the Kolarians were of the same stock: the immigration may have gone on for centuries. When the Aryans poured down the basin of the Ganges, a final separation took place betwixt the two Families, and no great Tibeto-Burman nationality was ever established in that quarter. In the basin of the Irawaddy arose the great Burmese polity and civilisation.

From the same plateau of Tibet, in a long and straight course to the Gulf of Siam, at a later period flowed down, like a lava stream, the Tai immigration, cutting through the flank of the Tibeto-Burman Family, and breaking up

into three fragments the domain of the Mon-Anam Family, which must have descended from the same plateau at a period anterior to the Tibeto-Burman immigration, and after occupying the basins of the Irawaddy and the Mekong, succumbed before their more powerful successors.

The origin of the Malayan Family involves considerations of too great a length to be touched upon as a subsidiary point on this occasion. It is a received opinion, that the Malay-speaking inhabitants of the Peninsula of Malacca were immigrants from the adjoining island of Sumatra ; but how they found themselves at Menangkaba, the alleged cradle of their race, remains to be decided.

I must here notice briefly a very great controversy, of first-rate importance, both from its subject-matter and the fame of the scholars who have taken part in it. William von Humboldt in his posthumous work, "Ueber die Kawi Sprache," arrived at the conclusion, "that Malay was the stem, from which the various Languages spoken by the brown races inhabiting the Archipelago had branched out ; that all the brown races belonged to one family, the Malay ; that a convulsion of nature had broken up a continent, and left a few survivors of the common race in the islands ; that Malay was probably an Indo-European Language," which last assertion was more particularly pressed by the illustrious grammarian Bopp. Crawfurd brought a local experience of forty years and a knowledge of the vernaculars to bear against the theories of Humboldt and Bopp, and in the dissertation in his Malay Grammar (1852) denied that the brown people belonged to one race : he maintained that there were several brown races speaking distinct Languages ; that there were several races of Negritos also, and that the Polynesian Languages, properly so called, were quite distinct from Malayan. There rests the controversy, involving the deepest questions of the sciences of Ethnology, Language, and Geology. It is scarcely necessary to add that Bopp's theory as to the Indo-European connection of the Malayan Family has

been condemned by all scholars of weight, in spite of their reverence to their great master in Comparative Philology. One great fact stands out, that while the Malayan Languages have had no effect whatever on the higher civilisation of the Asiatic continent, on the other hand, wherever they have been received by other islands of the Archipelago, there will be found a higher stage of civilisation.

Crawfurd and Marsden, however, admit that there is a common element in all the Languages of Oceania. It bears the same relation to the Language of the Malayan Family that the Archaic so-called Aryan does to the Indo-European Family, and, although unwritten and extinct, its former existence is inferred and established by the same arguments and inductions which have demonstrated the former existence of the Aryan parent of the Languages which bear its name. Max Müller has tried to prove a connection betwixt the Malayan and the Tai Family. Friederich Müller is totally opposed to this view. He maintains that the Malayan Family has no affinity whatever to any race now in the Indo-Chinese Peninsula; but, on the other hand, he is of opinion that they must in some very remote past have come down from the Plateau of Central Asia to the Archipelago. It is possible that they were the original, or at least the earlier, occupants of the Indo-Chinese Peninsula, and were pushed forward into the sea by the Tai and other Mongol races.

Another general point may be noticed, to anticipate the necessity of repetition in the narrative of individual Languages. I allude to the marked difference, which is found in some cases betwixt the literary Language of books and newspapers and the Language as spoken by the educated classes, placing out of consideration for the time the rude and debased jargon of the uneducated peasants. That there must necessarily be some difference is evidenced by the ridicule, which attaches to natives of India educated in English schools, who, in ignorance, converse in Johnsonian English. It is a question of degree rather than principle. It is

admitted by capable judges that modern Bengáli writers have gratuitously abused the power of borrowing Sanskrit words, preferring technically “tatsumuhs” to good, honest “tadbhávas,” just as if we were to use pedantically in English the Latin words “fragilis” and “legalis” instead of “fragile” or “frail,” and “legal” or “loyal.” It is the peculiar peril, to which the Language of a race in an inferior stage of civilisation, and therefore limited in its resources of expression, is exposed to from the overbearing influence of an alien Language, the vehicle of a dominant power in religion or politics. A peril of a different kind arises, when it so happens, that the race is in a fallen state from former greatness, and suddenly finds itself in a position to re-establish itself, and would willingly ignore the lapse of centuries, and resuscitate an Archaic and dead Language. Thus the Greeks have tried, in their writings, to revivify the Greek of the classic period; the Roumanians have done the same as regards the Latin; the Bengális, as regards the Sanskrit, have acted in the same way with less show of reason, the consequence being that there is a chasm betwixt the spoken and written Dialects.

This forces upon us another consideration, as to how far the formation of new Vernaculars is still going on. Purists of all ages have objected to the absorption of foreign elements into their Language, and yet the process has steadily gone on, and the vulgarity and slang of one generation become the ordinary phrasology of the next. The more alien the Languages are to each other, the more distinctly appears the process. The Chinese have formed a Pidgeon or Business English at Hong-Kong, and have done the same for Russian at Khulja. As the Hindustáni was formed in the camp at Delhi, so we read that in the ports of Java a mongrel Malay has come into existence, and at Malacca we have a notable instance of the degradation of Portuguese. The verbs have lost their inflections, and one form acts for all moods, tenses, numbers, and persons. Adjectives become indeclinable, and, in

fact, we have a Romance Vocabulary adapted to a Malayan structure. A corrupted Portuguese is the Vernacular of the middle classes in every town of importance in Ceylon.

In exhausting the subject of the Languages spoken by the inhabitants of a given geographical area, we find that there is a residuum, which will not appear upon a Language-Map, or come within any catalogue of geographically-arranged Languages and Dialects, and yet no other method but the geographical can secure accuracy. It is generally found that wild hill and forest tribes speak the Language of the contiguous plains; but there remain certain categories to be disposed of. It is notorious that there exist in India domestic Languages of immigrants, and that, in spite of an exile of several generations, the women in their homes speak Arabic, or Persian, or Armenian, in fact, the Languages of their forefathers. Again, there are in India large numbers of Chinese, Arabs, and Africans, who come to India for a short or long time, and become practically bilingual, reserving their own Language for their compatriots. The predatory classes have a Language of their own, and some of the trades a jargon only understood by the craft. The migratory tribes, who are so numerous in India, have forms of speech; but whether these are *bond fide* Dialects of some substantive Language, or only a species of slang or *languie verte*, remains to be seen. Vocabularies have been made of such Dialects, but the subject has not yet been disposed of.

Beyond this we come upon the foreign Languages current, but by no means the recognised Vernacular. Thus English, French, Dutch, Portuguese, and Spanish are, or were, the Languages of the ruling classes at different points of the East Indies. Dutch has already died out in Ceylon, and ceased to be spoken by descendants of Dutch colonists, while Portuguese has laid firm hold of the soil, wherever that nation has settled. Italian is spoken by the Roman Catholic priests from that country. Armenian is the Language of a flourishing and respectable colony. Chinese

of a numerous but disreputable one. Arabic is the religious Language of the Mahomedans. Persian is the Language of Culture, and was till lately of the Court.

Beyond, again, lie the dead Languages, which rise up before us at every corner of the Field, with a simple enumeration of the best known of which we must content ourselves. Of the Aryan Family, Iranic branch, there is the Avesta or Old Bactrian, with its descendants the Puh-lavi, Huzvaresh, Pázand or Parsi. Of the Indie branch there is the Sanskrit in its two forms, the Vedic and the Literary, and the Prakrit-Dialects: 1. The Pillar Inscriptions; 2. the Dramatic; 3. the Gáthas of Nepál; 4. Aprabhansi; 5. Sarasvati; 6. Souraseni; 7. Maharástri; 8. Pysáchi; 9. Magadhi, or in other terms Pali; which again appears to have variations in Ceylon, Burma, Siam, and possibly Kambodia. Of the Semitic Family we have two dead Languages, which have left a trace in India, the Hebrew and the Syriac. In the Polynesian Family we have the Káwi, which requires fuller notice.

Like many other nations, the Javanese were found to be possessed of an ancient and recondite Language, in which their literature and religion were enshrined. This is called Káwi, which means "refined," as contrasted to the "Jáwi" or ordinary Language. Raffles thought that it was a foreign Language of unknown origin, imported into the island. Crawfurd saw its connection with the Javanese, but deemed it to be a written Language of the priests. Friederich saw that it was not so, for Sanskrit occupied that position, and that Káwi was the sacred Language of the people. Von Humboldt, by a scholarlike analysis, found that it was merely an Archaic form of Javanese, plentifully interlarded with Sanskrit terms. Kern, of Leiden University, who is one of the greatest living Káwi scholars, has favoured me with the following lines, which are important, as settling the question:—

"Káwi, or more properly Old Javanese, belongs to the Polynesian Family and the Malayan branch. Next akin

to it are Malay and Sundanese; it is the parent of modern Javanese; it represents the Language, as we have it from 800 to 1400 A.D., and it has largely borrowed from Sanskrit, just as modern Javanese, Malay, and the Dravidian Languages have. The Grammar is unaffected by foreign influence; its structure and genius are thoroughly Polynesian; it is no more an artificial Language than English or Persian; it is somewhat richer in forms and more abundant in pronouns than modern Javanese, but the genius and general outline survive in the latter. The style of the literary work is highly elaborate and finished. In the poetry there is much descriptive power, less of feeling and grandeur."

When the Mahomedans occupied Java, the Hindu religion and the Brahmins took refuge in the island of Bali, which has remained Hindu to this day. There the treasures of Káwi literature have been found, though many manuscripts are found in the island of Java also, and translations of old Káwi works into modern Javanese. Grammars and Dictionaries do not exist, but most interesting texts are being published. Short descriptions have been published, and Dutch scholars have made the subject their own. The whole of the literature is thoroughly Brahmanical and Buddhist, for the professors of both faiths lived apparently in harmony together. It must be borne in mind, that both manuscripts and inscriptions in pure Sanskrit are also found. Original versions of the great Sanskrit epics are found in Káwi, which are very important in their critical bearing on the original poems as we now have them. It has a peculiar Character.

Another dead Language, used exclusively by a class of priests, is the Ahom, of the Tai Family, the remnant of the Language of the old conquerors of the valley of Assam. Vocabularies are supplied, and it has a peculiar Character. It is said to be spoken by a few priests, but it has lost all pretence to be a Vernacular.

The subject of written Characters is too large a one to

be noticed except in the most summary manner. It may be accepted as a scientific fact, that all the Characters used in the East Indies can sooner or later be traced back to the Asoka inscriptions, and through them to the Phœnician Alphabet, and thence backwards to the Hieratic Ideographs of the old kingdom of Egypt, and thence to the venerable Hieroglyphics of the fourth dynasty. The solitary exception is the Chinese Character used in Annam. Several distinguished scholars have written on separate portions of this subject, among whom we may name James Prinsep, Thomas, Burnell, Bastian, Nathan Brown, and Marsden. Many Languages have never been reduced to writing; for these it is expedient to adopt the Lepsius' Standard Roman Alphabet. Many have no peculiar Character. Of the three rival Alphabetic systems, the Indian, Arabic, and Roman, no one is without additions or adaptations enabled to represent all the sounds of the different Languages; and unfortunately the question of religion has become entangled with that of the Characters, and many Hindus object to use the Arabic Character as savouring of Mahomedanism, and few Mahomedans could be persuaded to use the Nágari, or Character of the Hindus. The number of different Characters is very considerable, and they are herewith grouped according to the Language-Family which uses, or has formerly used them, in Languages now dead. The Iranic branch of the Aryan Family has made use of Zend, Puhlavi, and adapted Arabic. The Indic branch of the Aryan Family makes use of Nágari, Kashmíri, Dogri, Gurmúkhi, Lundi, Káyati, Mahájuni of several kinds, Nepáli, Bengáli, Asamese, Uriya, Balbódh, Modi, Gujaráti, Pali, Sinhalese, Maldives, and adapted Arabic. The Dravidian Family use the Tamil, Telugu, Malayálim, adapted Arabic, and for Sanskrit Manuscripts the Grantham; and among obsolete Characters are the Hala Kannada, Vatteluttu and Old Maldives. The Kolarian and Khasi Families have no Character. In the Tibeto-Burman we find several varieties of Tibetan, also


Limbu, Lepcha, Newári, Burmese, and Munipúri. Nearly every one of the chief Languages of the Tai Family has its peculiar Character, which gives a high idea of its culture. In the Mon-Anam Family we find the Mon, an Archaic and modern Kambojan, and the Annamite. In the Malayan Family we find the Korinchi, supposed by Marsden to have been the original Character of the Malay Language, before the adoption of the Arabic with Mahomedanism; the Batta, Rejang, and Lampung in the island of Sumatra; in Java and Bali we find the modern Javanese in use, and manuscripts and inscriptions in Sanskrit and Káwi. In Celebes we have the Bugi Character, and in the Philippines the Tagál; and now the adapted Roman and adapted Arabic are contending for possession of the uncultivated Languages, as they pass from mere vocalism into writing. The first thing is to get specimens of every variety of Character now written; this can be done in British India by the Educational officers of Government with the co-operation of the postal authorities; already one postmaster has lithographed specimens of some of the handwriting, which has found its way to the Dead-Letter Office. To this must follow careful palæographical study of the different Characters, used in manuscripts and inscriptions on rock, stone, metal, and pottery; and beyond that lies the question by what route—by land or by sea—the Asoka Alphabets found their way to India. While, on the one hand, I deprecate, as injudicious and impracticable, any attempt to supersede the established Characters of cultivated Languages by the introduction of the alien Roman Character, on the other hand, in the case of Languages, which have hitherto been unwritten, it is very undesirable to adopt a new Character, which is not able to express with accuracy every sound, and on that account Lepsius' Standard Alphabet appears to be the most convenient in every respect.

The materials, from which only such a narrative as the present can be compiled, have been collecting in a slow

and desultory manner since the beginning of this century, when Buchanan, Leyden, Colebrooke, and Marsden gave the first impetus. The Asiatic Researches, and the Journals of the different Asiatic Societies, are mines of information on every branch of the subject. The early Grammars and Dictionaries of the greater Languages were very inferior productions, and the proper study of the Vernacular Languages was notoriously neglected by the elder generation of scholars. It seemed gradually to be admitted that, of the Northern group, Hindi, Bengáli, and Maráthi were worthy of scientific study, and Tamil and Telugu of the Southern. Csoma de Koros, Hodgson, and the early Indo-Chinese scholars, drew attention to a Field previously ignored ; and as years went on, Grammars of Asamese, Sonthál, Sindhi, and Gujaráti, and Grammatical Notes of many other Languages, made their appearance. Then approached the era of Collective Vocabularies, Comparative Grammars of Families, and a wonderful activity began to develop itself in every part of the Field.

To one class of labourers Science is more indebted than to any other. I allude to the Missionaries, both Protestant and Roman Catholic, who have vied with each other in letting light into dark places. The Government of India has been found ready to encourage by purchasing copies of books published at the expense, and sometimes at the loss, of the authors. The Government of Bengal has now, at my earnest request, commissioned the Rev. Mr. Skrefsrud to prepare a Comparative Grammar of the Kolarian Family. The Missionaries, and their parent Societies, felt from the first, that their only course would be to master the Language spoken by the people, and, that this labour of the pioneer might not be done over and over again by a succession of labourers, to publish the results in the shape of Grammars, Vocabularies, and Dictionaries. In many cases the servants of the State have not been backward in contributing to the good work, but it was

19,307



only in specially out-of-the-way corners of the Empire, that the necessity was brought home to them ; and it is to be feared, that in the majority of cases the servants of the State do not speak the Vernacular of the common people, but are content to use a Domestic or Official Dialect, or Lingua-franca, intelligible to their servants and to the habitual frequenters of the Courts. With the Missionaries, their usefulness, and very existence, depended upon their being able to be understood by, and understand, the humblest classes on the roadside and in the villages. Among the Missionaries have risen up great scholars like Caldwell, John Wilson, Gundert, Carey, Bigandet, and Pallegoix, and useful Grammarians such as Pryse, Skrefsrud, Haswell, Mason, Flex, and others. Some of the Dutch scholars have been Protestant Missionaries, and the numerous "Arte" of the Philippines have been prepared by the Roman Catholic Priests ; and to the same class we are indebted for the standard works of the Annamite, and the earliest works on the Tibetan and Tamil Languages. For whatever we know of the Malagasy Language we are indebted to English and French Missionaries. In reckoning up the advantages to the East Indies of the Missionary bodies, we must not forget their substantial, unsectarian, and benevolent, linguistic labours.

One great corporate body has done more than the State, more than private individuals or servants of the State, and has sustained the Protestant Missionaries in their efforts. I allude to the British and Foreign Bible Society. Setting aside for the moment the great spiritual and moral advantage of the wide dissemination of a book so remarkable and unrivalled in excellence, to no other book of any kind whatever, at any period of the world, has it fallen to be translated into such a variety of Oriental Languages, and to be disseminated so widely in such amazing numbers. As Luther's Bible formed the standard of the previously unsettled High German, and our own Bible became the standard of modern English, so all over the East Indies,

with the exception of the Philippines and French and independent Annam, the translation of the Holy Scriptures is becoming the first, often the only, and always the typical, representative of Languages, which previously were wholly unwritten, uncultivated, and destitute of phraseology for the expression of feelings and affections. In the great Vernaculars, which already possessed a literature, the Bible, if the selection of a translator was a fortunate one, has greatly helped to fix the standard of purity and good linguistic taste; in the other Languages, the Bible has been the first expression of the power of conveying ideas to paper. It is a remarkable phenomenon, that the fountains of so many Languages and Dialects should have been unsealed just at the moment, when the intellectual, mechanical, and religious power of England and Holland were at their height, ready to undertake a task of translating the Bible into scores of Languages, for which task, even if the opportunity had offered itself, English scholars were last century as unfitted, as the Spanish and Portuguese are even now unfitted, and as unwilling to lend themselves to the task, as the Italians, French, and Russians are even now unwilling.

The plan of my work is as follows: I propose to notice briefly each Family collectively, and then each Language in that Family separately. In dealing with the great and renowned Vernaculars, it would be mere waste of time and impertinence to say much, as a reference to Beames and Caldwell is sufficient. On the other hand, some of the savage Languages are but linguistic expressions, represented by a brief, though genuine, Vocabulary, and a tolerably accurate approximate localisation. Under these circumstances, much cannot be said of them. Between these two extremes there is opportunity to throw together all that is known of the boundaries, the number, and religion of the population, the number of Dialects, the Character, the nature of Literature, if any, the linguistic provision made for the study of the Language, and the

chance of its survival in the struggle for existence. Notice is made of the existence, or not, of translations of the Bible, or any portion, as one great incidental advantage of one book having been rendered into all Languages is the extraordinary facility thus supplied of inter-comparison and contrast of the genius, the structure, the Vocabulary, the phonetic laws, and the syntax of each Language with those of other Dialects, Languages, and Families on a large scale, from texts prepared without any idea of the purpose to which they are to be applied. Besides, the easiest way of acquiring a Language is by picking out the meaning of a Gospel with the help of a Dictionary, and compelling the linguistic conscience to resolve an unintelligible group of words into that meaning, which memory has already supplied. No attempt is made to describe the Literature of a great Language: the book is meant to meet the requirements of a linguist, and not a philologist in the ordinary sense. The most interesting Languages are those which, like the Sonthál, have no Literature, and yet have developed a machinery of expression of time and mood, which a Greek might have envied. As we pass down into the Indo-Chinese Peninsula and the Indian Archipelago, the description of the Languages is fuller, because the subject is less familiar to ordinary readers, and the books of reference not so readily available. It is no part of my plan to supply Vocabularies or Grammatical Notes, or to enter into linguistic discussions, or to take more than a passing notice of any of the great controversies, ethnological, morphological, grammatical, and palaeographical, with which the subject bristles. There is room for abundance of literary polemics, before we arrive at any finality.

It is hoped, that the Appendices may be useful to illustrate the text, and for facility of reference; the primary object of the book being to facilitate the onward march of future students, and to save them the trouble of long search for materials, for which search they may not have the same facility, as I have had, though it has occupied

a very long time, and caused a great deal of trouble to my friends at the Library of the India Office and the Royal Asiatic Society, to get out the scores of books, which had to be examined, extracted from, and then entered into my classified Note-Book. I might have gone on for a year longer, for the subject seemed still to be opening out, and one book makes a reference necessary to another. Such as it is, the work must now cease, leaving room for additions and corrections in future editions.

For the Language-Maps I am indebted to the labour of two kind friends, Mr. Edward Brandreth, late of the India Civil Service, and Professor Veth of the University of Leiden in Holland. It has been a work of great labour, and entailing considerable reflection, to prepare the complicated list of Languages and Dialects. This was an entirely new field of inquiry, and necessitated a careful examination of everything with regard to any Language, to take notice of any dialectal variation recorded, and then, if possible, to localise that Dialect. This portion of the work may lead to important results. The list of Authorities is but a selection of the most esteemed works out of long Lists of Books, Serial Articles, Encyclopædic Entries, and collective works on particular tracts of country. Many of these are not readily accessible, and it is of no use entering them. In my own list I have not only the name of the particular book of reference, but the Library, where it is to be found, and in the file of papers connected with each Language is an excerpt of the most important references, and in all cases volumes and pages are given. The question arose in my mind, whether I should load the margin or footnotes of my pages with hundreds of references, and I decided to make none. My readers must accept the statement on my security, or its own intrinsic worth. The Alphabetical Index of Languages, Dialects, and Characters, the Verbal Index of important Names and Subjects, and the List of Serials consulted will facilitate reference.

There remains the task of thanking my friends for all the assistance rendered, and the importunate questions answered, the Maps and Books supplied, and the interest shown. I wish particularly to thank Mr. Edward Brandreth and Dr. Reinhold Rost of the India Office Library, who have co-operated from the beginning; Professors Veth and Kern for all that is valuable in the notices of the Malayan Family; Sir Richard Temple, Bart., Governor of Bombay; Hon. Sir Ashley Eden, Lieut.-Governor of Bengal; Sir William Merewether, Colonel Dalton, Major Fryer, Captain Forbes, M. Feer of the Biblioteque Nationale of Paris, Professor Des Michels of the Cours Orientales, Rev. W. Wright and Rev. C. Reid of the British and Foreign Bible Society, and many other Officers of Government, Missionaries, and Scholars.

THE Languages of the East Indies are provisionally divided into eight Families, upon presumed ethnological affinity.

- I. ARYAN OR INDO-EUROPEAN (a portion).
- II. DRAVIDIAN.
- III. KOLARIAN.
- IV. TIBETO-BURMAN.
- V. KHASI.
- VI. TAI.
- VII. MON-ANAM.
- VIII. MALAYAN.

Each Family will be treated of in due order.

CHAPTER II.

ARYAN FAMILY.

GENERAL.

Of this important Family only a portion comes under review, but in some sense the most important. That portion comprises the whole of the Indic branch, the most Eastern, and a portion of the Iranic branch. The other branches are too well known to require enumeration. No further general remarks are required on this well-worn subject except to notice, that, of the Indic branch, the first two Languages are pre-Sanskritic, and, whatever may be the relation of the other ten to the Sanskrit, these two at least can have none. It is possible, that there may have been an original Aryan Language, of which even Vedic Sanskrit was a cultured derivative, and to which all the Aryan Languages of Northern India, whether Sanskritic, Prakritic, or Neo-Aryan, owe reverence, as to a mother; but the only bearing, which this hypothesis has upon the subject of this treatise is, that to such a venerable fount, as is thus indicated, must be traced many of the Archaic words and forms, which cannot be accounted for in Sanskrit.

IRANIC BRANCH.

PUSHTU.

I commence my review with the Iranic branch of the great Aryan Family, which is represented within the territorial limits, or the orbit of the Political Relations, of British India, by two Languages only, the Pushtu and Baluchi. The Persian Language, though used for purposes

of Literature, Society, and Politics, is not the Vernacular of any portion of the population, though used in some families and small clans.

The Pushtu, or Pakhtu, is the Language of the Afghans or Putáns, who fall under three categories, being either subjects of British India in the Province of the Punjáb, subjects of the Amir of Kabul, or enjoying a rude and lawless liberty. There are also a certain amount of Pushtu-speaking subjects of the Khan of Kelát in the district of Quettah. The limits of the Language-Field are thus defined by Raverty: It is spoken with variations in orthography and pronunciation from the valley of Pishin, South of Kandahar, to Kafiristán in the North, and from the River Helmund on the West to the Indus on the East, and, I may add, slightly beyond the Indus in the Rawulpindi Division. It extends over the plains of the Yusufzye, the hills of Bajaur, Panjkora, Swat, and Bunir, as far as Astor of Dardistan.

Dialects are given, Dir, Tirhai, Laghmáni, Pashai, Kandahári, and Pesháwuri, but there are probably many more, as upon its different frontiers it comes into contact with the Balúchi, the Persian, in several of its Dialects, the Turki, the Dardui, and the Punjábi: it is spoken by tribes living in perpetual warfare, and occupying inaccessible mountains and valleys, giving scope for a great divergence of Dialects, of which we shall know more, when we get freer access to the country.

It was maintained, that this Language was of the Semitic Family, which would have been a singular intrusion. It was attempted to explain this by the legend, that the Afghans were descended from the Ten Tribes of Israel. However, the weight of opinion of the most competent judges is in favour of a different view. The Language is undoubtedly Aryan; but, though grouped in the Iranic branch, it does, in fact, occupy an intermediate position betwixt the Indic and Iranic branches. It is an independent Language, forming the first transition from one branch to the other, partaking of the characteristics of

both with predominant Prakritic features, for it has preserved the whole cerebral row of letters, aspirates excepted. There is a large stock of pure Pushtu words derived from Prakrit sources. The whole declensional and conjugational apparatus has the closest analogy with Sindhi of the Indic branch of this Family.

It would be a mere guess to state the number of the Pushtu-speaking population beyond the frontier of British India. Within that frontier there are at least three hundred thousand: all are Mahomedan, wild and untamed, but by no means uncivilised. There is a certain amount of indigenous Literature, but Persian is the Language of the Court and Society, Arabic of their religion. Its use as a written Language is restricted, and it has no peculiar Character, using a modified form of the Arabic. The New Testament, and portions of the Old, have been translated into it in this Character. It is one of the Languages, in which the officers of Government are expected to be qualified. There is no want of linguistic books.

BALÚCHI.

Passing down the Indus to the sea, we come upon a population which speaks Balúchi; they are either subjects of British India in the Province of the Punjáb, and the Sindh Division of the Province of Bombay, or subjects of the Khan of Kelát, some of whom enjoy a wild and lawless liberty. Their country is bounded by Sindh and the Punjáb on the West, Afghanistan on the North, Persia on the West, and the Arabian Sea on the South, 700 miles from East to West and 300 miles from North to South. Within these boundaries, however, live a distinct race, the Bráhu, whose Language will be noticed further on. Persian is spoken by the Dehwars, who are analogous to the Tajiks, and by the Bábís. There are several Dialects. Mockler maintains, that the Makráni Balúchi on the Persian side is the real pure Language, and that the variations from this standard are Dialects; others consider the tract on the

East side of the mixed Balúchi and Brahúi territory, and the mixed Balúchi and Sindhi, to be the real Balúchi, and the Makráni to be a Dialect; and even go so far as to call this last a Dialect of Persian mixed up with words of Indian origin. Very different, again, is the Dialect spoken by the hill tribes on the Solimáni frontier of the Deruh Ghazee Khan District in the Punjáb. Here each tribe has some dialectal variation. It possesses no Literature beyond ballads orally handed down. Of the number of the Balúchi-speaking population beyond the frontier of British India we have no certain information, but within that frontier there are one hundred and eighty thousand in the Punjáb, and one hundred and forty-five thousand in Sindh. They are exclusively Mahomedans. All correspondence is carried on in Persian. There is no peculiar Character. The Arabic Character has been adopted for such printed works as have appeared. Three of the Gospels have been translated into this Character. It is one of those in which the officers of Government are expected to qualify. A Grammar of this Language has appeared, and there exist also Grammatical Notes and Vocabularies, but a good deal is still required for this Language.

INDIC BRANCH.

GENERAL.

We now turn back to the extreme North-West corner of the Map; but before I commence the enumeration of the great Indic branch, I must notice one interesting Language, which, though of the Iranic branch, is intimately connected with the seed-plot of the Indic. I allude to the Ghalchuh Language of the valleys of Wakhan and Sir-i-kul near the head-water of the Oxus, West and East of the Pamir. Where the Hindu-Koosh range abuts on the Pamir, the Ghalchuh-speaking tribes are in the acute angle above, while the Dards, who will be mentioned further on, are in the obtuse angle below. Beyond the Pamir the people speak Turki of the Altaic Family; so at this point

meet the Iranic, Indic, and Turki Languages, and not very far to the West is the extreme flank of the Tibeto-Burman Family. Affinities are discovered betwixt the Ghalchuh and the Dardui: the theory may be hazarded, that the ancestors of both lived together, spoke the same Language, and have since diverged: the home of the undivided Indo-Iranic stem must have been in this neighbourhood. The Indic branch passed over the Hindu-Koosh into India, and developed the great Aryan Vernaculars; the Iranic branch spread into the plains of Bactria. The Ghalchuhs and Dards remain *in situ*, retaining in their rude Languages traces of their common origin. Shaw has published Grammatical Notices of the Ghalchuh, and the subject is one fully worthy of fuller and deeper research.

Provisionally the number of Languages of the Indic branch is fixed at fourteen. It is possible that some may on further research be reduced to Dialects, and that other distinct Languages may be brought to notice.

I. KAFIRI.

II. DARDUI.

III. KASHMIRI.

IV. PUNJÁBI.

V. BRAHÚI.

VI. SINDHI.

VII. HINDI.

VIII. NEPÁLI.

IX. BENGÁLI.

X. ASAMESE.

XI. URIYA.

XII. MARÁTHI.

XIII. GUJARÁTI.

XIV. SINHALESE.

KAFIRI.

With regard to the Language of the Kafirs, our knowledge is scanty, but there is a general consensus, that it is of the Aryan Family. It is the speech of the Siah-Posh population of Kafiristan, who, amounting to about one hundred thousand, are entirely, and have always been, independent of the Ameer of Kabul. The country is said to be called Wamistan by the natives; the names, by which they are known to foreigners, are obviously derived from the prevailing colour of their dress, and their having refused to accept Mahomedanism. Their country is impregnable in a commanding position, dominating all the

mountain passes betwixt the Oxus and Indus basins. It occupies the most Western part of the independent Highlands, known as Yaghistan, from the fact, that it has never succumbed to the rulers of Kabul, Kashmír, or Bokhara, just where the summits of the Hindu-Koosh coalesce with the lofty extremity of the Himaláya. The people are quite distinct in race from the Afghans. By some they are considered to be members of the Dardu clan, differing only in being Pagans. To Trumpp of Munich we are indebted for a Grammatical Note of their Language, extracted from the lips of some Kafirs at Peshawur, as no European has ever visited the valley. It is a pure Prakritic Language, which separated from the parent stock at a very remote period. It has no Literature, no Character; and owing to the isolated life of these mountaineers in their savage independence, it may be presumed, that it is a poor Language, with but scant admixture of foreign elements. It appears to have taken a step towards decomposition by the use of casal postpositions. One hostile critic goes so far as to deny, that the parties, from whom Trumpp gleaned his knowledge, were Kafirs. At any rate, a remarkable Language is disclosed, and we must wait till further intimacy with this interesting people widens our knowledge. By the irruptions of the Afghan race, and the Mahomedan religion, they have been cut off for centuries from all communication with the other members of the Indic branch, and it is possible, that they have been affected to some extent by their Iranic neighbours. We have Vocabularies supplied by Burnes and Lumsden.

Trumpp mentions, that he has examined the Kohistáni Dialect, which is rude and akin to Kafiri, and spoken by the population of the tract adjoining the country of the Siah-Posh, and understood by them. He states that it is a Prakritic Language, and it is therefore provisionally grouped as a Dialect of Kafiri. No specimens of the Language are available.

DARDUI.

I now come to the no less interesting inhabitants of Dardistan, a race of mountaineers, partly subjects by late conquest of the Maharaja of Jummoo and Kashmír, and partly in independent Yaghistan, but nearly all situated beyond the River Indus, in a nook betwixt Afghanistan, Turkistan, and Kashmír. It is a perilous position for independent tribes, as they run the risk of being absorbed into the Mahomedan kingdom of Kabul or the Hindu kingdom of Kashmír, and are open to influences, political or military, from the direction of Badakshan on the Oxus. That they will long remain independent is not probable. They have no Literature, no Character, no civilisation beyond the rudest, and their chance of survival is small. Their Language has been affected by their neighbours; for it appears that one portion of the tribe speaks a Turki Language, another a Tibeto-Burman; that of a third is blended with Pushtu, or at any rate the Dardus of Koli and Palas are bilingual; that of a fourth is tainted with Kashmíri. Their religion is chiefly Mahomedan, but there is a section, who have become Buddhists, and reside near Ladakh. The confines of Dardistan and Baltistan are also the confines of Mahomedanism and Buddhism, and of the Aryan and Tibeto-Burman Families, which last will be described further on. It is a singular feature, that both should have passed under the sovereignty of a Hindu within the last quarter of a century. The great Watershed of the Himaláyas separates them from a Hindu population. Of the number of these tribes we cannot form the remotest approximation, as they are scattered over mountains and valleys in a hitherto all but inaccessible country, where Hayward the traveller lost his life in 1870 at the hand of the Dardu Chief of Yasin. Leitner and Drew have subsequently visited Gilgit within the territories of the Maharaja of Kashmír, and to the former we

are indebted for our linguistic, and to the latter for our ethnical and political knowledge, which was previously a blank. Leitner's grammatical facts were reviewed by Trumpp, and this places our knowledge, as far as it goes, on a sure basis. Excluding the Dialects of Dah and Khajuná, which are Tibetan and Turki respectively, the remaining Dialects are pure Aryan of an Archaic stamp. Trumpp coincides in the theory of their connection with the Kafiri, and that the Aryans did not come from Central Asia in their final move upon India, but from these very mountains, in which the Kafir Siah-Posh and Dardus are found *in situ*. The Aryan Dialects of Dardui are Gurezi, Astori, and Gilgiti, within the kingdom of Kashmír ; Durel, Koli, Palas, and Chilas in Yaghistan ; these make up the subdivision of the Shina tribe. In the Arnyia subdivision we find the Dialects of the independent tracts of Yasin and Chitral. The Khajuná or Turki subdivision includes the independent tracts of Hunza and Naga. In addition to the pages of Leitner, and Trumpp working on Leitner's materials, we have Vocabularies by Drew, of the service of the Maharaja, Cunningham of the Indian Army, and Vigue, an English traveller. In addition to these undoubted Aryans in race and Language, Drew thinks that he detects in some of the lower classes unmistakable ethnical evidence of pre-Aryan races, though they have lost their Language, customs, and religion. It is to be hoped, that a better acquaintance will enlarge our knowledge of these interesting mountaineers.

KASHMÍRI.

The next Language on the list is Kashmíri, mentioned as such by Marco Polo and Abulfuzul, notorious as a sister-Language of Hindi, though perfectly unintelligible to strangers. The Language of a valley visited by Europeans in hundreds during the last thirty years, and for many centuries the seat of a local Governor, Mahomedan

or Hindu, and yet no such thing as a Grammar or Dictionary exists, either Native or European, nor did I ever meet or hear of a European, who could understand or speak it. We have imperfect Grammatical Notes and Vocabularies, put together from materials collected from exiled Kashmíris in the Punjáb, and a brief Dictionary by Elsmlie, a Medical Missionary, who was a short time in the valley. A portion of the Old Testament and the New Testament have been translated into this Language in the Nágari Character. Drew in his "Jummoo and Kashmir" supplies much general information.

The linguistic term Kashmíri is held to embrace not only the valley, but the middle range of mountains of the kingdom of Jummoo, inhabited by a race, called generally Pahári, or mountaineers, but with five well-recognised Dialects, Raimbáni, Bhadarwáhi, Pádari, Doda, and Kishtwári, which are all provisionally grouped as Dialects of Kashmíri. Clearly we require the eye of a Comparative Linguist to be cast upon the materials, scanty as they are, already collected, with a view of determining these alleged affinities. An attempt was made in 1866 by the Bengal Asiatic Society to persuade the Government of the Punjáb to make some step forward, but nothing was done. I am comforted by a rumour, that Buhler, who was deputed by the Government of India to catalogue and purchase Sanskrit Manuscripts in the valley, has it in his mind to compile a Kashmíri Grammar on scientific principles. In his report he remarks, that there are three varieties of Kashmíri spoken; the form used by Brahmans, and loaded with Sanskrit loan-words; the form used by Mahomedans, and loaded with Persian and Arábic words, and affected by them in the pronunciation; lastly, the form used by women and the uneducated, which is exceedingly valuable, as giving the old local form and dialectic variations. The study of Kashmíri is of the highest importance for the Comparative Grammar of the Neo-Aryan Vernaculars, because it reveals the manner, in

which the new cases of declension were made from the old basis. A Kashmíri Scientific Grammar is, therefore, the greatest desideratum, and I have urged the Government of the Punjáb to press the subject upon the Maha-raja of Jummoo and Kashmír.

The Puháris above alluded to are Hindus, and not numerous. The Kashmíris are chiefly Mahomedan, with a few Hindus, the celebrated Kashmíri Pundits, the finest types of the Aryan race. The population of the valley is estimated at half a million. Persian is the Language of the Court and correspondence. Dogri, which will be noticed further on, is the particular Dialect of Punjábi, used by the governing classes. There is an old-fashioned Character called the Shárada, but it is now rarely used, as the Arabic Character is usually substituted. The tradesmen use another called Thákuri.

The Puháris are backward in civilisation, rude in manner, with few wants; but the valley has been the seat of an ancient civilisation, and the summer residence of the Mogul Emperors. The people, though impoverished and degraded, are still not uncivilised. Shaw in his remarks above quoted about the Ghalchuh Language, with reference to the great difference betwixt the Aryan of Dardistan and of Kashmír, starts the theory, that the valley was colonised by a reflux wave of Aryan civilisation from the plains, after they had made considerable advance from the state, in which they had left their mountain homes. In fact, Kashmír has ever been considered an integral portion and a province of India, ethnically and linguistically, as well as from the point of view of religion and civilisation.

PUNJÁBI.

I pass on to the Punjábi Language, and under that term include the group of Dialects spoken in the Sindh-Sagur, Chuj, Rechna, Bari, and Jullundhur Doabs, and a certain portion of the tract betwixt the Rivers Sutluj and

Jumna, where an impalpable line about the longitude of Sirhind, on the watershed, which divides the basins of the Indus and the Ganges, separates what is confessedly Hindi from what provisionally is called the Panjábi Language. I confess, that this is one of the Languages, which will scarcely maintain a separate existence, but will hereafter be described as the Western branch of the Hindi Language, which will be noticed further on. Beames, of the Civil Service, the author of a Comparative Grammar of seven of the Aryan Vernaculars, admits, that it is only an old Hindi Dialect, and that its declensional and conjugational differences are only dialectic, and that its claims to be treated as a separate Language rest on the two other elements, which have to be weighed in differentiating a Dialect from a Language; the degree of divergence of its Phonetic system, and the proportion of local words in its Dictionary. While all the other Aryan Vernaculars are totally unintelligible to strangers, the officers of Government, European and Native, pass backwards and forwards from the Punjáb to Hindustan without any necessity, alleged or actual, for a test of linguistic knowledge, and it would be the merest pedantry on the part of any one to enumerate the Panjábi Language, as one of his acquirements, in addition to that of Hindi. I consider it, therefore, as the Western development of Hindi with numerous Dialects spoken in the hill and plains of the basin of the Five Rivers, which united discharge themselves into the Indus at Mithunkote.

Trumpp was employed by the Government of India at my suggestion, made in 1858, to translate the *Adi Grunth*, or Holy Scriptures of the Sikhs, compiled by Nanuk and his immediate successors. It is not often in India, that we get at the Language spoken by the people three hundred years ago. Trumpp examined the *Grunth* of Guru Govind Singh of a later date, and it will be a surprise to some to know, upon so high a linguistic authority, that this last

volume is written in pure Hindi of that period, and that the first volume is written in a Hindi Dialect, not a pure one, being full of provincialisms. Nanuk quotes largely from Kabír, and other Hindu Sectarians, who used the Hindi Language of their time, being in opposition to the Brahmins, who used the Sanskrit only. It must not be supposed, that the Punjábi of that period was essentially the same as Hindi, and that the peculiar grammatical forms have been developed at a later date, for Trumpp also critically examined the Junum Sakhi, a legendary life of Nanuk, written specially for the use of the people, and notices grammatical forms, quite unknown to the Hindi, and more approaching to the Sindhi, which will be noticed further on.

Leaving the Archaic form of the Language, we find no standard form of the modern Language represented at the capital of the Province, or in the Literature. I was one of the first English officers employed in the Punjáb in 1846, and found Persian the Language of correspondence and official documents, for which the Hindustáni, a Dialect of the Hindi, which will be noticed further on, was insensibly substituted, and has kept its ground; and in a return attached to the Administration Report of the Punjáb for 1874-75, I find that Dialect entered as one of the Vernaculars of every District; and in that sense it is correct, but in that only, as no portion of the population of any one of the Districts within the Language-Field of the Punjábi, as above defined, can be said to have Hindustáni for their Vernacular. All official and educational works are published in that Dialect, as well as the native newspapers.

Beames goes so far as to say, that in every District of the Punjáb, or even every Revenue-subdivision, there is a dialectal variation. This is, perhaps, not to be taken as literally correct. No doubt there is an immense difference betwixt the Dialect of the Hills and that of the lower Doabs. At the extreme South the Multáni and Uch

Dialects are transitional to Sindhi. In the neighbouring District of Mozuffurghur is the Dialect of Jugdwáli, probably akin to Multáni. In the central Districts the great Jut race dominates, and their Dialect is called Jathki. Drew mentions a Pothwari Dialect spoken in the submontane tracts, which I have never heard of elsewhere. In the lower ranges of the Himaláya betwixt the Rivers Indus and the Ravi, are the well-known sister-Dialects of Chibháli and Dogri. They are spoken by the same race, which to the West of the River Chenab has become Mahomedan, and to the East remains Hindu, and are the dominant clan, of which the Maharaja of Jummoo and Kashmír is the head. Drew gives a Grammatical Note of this Dialect; it is said to differ so much (probably in pronunciation) as to be unintelligible to outsiders. All the better classes, who come in contact with Europeans, speak Hindustáni, with an accent. It has no Literature, and Persian is the ordinary vehicle of correspondence and record. The Kangra District comprises the hill country betwixt the Rivers Ravi and the Beas, beyond which Hindi proper commences. In this District the Report of the Government classes the Dialect by the vague term of Puhári, but we have Vocabularies of the Dialect of the Gudees of Chumba, a pastoral race, and of Kooloo. It may be interesting from a linguistic point of view to have further particulars of the Fields and peculiarities of the Punjáb Dialects, but if Hindi or Hindustáni is to be the standard Language, a study of the Dialects will not have much practical importance.

The population of the Punjáb proper, from the Indus to the line drawn through Sirhind on the East, inclusive of the portion of the territories of the Maharaja of Jummoo and Kashmír, which lies South of the middle range of the Himaláyas, amounts to about thirteen millions, of which one-half at least are Mahomedan, and the plain has been for eight hundred years under Mahomedan domination, until the time of the Sikh uprising during last century.

The whole is now included within British India or the territory of the Maharaja.

It is an error to attribute to the local variety of the Indian Character, known as Gurmukhi, because it was used to convey to paper the sacred books of the Sikh spiritual teachers, the same extension as that of the Punjábi Language. That Character is used by the Sikhs for their private correspondence, their signet rings, and sacred books. Another variety of the Indian Alphabet is in use by the Dogri-speaking population, which has been modified by the present Maharaja. A third variety, known as the Lundi, is used by mercantile firms; a fourth, the Thákuri, is mentioned in the Kangra District: but for purposes of general Literature, official business, accounts, and correspondence, the Arabic Character has been for many centuries used, and is so still, being the official Character of the Government. The entire Bible has been translated into Punjábi; the word "Sikh" is added as an alternative description, and it is in the Gurmukhi Character, and its circulation must be limited.

BRÁHUI.

The Bráhui Language, which comes next on the list, has been provisionally classed in the Indic branch of the Aryan Family. The race who speak this Language are intermixed, as regards their habitat, with a totally distinct race, who speak Balúchi, described in the Iranic branch of the Aryan Family. They are entirely illiterate; not a single book exists in their Language, or specimen of their Language reduced to any form of writing. Nicholson, of the Indian Army, has lately translated a portion of Indian History into the Language, and printed it at the Commissioner's press at Kurrachi in the Arabic Character. It is one of the Languages, in which officers of Government are encouraged to qualify, and yet there is an entire absence of all appliances for acquiring the Language. It seems scarcely recognised, that the Bráhui is totally different

from the Balúchi. The Khan of Kelat is himself a Bráhu, but Balúchi is the State-Language, and he and his nobles speak both Languages. The two races intermarry, and are both Mahomedan, but the origin of the Bráhu race remains in obscurity. No Dialects are known of, and the number of the population is uncertain. Leech, of the Indian Army, as far back as 1838, published Grammatical Notes of this Language, upon which the theory was raised, that it was of the Dravidian Family, which will be noticed further on. Caldwell, in the first edition of his Comparative Grammar of that Family, supported that theory, which was combated by, among others, George Campbell in his Essay on the Ethnology of India, 1866. Mockler thinks that the Language is Scythian. However, in 1873 Bellew published a fuller Grammar as an Appendix to his "From the Indus to the Tigris," and thus enabled Caldwell to review the subject upon the new facts, and in the second edition of his Comparative Grammar to remark, that on the whole it seems to be derived from the same source as the Panjábi and Sindhi, but that it contains certain Dravidian elements, into which he enters fully, but excludes it from his list of Dravidian Languages. Thus the matter rests, but is by no means settled, and this remains as one of the problems for future Philologists, and it is to be hoped, that the Government of India will take steps to have a proper Grammar prepared, as the materials and access to the people are no longer wanting. The Language is also called Kur Gáli, or "False Speech."

We have two Vocabularies, one of Deruh Ghazee Khan in the Punjáb, and a second of Kelát. A material is thus supplied by these Vocabularies and the Grammatical Notes above alluded to for a statement of certain linguistic facts, without presuming to hazard an opinion. The Numerals "two" and "three" are Dravidian, and a few other words; but there is no sufficient resemblance in the common words of daily use, and in the Grammar, to class

it as ^{the} Dravidian. It differs from that Family in the matter of Gender, and resembles the Kolarian in that particular; it has a relative pronoun. One learned Professor from Bonn assures me, that the Language is Dravidian, and another from Munich maintains, that it is Kolarian, and that this opinion is the result of personal conversation with Bráhui-speakers. To settle this point I have solicited the Government of Bombay to forward to me several copies of Nicholson's History of India in Bráhui, which I shall circulate among competent scholars.

SINDHII.

The Political area of Sindhi does not correspond with the Linguistic, as the populations, who speak Dialects of that Language, are found both to the right and the left. The Division of Sindh in the province of Bombay comprises the tracts on both sides of the lower course and the Delta of the River Indus, with a population of one million and three-quarters, of whom one-fifth are Hindu, and the remainder Mahomedan. On the proper right are certain portions of the territory of the independent Khan of Kelát, the population of which is Mahomedan, but of uncertain numbers. On the proper left is the Peninsula of Káchh, an independent State within the Province of Bombay, inhabited by a population of half a million, who are Hindu. The inhabitants of Sindh and Káchh are in the ordinary stage of Indian civilisation.

There is fortunately a scientific Grammar of this Language, which places our knowledge on a sure base, by Trumpp. He describes it as being more intricate and difficult than any of its Aryan sisters, and as having preserved more of the original Prakrit forms. It has not been entirely decomposed, and shorn of its inflections, like the other Vernaculars. On the other hand, Sindh was exposed to the first brunt of the Mahomedan invasion, and never recovered itself from its alien conquerors. It is flanked on its proper right by a Balúchi

population, whose Language is described above, and many of that race dwell within the Sindhi Field. Thus it has come about, that the Language has borrowed from its Iranic neighbour a system of pronominal suffixes peculiar to itself and the Pushtu above described, and it forms a link between the Iranic and Indic branches, as its geographical position would lead us to expect. Trumpp's Grammar is Comparative with the chief Aryan Vernaculars, as well as special to Sindhi. The Gospels have been translated into this Language in the Arabic and Gurmukhi Characters, and into the Káchhi Dialect in the Gujaráti Character.

The Dialects are as follows:—1. The Jathki, spoken in Kuch Gundava in Kelát throughout the level country right up to the Balúchi hills. 2. Sirai (Upper Sindh). 3. Lari (Delta). 4. Vichóli (Middle Sindh). 5. Thareli (Desert). 6. Káchhi (Káchh). 7. Jadgali (Mukran). 8. Menth (sea-coast). Of these we have notices by Trumpp, Beames, Burton, and Hughes. Of Káchhi we have more particular information; it is a transition Dialect from Sindhi to Gujaráti, and as such interesting linguistically. John Wilson, Missionary of Bombay, remarks, that it is spoken to a small extent in the territory of the Jadégá Rajpoots in the North of Kattiawar, but is little used in any form in Literature or business. The Gospel of St. Mark was translated into it by the Chaplain of Bhoj, and published in 1834, and a copy presented by Wilson to the Rao, who remarked, that the Language was generally understood and spoken by the lower orders, but was not used for a single letter, and was not taught in the schools, being supplanted by Gujaráti. With regard to the Menth or Mung Dialect, it is entered provisionally. Sir W. Mere-wether informs me, that it is neither Bráhui, Balúchi, nor Sindhi, but that the people are supposed to be early immigrants from India. It is safer, therefore, to leave the question an open one. It is spoken by fishermen.

Stack and Beames remark, that there were twelve or thirteen varieties of Characters in use in Sindh at the time of the conquest in 1842, some differing very widely from the others, and this fact is confirmed to me by Sir William Merewether, late Commissioner of the Division, Trumpp mentions, that there is a considerable amount of Literature, and he has adapted the Arabic Character with additional letters to suit the Sindhi sounds. The Language is thoroughly Prakritic, being a descendant of the coarse Aprabhansa Prakrit, and required the Indian Character; but the Mahomedan conquest swept out the Hindu religion and culture, and the converts, disdaining to use their old Character, adopted the Arabic with modifications, rude and insufficient, to represent the peculiar aspirates and cerebrals. Such of the Hindus as remained refused to use this Character, and kept up a variety of indifferent local Characters, varying from time to time. An attempt was made by the Government of Bombay to remedy this by introducing a new Character, the Nágari modified, into the schools, but the scheme was not worked out on scientific principles, and has not received popular approval. To add to the confusion, certain Missionaries committed a great blunder, and persuaded the Bible Society to publish a translation of one of the Gospels in the Gurmukhi Character, used by the Sikhs in the Punjáb. Lepsius in his "Standard Alphabet," London, 1836, notices the controversy. I hope that Trumpp's opinion, given in 1872, after a careful study of the subject, has settled the matter.

HINDI.

It is very difficult to notice this great Language suitably in the brief space available. It has been decided to exclude Punjábi, Nepáli, and Gujaráti from this Field, though many reasons might be brought forward for grouping them as Dialects. Even thus circumscribed, Hindi impinges on all the other great Aryan Vernaculars, melting away so

imperceptibly on each frontier into the cognate Language, that it is impossible to define the exact limit. Their boundaries having been defined, it is unnecessary to repeat them. On the side of the Himaláya, the snowy range divides the Aryan from the Tibeto-Burman Family; on the side of Nepál, an imaginary line is drawn in the Terai, and the details are totally unknown. The Language-Field of Hindi is stated to comprise 248,000 square miles, and the number of the Hindi-speaking population within the Independent States and the Provinces of the Punjáb, North-West Provinces, Central Provinces, and Bengal cannot fall short of eighty millions: these figures are mere approximations, as the precise boundaries are not known. The Language-Map, attached to the Census Report of the Central Provinces, shows how the hardy Hindi-speaker has quietly obtruded himself across the River Nerbudda into the heart of Gondwána, coming into contact with Uriya, Maráthi, and Gond. As might be supposed, the Dialects are very numerous, and vary very considerably, different opinions having been given on the subject. Hall argues, that the standard is still very unsettled, and that the schools of Agra and Benares pull different ways, one too much towards the Persian, and the other towards the Sanskrit. He denounces the Prem Sagur as a specimen of purism, which never really existed. Kellogg, on the other hand, looks on Eastern Hindi as the standard. He thinks that the Dialects may be reduced to two or three main divisions.

We have of this Language the modern standard official and educational types, as printed in books and newspapers at Agra, Benares, and Lucknow. Then we have the Archaic form, as represented in the works of Chánd, of a date of at least seven hundred years; and a so-called 'old Hindi' of a much later date than the above in the writings of Kabír, the Grunth of Guru Govind Singh, and the Ramáyuna of Tulsee Dass. Trumpp proposes to call the Language of an older date than the Grunth "old Hindu-i," the Language of the Grunth "Hindu-i," and the

current Vernacular "Hindi." I proceed now to define the Dialects of this last.

Hindustáni or Urdu is not a territorial Dialect, but a Lingua-franca. Trumpp maintains that it is a "Mixed Hindi Language." It can scarcely be said correctly, that it is the common Language of any one District, though freely spoken by many classes. For a long time after the Mahomedan conquest, the conquerors spoke Persian, and the conquered Hindi. At length the Camp-Language became settled in the sixteenth century: to me it seems that it is essentially a Dialect of Hindi. Kellogg will not allow, that the difference betwixt Hindi and Hindustáni is in Vocabulary only (for Kabír and Tulsee Dass in their writings and the rural people in their speech to this day use Arabic and Persian words), but in grammatical forms and syntax also. Moreover, standard Hindi contains a certain proportion of loan-words, grammatical inflections, and alien linguistic influence, either Non-Aryan, proceeding from the population anterior to the Aryan immigration, or Semitic and Irano-Aryan from the Mahomedan immigration. Taking the Hindustáni of Dehli as the standard of purity, we have in Southern India another variation in the so-called Dekhani, and it is admitted, that there are points of difference in it from the Northern Lingua-franca. A still further degradation or dilution of the Language takes place by the admixture of Romance-Aryan words in the Dialect of the Portuguese Settlements on the West Coast of India. It may be remarked, that the other great Aryan Vernaculars are heavily charged with Arabic and Irano-Aryan loan-words, but have never developed into a Lingua-franca like the Hindustáni, which in some cases contains fifty per cent. of loan-words and incorporates phrases, and grammatical inflections, to a great extent.

Kellogg considers the Non-Aryan influence on the Hindi as of minor importance. This remark can hardly apply to the Dialects spoken by Tibeto-Burman and Kolarian races. He looks upon the great Dialects as collateral branches of

the old Aryan speech of India, in some instances older and less divergent from the Archaic form than the standard Hindi. The great Language-Field may geographically for convenience be broken up into the following Dialect-Fields, each containing several Dialects:—

1. Lower range of Himaláya, betwixt the Rivers Beas and the Gogra, which last is the boundary of the Tibeto-Burman Language-Field.
2. Northern plain, from the confines of the Punjábi Language-Field to the Ganges.
3. Trans-Gangetic plain, including the Nepál-Terai, as far as the Eastern frontier of the old Province of Oudh.
4. Southern plain, from the confines of Rajpootana to the Ganges, as far as the junction of the Jumna.
5. Rajpootana.
6. Bundelcund and Bhagelcund.
7. The old Benares Province, including the Nepál Terai.
8. Buhár in the Province of Bengal.
9. Valley of the Nerbudda, } in the Central Provinces.
10. Gondwána,

In the Appendix a detail of fifty-eight Dialects is given, the materials being collected from very different sources, and, as no test has been applied to differentiate the Dialects, or to limit the area within which they are spoken, this first attempt must be accepted as provisional. On the one hand, we have wild uncultivated Dialects, represented by scant Vocabularies, laden with Non-Aryan loan-words, but in structure Hindi; and we have hybrids betwixt Dravidian and Hindi, or Tibeto-Burman and Hindi. On the other hand, we have translations of the Bible in several Dialects, alleged to be of Rajpootana, made at Serampore, and never tested by use. It is clear, therefore, that the subject of the Dialects of Hindi is an open one for future linguists.

The fact is, that, owing to the very central position occupied by Hindi geographically, and the dominant political status of that Language, on all sides there seems

to be a process of other Languages passing into Hindi, which may in truth be said to be the real and original Vernacular of the Hindi people, and that all the other Aryan Vernaculars are variants of it, caused by the influence of Non-Aryan communities. It may therefore be accepted as a rule, that all Aryan Dialects, not brought home to a Sister Aryan Language, must be provisionally classed under Hindi, as a kind of common linguistic reservoir. It is necessary to make some further subdivision, and the only expedient, that I can devise, is to group them according to their being free from, or affected by, the influence of Sister-Language-Families. I strive thus to account for all names, that appear in any List or Vocabulary. The Aryan Dialects are well known. Sir J. Malcolm, however, alludes to Rangri as the Dialect of Hindi taught in the schools of Central India, and states that it prevails from the Indus to Bundelcund, from Jessulmere and Jypore to the Satpura range, and that with provincial differences the Language is the same. It is clear from this description, that by Rangri he meant at that time Dialects of Hindi, spoken all over Central India, and now resolved into separate Tribal and Political subdivisions.

Among the Semi-Dravidian Dialects we find the Chentsu, spoken in the hills of District Vizigipatam of the Madras Province; the Ramusi, Lambadi, and Korawur wandering tribes and gipsies; the Hulahi of the Chutesgurhi Division of the Central Provinces, spoken by a Gond people. There will be many others, when we come to know the fringe of tribes on the frontier of the two Language-Families.

Still more numerous are the Semi-Kolarian Dialects. The Language of the Bhils in the Bombay Province, Rajpootana, and Central India is understood to be a Dialect of Hindi. The race has lost its peculiar Language, but kept a great many words, and no doubt phonetic variations. Many persons assert, that the Bhil Language still exists, but the only Vocabulary, which I have seen, is com-

posed entirely of Hindi words. In the Central Provinces the Larya or Chutesgurhi Dialect is conspicuous, and the Nimári, and the Hulba, Purja or Tugara, and Bhuttia of Bustar. To these must be added the Dialect of the Bhogtuhs, near Pala-Mow in Chútia-Nagpore of the Bengal Province, and the Kharwar, Byga, Binjwar, Punka, Mehra, and Katya. Vocabularies are supplied.

The Semi-Tibeto-Burman Dialects of Hindi are still less known, and with reference to amount of population more important. The tendency of the hill tribes is to descend to the Terai, and adopt a form of the Hindu religion and an Aryan Dialect. Among these are the Durahi, Dah, Deuwar, Kuswar, Tharu, Bhuksa, Pakhya, and Gadi. The subject has been little studied, and in this direction lies the work of the next quarter of a century. I can only bring together scattered names, and ask local inquirers to work out the details.

The Bible has been translated into Hindi, and many of its Dialects in the Nágari and Kaithi Characters; and in the Hindustáni Dialect in Nágari, Arabic, and Roman Characters. The result of this first attempt to take stock of the Dialects of Hindi, represented actually by books or Vocabularies, is that there are five varieties in the Special Group, twenty-seven in the Aryan proper, five in the Semi-Dravidian, thirteen in the Semi-Kolarian, eight in the Semi-Tibeto-Burman : in all, fifty-eight varieties, in addition to the printed educational, Governmental, and public press, standard, which is sometimes called Khuree Boli, or High Hindi.

The Character used for Hindi and its Dialects is known as Devanágari for the Hindu sacred books. The same Character is termed Nágari for the ordinary requirements of life. A tachigraphic form of the same adopted by the writer class is called Kayasthi or Kaithi. A further degradation is used in the commercial world under the name of Suráfi or Muhájuni. Side by side with these Characters, used by Hindus exclusively, is the Arabic

adapted form used for Hindustáni by Mahomedans, the official world, and educated men of the new stamp irrespective of religion. Both the Nágari and Arabic are used in the documents issued by the State.

Several living scholars have written on the subject of Hindi, viz., Beames, Kellogg, Grouse, Hall, Bate, and Hœrnle, and we may hope to see a comprehensive Essay on the dialectal variations of this great Language.

NEPÁLI.

I have thought it best to maintain provisionally Nepáli, called also Khas, Parbatya, or Pahária, as a separate Language, though there is good show of reason for classing it as a Dialect of the Hindi. It is the Language of the Hindu Goorkha dominant race, who represent, and maintain, the independent kingdom of Nepál, lying outside of British India, and paying tribute to the Emperor of China. It must in nowise be confused with any of the numerous Non-Aryan Languages, spoken in the valleys and mountains of the Himaláyas by the Tibeto-Burman races subject to Nepál, which will be noticed further on. Hœrnle in his Essay on the Gauria Languages mentions it as a distinct Language; as far back as 1820 Aiton of the Indian Army published a Grammar, which is the only book which I have seen. The New Testament has been translated into this Language in the Nágari Character. Beames in his Indian Philology gives four Dialects, but three of these apparently belong to Hindi; and there remains the Palpa, spoken in the Western extremity of the valley, of which I have found neither Vocabulary nor description. The number of the population, who speak Nepáli, is quite unknown. The jealousy which prevents any European or any stranger entering Nepál, and the ignorance of the Goorkhas, has been the cause of this uncertainty, and yet the presence of so many Goorkha soldiers in the ranks of the Native Army might have led up to the acquisition of better knowledge. They use a

variation of the Nágari Character. Even if it should prove upon closer examination to be only a Dialect, it is probable, that the admixture of Tibeto-Burman elements, from the contact with the numerous forms of speech surrounding it in Nepál, will present singular phenomena^o of phonetic changes, and possibly of grammatical forms. Vocabularies have been published.

The founder of the Goorkha dynasty emigrated from Tirhút with his clan in the fourteenth century A.D., and there is a strong resemblance between the Nepáli and the Bhojpúri Dialect of Hindi. In the Provinces of Nepál West of the Kali River it has nearly eradicated the Tibeto-Burman pre-existing Vernaculars, and, though less prevalent in the Provinces East of that River, it has even in them, as far as the Trisool Ganga, divided the empire of speech almost equally with its rivals. It is terse, simple, sufficiently copious in words, and very characteristic of the unlettered but energetic race of soldiers who use it. It is wholly Aryan in structure, and has only one-fifth of Tibeto-Burman loan-words, but some of these are the chief words of the Language. It has no Literature, and only a few trivial books, but it is spoken and written with ease and correctness, and for purposes of business is concise and clear. It is impossible to define its limits on the side, on which it melts away into Hindi. A difference of opinion exists as to why it is called Khas. One party, headed by Hodgson, maintain that there was a tribe called Khasya, barbarous mountaineers of a race essentially the same with the other Tibeto-Burman races, for their race is inscribed in plain characters on the faces of the people; the immigrants from the plains must have intermarried with the natives, and thus created a new and intermediate stock. On the other hand, Beames maintains, that the word Khas is only the Persian word for "Select," and assumed by the dominant tribe in the usual arrogant habit of conquerors, very much as the Sikhs called themselves the Khalsuh.

BENGÁLI.

The boundaries of the Language-Field of Bengáli are well marked ; it is shut in by the sea, the mountains of the Kolarian Races and the Tibeto-Burman Races, and impinges on the North on Hindi, and on the South-West on Uriya. On the banks of the Mahanunda River both Hindi and Bengáli are spoken badly. The Bengáli of the Surjapur subdivision is unintelligible to any ordinary speaker of Hindi or Bengáli. On the confines of Orissa about the Subarnarekhá River, and along the Hijli coast, even to within a short distance of Midnapúr, a corrupt form of Uriya is spoken, with corrupt Bengáli. The population of Bengáli speakers is officially reported to be thirty-seven millions, a little more than half Hindu, and the remainder Mahomedan, and the whole region is within the Province of Bengal and of a very compact shape, and densely inhabited. There is a divergence of opinion on the subject of Dialects. Missionaries of standing have assured me, that there are none, but this is contrary both to analogy and experience. Beames remarks, that the cloud of dialectic forms is bewildering ; that apart from the Calcutta standard of the educated class there exists among the peasantry no common standard ; that a peasant of the Eastern Districts would be as unintelligible to a resident of the Central Districts, as he would be to a Marátha or Sindhi. He would class the Dialect of Central Bengal as the standard, and group the variations under the general heads of Eastern, Northern, and Southern. We have seen, how the contact with the kindred Languages of Hindi and Uriya have produced Dialects on both frontiers. Still more marked must be the effect of the contact of Kolarian and Tibeto-Burman Languages on the East and West flank, especially, when it is considered, how many millions of Non-Aryans on both sides have passed into Semi-Hinduism or Mahomedanism, carrying with them much of their ancient Vocabulary.

It so happens that no great Political or Ethnical subdivisions of the Province have introduced the name of a Dialect, such as we find in Hindi, but, as a fact, we have the Dialects of Purneah, Rungpur, Kúch, Sylhet, Rabha (Pàti); Meeyang, and Chittagong; the people are no doubt Non-Aryan, but they have adopted a debased Aryan Language with its culture and religion. Another marked Dialect is that known as Mahomedan Bengáli, in which the Bible is translated into Bengáli in Roman Characters. This Mahomedan Bengáli has not vindicated to itself the same literary status, as the Hindustáni of Northern India. It is composed of analogous elements, and is the Language of millions, but these Mahomedans were not of the upper, learned, and ruling classes, nor were they of the conquering races from the West, but debased, ignorant Non-Aryans from the East. The literary Language of Calcutta has been already mentioned; it differs from the colloquial more than is the case in any other known Language; obsolete forms are brought forward by pedantic authors, and Archaic, and even Sanskrit inflections. Much as the Dialects differ from each other, the measure of difference of the Literary Language from any one of them is much greater. The above has been pointed out by Shama Churn, a most esteemed grammarian, who mentions one fact, that Bengáli had the good luck, like the English, of having no grammatical gender, and yet the pedants are introducing it. The misfortune is, that the servants of the State learn their Bengáli from books, and expose themselves to remarks and ridicule by speaking the Language, as it is written. The real Vernacular of a country is that Language, in which the upper and middle classes converse, and to which the speech of the lower order constantly tends to approach. There is no occasion to allude to the Literature, or the linguistic works, of this great Language. It uses a peculiar and very elegant variety of the great Indian Character. On the whole, this is a very strong Language, and not in the least likely to disappear.

ASAMESE.

The Asamese is another of the Languages, regarding which a doubt arises, whether it will maintain its separate existence, or sink down to the position of a Dialect of Bengáli. At any rate, it must be carefully distinguished from the Languages of the Non-Aryan tribes, which surround the valley of Assam, which will be noticed further on. This is unquestionably an Aryan Language. We have a Dictionary by Bronson, a Missionary, who claims distinctly an individuality to this Language. The Ahoms, Shan invaders from the South, of the Tai Family, who will be mentioned further on, in spite of their long domination, have left no mark on the Language, nor have the Burmese and Kachári, members of the Tibeto-Burman Family, who will also be mentioned further on, nor the Mahomedans. It is the Language of the entire population of the Brahmapútra valley, and the people are not willing to abandon it. It is laden with Sanskrit loan-words; but the Grammar of the Asamese is quite different from Bengáli, as far apart as Italian and French from each other. The Language requires cultivation. The loan-words are used with modified meaning and pronunciation, and some are altered in form. Up to this time the Language has had no standard: the words of the Dictionary have been caught from the mouth of the people. The valley is now constituted into a separate Province of the second rank, and the officers of Government will be expected to qualify in the Language, which, though only one out of many spoken in the valley, will still be the Court Language. There is scanty Literature, though there is a peculiar Character, in which the whole Bible has been translated. It is difficult to state with precision the population, which uses Asamese as a speech. The Census Returns account for two millions, of whom under two hundred thousand are Mahomedan, and more than a million and a half are Hindu, and we may

presume that these speak Asamese. There are Vocabularies in existence. Good prose is found in the Buronjies or Histories, written about two hundred to three hundred years ago. Most of the poetic works differ so widely from the spoken Language, that the prose works are better for study; but one thing is clear, that the Language existed in its present form for centuries, and the pronunciation corresponds rather with the Hindi Language-Field, whence came the emigration of its colonists, than with that of Bengal, who had no access to the valley until after the Mahomedan invasion.

URIYA.

The Uriya or Utkala, or Language of Orissa, has never had fair justice done to it. The idea has ever been, that it was the Language of two Districts in the South-West of the Bengal Province, and Stirling does not hesitate to call it a tolerably pure *Basha* of Bengáli. It is now understood to be an entirely independent Language, spoken by at least eight millions, who are chiefly Hindu, over an area of sixty thousand square miles within the Provinces of Bengal, and Madras, and the Central Provinces. A large portion of this Language-Field is situated in the territory of semi-independent, and until lately quite unexplored, feudal chiefs, lying on the confines of the three Provinces. It is obvious, and admitted, that the Dialects on the frontier of the Bengáli (the Northern) and Telugu countries (the Southern) must differ considerably. The same remark applies to the Dialect of Sumbhulpúr. The Uriyas themselves state, that the Language is spoken in the greatest purity in the Hill State of Gumsúr; but considering, that this State is occupied in part by Kolarian and Dravidian tribes, this assertion may be doubted. The Language of Cuttack is no doubt the standard. The whole of the Khond country, peopled by a Dravidian people, is an enclave in the midst of the Uriya Language-Field, and the dominant classes and officials in the Khond country

are Uriya. We are informed that in one State, Kala-hundi, there is a distinct Dialect; but our knowledge is very imperfect. There is a peculiar Character, with modifications in different parts of the Field, of the Indian Family; it is the only one of the North Indian Characters, which has adopted the curvilinear form of the upper strokes, which was necessitated by the writing materials being an iron stylus and a leaf of the fan-palm; straight incised lines would have split the leaf. Uriya has a Literature, the earliest monuments of which date back three hundred years, partly synthetic, and partly analytic, indicating that it existed long before Bengáli was a fixed and settled Language. It is fairly well supplied with linguistic books. The study has never been fashionable in any of the Provinces, and, though one of the standard Languages for servants of the State, it is not likely to become popular. The Bible has been translated into this Language in the local Character.

MARÁTHI.

The Maráthi is one of the strong Vernacular Languages of North India. It is spoken by a population of ten millions in the Province of Bombay, the Central Provinces, and the territories of the Nizam of the Dekkan, chiefly Hindu. Beames combats the theory, that it is the lineal descendant of the Maharáshtri Prakrit, as there is as much of the Magadhi and Sauraseni Prakrits in its constitution, as of the Maharáshtri. John Wilson, in the Administration Report of 1872-73 of the Bombay Province, lays down with care the boundaries of this Language-Field, which in this case are peculiarly important, as it impinges on Gujaráti and Hindi, and several of the Dravidian and Kolarian Languages, which will be noticed further on. The boundary on the West extends along the coast from the Portuguese Settlement of Daman on the North to Goa on the South. The River Daman Gunga till its emergence from the Ghats forms its Northern limit. Above the Ghats

it follows the watershed amidst the jungle tribes to the River Tapti, and to the Satpurá range. From the neighbourhood of Gavilghur, where an offshoot commences from the Satpurá Range, the boundary runs East in the direction of Betul and Sioni, terminating to the East at the Ghats between Nagpur and Sioni, whence in a somewhat semicircular form with Nagpur for its centre, it turns Southward, Eastward, and Westward, touching on Lanji and Wairagurh, where it meets the Gond and Telugu. It then goes on to the neighbourhood of Chánda, from which place it begins to run to the West to the town of Máhur, along the River Payin Gunga, separating it from Telugu. From Máhur it runs South to the River Godaveri, whence in a very irregular line it begins to go to the South-West, touching on Bijapur, from which it goes to the River Krishna, which separates it from Kanarese, till the course of the Krishna makes a bend to the North nearly opposite Kolapur. This line then runs South-West to Goa. Now, as this line traverses a large portion of the territory of the Nizam, which is very imperfectly known, we may fairly expect some modification of these boundaries, recorded in 1872-73, when our knowledge is more precise.

Of this Language there is a Dictionary by Molesworth and Candy, with a Preface by Wilson. Of ordinary Primers there are many, and in 1868 a Student's Manual has been published by a native of India anonymously, based on scientific principles and with a Preface of importance. The Language is the vehicle of a yearly increasing Literature, and has some ancient Literature, the earliest of which dates back to 1290 A.D. The Nágari Character is used. The ordinary terms are Balbódh and Modi. Although it possesses 20,000 words, it has admitted a great many loan-words from Arabic, Persian, as well as Sanskrit. No inscriptions are found in it. The Orthography is unfixed. It is described as copious without order, energetic without rule, and with no fixed standard of classical purity.

The Bible has been translated in the Balbódh and Modi Characters. Many authorities have pointed out its Non-Aryan features. It is said to yield one-tenth of Non-Aryan words, but a more precise knowledge of phonetic laws may possibly greatly reduce this number. The Dialects of the tableland are opposed to those of the coast below the Ghats or the Konkan. I have tried in vain to get precision. A Non-Aryan element, having a slight resemblance to that of the Kolarian Family, according to John Wilson, is found in Maráthi, and the wild tribes, by whatever name known, who dwell within the Maráthi Language-Field, retain these words, and constitute a Dialect. The tableland round Poona is the centre of the Desi, and to the South is the Dakhini. The Dialect of Nagpúri is separate. There is no doubt, that the Konkani so called is a Maráthi Dialect, but by this term is not meant the slight dialectic difference, which exists between the speech of the population of the narrow littoral in the longitude of Bombay. Proceeding South, in the country converging on the Goa territory, we find a Dialect of Maráthi, which John Wilson states is as different from Maráthi as Gujaráti is. Independently of the peculiarity of the Dialect, the Kanarese-speaking population are in this corner of the Province of Bombay intermixed with the Maráthi-speaking population in a manner very remarkable, but not without analogous instances in Europe. As regards the Konkani, we have a guide in Burnell's Dialects of South India, as he has devoted one volume to this subject. He divides the linguistic expression "Konkani" into three Dialects—1. The Northern. 2. That of the Goa territory. 3. That of a particular class of the inhabitants of South Kanara. The first requires no notice. The second, known as Goadesi or Gomantaki, is illustrated by a large Literature formed by the Jesuits, consisting of a Grammar in Portuguese, and a Christian religious book called *Puran*, the work of a Jesuit named *Estava*, said to have

been Stephens, an Englishman. This Literature is three hundred years old, and it is not often that we get a sight of the Vernacular of the people at so remote a period. The New Testament was translated in 1818 into this Dialect in the Nágari Character, and much Sanskritised. The third is spoken by the Roman Catholic community of Mangalore in the Province of Madras. It has no Literature, but Burnell has translated into it the Parable of the Sower, and the Dialect is of great linguistic interest, as displaying the action of the Dravidian Language of the coast, the Malayálím, and Tulu, which will be mentioned further on, not only on the phonetics, but on the Vocabulary of the Aryan Language. A form of the Roman Character is used, introduced by the Jesuits, but inferior to the Standard Alphabet by Lepsius. A Konkani Grammar is stated to be under preparation. Cunha Rivara has published in 1858 at Goa the interesting Portuguese linguistic works alluded to.

GUJARÁTI.

The Gujarátí Language is the last of the Aryan Vernaculars, which lie in one ring-fence. It is itself shut in betwixt the Sindhi, Hindi, and Maráthi, and occupies the smallest area of all, but it has been adopted, as a Language of commerce, by a large population beyond that area, and this expansion of the Language cannot be shown on the map or estimated in figures. John Wilson, in the Administration Report of the Bombay Government of 1872-73, thus defines the Language-Field: Its North boundary is the Gulf of Kachh, and a line drawn from the Eastern extremity of that Gulf through Dísa, and running to the South of the Abu mountains to the Western face of the Aravalli range on the East. Its Eastern boundary is the range of hills running from the shrine of Ambabhaváni through Champaneer to Hámپ on the River Nerbudda. This river forms its Southern boundary also from Hámپ to the jungles of Rájpiplá, whence it strikes to the South, its

Eastern line being that of the Sayádri Ghats till opposite Daman, where its extension to the South terminates, its Southern boundary in this direction being the Daman Gunga River. From Daman to the Gulf of Kachh, including the Peninsula of Gujarat, the ocean is the boundary. The population amounts to six or seven millions, chiefly Hindu, and civilised, in the Bombay Province of British India, or the independent territory of the Guicowar of Gujarat. This Language is largely used beyond this area in the City of Bombay, though in use it is much charged with foreign words, and its Grammar is so corrupt, as to form a dialectal variety. It is used by the Mahomedan Khojas from Kachh, the Boras, the Seths and Bhatias, Hindus from Kachh, the Marwáris, and the Parsis, who do not, like the above named, use Gujaráti as a convenient Lingua-franca over and above their own Vernacular, but substitute Gujaráti for their national Iranic Language, which is obsolete and forgotten, except as the vehicle of their Sacred Literature.

Beames remarks that, after all, Gujaráti is little more than a Dialect of Hindi; that, like the Hindi and the Punjábi, it has advanced in the course of decomposition (though not quite to the same extent, as it still retains three genders), and for this reason it has been adopted as a Lingua-franca, as the less a Language is encumbered with Grammar, the wider will be its extension, of which fact we have many obvious instances. Similarity of words with the Maráthi has been noticed, but it is asserted, that where both Languages have the same word, they derive it from a common fount of West Aryan words. It is admitted that the Literature is very poor, and has to be created, and that the Orthography is doubtful and has to be settled. There is great activity in the Native Press, and many newspapers are published in the Language. The Bible has been translated in the Gujaráti Balbódh Character, into the Suráti, and Mercantile Dialects of this Language. There are two other Dia-

lects, the Kattiawari and Ahmedabadi, and as allusion is made to transitional Dialects to Maráthi, and to Marwári, a Dialect of Hindi, it is clear, that our information on this branch of the subject is far from complete. Mention is made of Dialects spoken by the Bhils and Pauriya, hill tribes of the West Satpurá range.

The written Character used is an obvious modification, by the omission of the upper line of the Nágari, and is called the Balbódh, but it is defective in letters and clumsy in form, and might with advantage be abandoned in print. There is no sufficient Grammar or Dictionary. The loan words from Sanskrit, Arabic, and Persian are numerous.

SINHALESE.

It would have caused surprise some twenty years ago to find Sinhalese ranked among the Aryan Languages of India, but this is a generally received fact now. The history of the colonisation of the Island of Ceylon by Vijaya, son of Sinhala, from Buhár, in the sixth century before Christ, and the subsequent introduction of Budhism by Ananda from the same quarter, have since the publication of the Makavanso by Turner been confirmed by the linguistic investigations of Childers. Friedrich Müller of Vienna and others still doubt. The existence of this Language has been taken back at least two thousand years by the inscriptions found by Goldschmidt of the Archaeological Survey. This places it on a much higher level than the other Aryan Vernaculars, and entitles it to rank as a Prakrit with Pali, to which it has a close resemblance. If it ever was the Vernacular of the whole island, that has long ceased to be the case. From time immemorial the North of the island has been occupied by colonies of Hindus, speaking the Tamil Language, a member of the Dravidian Family, which will be noticed further on. A line, drawn from

Ghilaw on the East Coast to Batticaloa on the West, divides the populations. The Sinhalese are Buddhists, and possessed of an ancient civilisation, amounting to one and three quarters of a million, all subjects of England in the Colonial Department. There is an abundant Literature, although Pali is the Language, in which their sacred books are written. There is no lack of linguistic books. The Bible has been translated into this Language in the Sinhalese Character. Tennant remarks, that Sinhalese compositions are free from that licentiousness, which disfigures the Indian, and the Language is so flexible, that it admits of any kind of rhythm. The Játakas of Buddha have been translated into Sinhalese, and there have been native Grammarians of repute. The Character is a distinct variation of the Indian, no doubt borrowed from Southern India, as it resembles ancient Kanarese. It is written with an iron style on palm leaves.

There are several Dialects, and they require special notice. The Elu is the Archaic form of the Language, exclusively used to this day for poetical compositions, and, in consequence poetry is unintelligible to those who have not studied this Dialect. Elu books come down from the fifth century of our era. The word is a corruption of Sinhála. The difference of the modern Language is due to the number of new grammatical forms, and to the number of Sanskrit words borrowed at a late period, though these last are not used in the spoken Language of the lower classes. Another Dialect is that of the Veddahs, the descendants of the Yakkos aborigines, found in the island by Vijaya. They are wild, dwelling in the forest, and Pagans, but their Language is distinctly Sinhalese, without any admixture of Sanskrit or Pali. Many no doubt of the aborigines were absorbed into the ranks of the conquerors, founding the lower castes, for, in spite of Buddhism, the Sinhalese have castes. A singular feature of the Sinhalese Language may be noted, that some of its ingredients can be traced to a higher fount than the Pali, viz.,

the Sanskrit. Another Dialect is that of the Rodiyas in the Kandyan Hills, one thousand in number, in a still lower social status and civilisation than the Veddahs. Their Language is formed of corrupt Sinhalese mixed up with unintelligible words. Vocabularies are supplied.

A more important Dialect is that which is spoken in the Maldivian group of islands, dependent upon Ceylon. It is said to contain a population of twenty thousand, with a limited amount of civilisation, converted forcibly to Mahomedanism by the Arabs. But little is known of the people or the Language, and the fullest Vocabulary is that supplied by Pyrard de Laval, an unwilling resident, as a shipwrecked captive, for several years in the commencement of the seventeenth century. Christopher visited them in 1834, and reported, that the Language is substantially the same throughout the island, but there was a dialectal variation in the Southern Islands, where there was less intercourse with foreign navigators and settlers. Different Characters are found on tombstones in the islands. A knowledge of the most ancient, called Dewehi Hakura, is nearly lost in the Northern, though still used in the Southern Atolls. They were written from left to right, and were syllabic. Inscriptions in the ordinary Arabic Character are also found. The modern Character, written from right to left, is known as Gabali Tana, and was introduced, when the islands were recovered by the Mahomedans from the Portuguese. The last nine of the old letters have been abandoned in favour of the first nine Arabic numerals. A Grammar is said to be in process of compilation.

CHAPTER III.
DRavidian Family.

GENERAL.

THE second Family is the Dravidian, a name assigned to it by Caldwell, as more suitable than the old name Tamulic. Although the four great members of this Family lie compactly together in the Peninsula, yet some of the outlying members are at a very great distance to the North in the midst of Aryan populations, and one race of mountaineers approaches the banks of the River Ganges. The number of Languages of this Family amount to fourteen :—

| | |
|----------------|-----------------|
| I. TAMIL. | VIII. KOTA. |
| II. TELUGU. | IX. KHOND. |
| III. KANARESE. | X. GOND. |
| IV. MALAYÁLIM. | XI. ORAON. |
| V. TULU. | XII. RAJMAHÁLI. |
| VI. KUDAGU. | XIII. KEIKÁDI. |
| VII. TODA. | XIV. YERUKÁLA. |

Although the Dravidians held their own, yet in process of time some of them accepted the Brahmanical civilisation of their Aryan neighbours; but the four Northern races, and two mountaineer tribes of the South, have to this day maintained their savage ways and Pagan religion. There has been and exists still a difference of opinion as to the relation of this Family to the Aryan Family. Pope in the introduction to his *Tamil Handbook* in 1868, states

that the more the Dravidian Languages are studied, the closer their affinity to Sanskrit will appear, and the more evident will it appear, that they have a primitive and original relationship to Aryan. He has repeated this opinion in 1876 in the "Indian Antiquary," and his opinion deserves great weight. Gover agreed with Pope. On the other hand, Caldwell, following Rask the Dane, and Norris of the Royal Asiatic Society, and supported by Friederich Müller of Vienna and Max Müller, asserts a distinct individuality to the Family, quite opposed to Sanskrit, from which, however, it has borrowed much, and to which, according to Gundert and Kittel of Madras and Stevenson of Bombay, it has lent much. Caldwell has shown, that this Family once extended over nearly all India, and Burnell adds, that geographical names in all parts of India, in spite of Sanskritised forms and false derivations, attest this fact to this day. To this day it is spoken by forty-six millions in India and Ceylon; therefore it is a Language-Family of first-rate magnitude. Caldwell admits, that of all Scythian Language-Families the Dravidian presents the most numerous, ancient, and interesting analogies to Aryan. While Pope finds Keltic affinities, Caldwell finds analogies in Semitic, Australian, and African Languages. There are three Characters, in addition to Archaic forms, employed in this Family by the six Languages, which are cultivated. Burnell, in his "South Indian Palaeography," has discussed the origin of these Characters, and the relation which they bear through the Asoka alphabets, or the Archaic Vattelutto, to the Phœnician Alphabet; but on this subject there is a division of opinion.

The distinctive features of this Family are, that in its gender it distinguishes between rational and irrational objects: it has an oblique form for many of its nouns: it specialises the meaning of a root by the use of formatives: it modifies the root itself to convey different meanings and relations: it has a negative voice.

TAMIL.

The Tamil, called sometimes the Malabar, is the most Southern of the Family, and employs a peculiar Character, derived from the well-known Indian. A distinct Character, the Grantham, is used for Sanskrit manuscripts. The limits of this Language-Field are well defined. In the Madras Census Report of 1871 there is a Language-Map of that Province. Tamil is spoken from a few miles North of the city of Madras to the extreme South of the Eastern side of the Peninsula, throughout the plains of the Karnatic or country below the Ghats, from Pulicat to Cape Comorin, and from the Ghats to the Bay of Bengal. It is also spoken in the Southern portion of the independent kingdom of Travancore on the Western side of the Ghats, from Cape Comorin to the neighbourhood of Trivandrum, and in the Northern parts of the island of Ceylon as far as a line drawn across the island from Ghilaw to Batticaloa. The extension is even beyond this line, as the labourers in the coffee plantations in the Candy Hills, who are immigrants rather than settlers, speak Tamil. It is also the Language of the domestic servants of Europeans throughout the Province of Madras. Add to this, that the majority of the immigrants from the Peninsula into British Burma and the Straits Settlements, known as Klings or Kalingahs, are Tamil-speakers, and so also are a large proportion of the emigrant coolies to the Mauritius and West Indies. Caldwell estimates the total number at fourteen and a half millions, chiefly Hindu. It is the oldest, richest, and most highly organised of the Dravidian Languages, exceedingly rich in Vocabulary, and cultivated from a very remote period. Shen Tamil is the literary Dialect, and used for poetry. Kodun Tamil is the standard used for ordinary purposes. They are sufficiently distinct to require separate study. A very considerable Literature exists in this Language, among which are native gram-

matical works. The study of Sanskrit, and the Hindu culture, have left their mark on the Language. Its earliest Literature dates back to the eighth or ninth century of our era. The whole Bible has been translated in the Tamil Character. The famous Grammar of the Jesuit Beschi led the way, and the Comparative Grammar of Caldwell leaves little to be desired for the study of this Language, which is a strong Vernacular, not likely to be supplanted. Three less important Dialects are recorded, two spoken by a scant population of Pagan hill-men in the Neilgherries, the Irular and Kurubar, and one by the Malearasas, wild Pagan hill-men on the Northern slopes of the Anamulli range. Burnell, in his "Dialects of South India," notices the Dialect of Tanjore, and the Dialect of the Brahmans of Tanjore. There is also a Dialect spoken by the Vellulers of the Shervarog Hills.

TELUGU.

Next on the list of Dravidian Languages is the Telugu or Telinga. It ranks next to Tamil in respect of culture and glossarial copiousness, and surpasses it in euphonic sweetness. It used to be called the Gentoo, but this term has disappeared. It is spoken by the people of the Northern Circars, Kurnool, Cuddapah, part of North Arcot, Nellore, and some parts of Bellary in the Madras Province, and in a portion of the Nizam's territory, and the Central Provinces. It ranges from Pulicat, where it meets Tamil, to Chicacole, where it yields to Uriya. Inland it extends as far as the Eastern boundary of the Maráthi country and Mysore. A large portion of Telugu-speakers have intruded themselves within the Tamil Language-Field, and there are some in the independent territory of Mysore. Caldwell reckons the whole number at fifteen millions and a half, but all calculations respecting the Nizam's territory are uncertain. No Dialects are recorded by grammarians, but the Language-Field impinges on the Uriya and Maráthi of the Aryan Family, and the Gond and Khond,

uncultured members of the Dravidian, and the Savára of the Kolarian Family, to be described further down, and debased Dialects are spoken in Bustar of the Central Provinces, in Jypore of the Madras Province, and by the wandering Ramúsi and Korawar. It has a peculiar Character, a variation of the Indian, and a considerable Literature, dating back as far as the twelfth century of our era. It has borrowed considerably from the Sanskrit. The whole Bible has been translated into this Language in the Telugu Character. It has sufficient linguistic books.

KANARESE.

The boundaries of the Kanarese Language may be designated by a line drawn from Sudáshivagadh on the Malabar coast to the Westward of Dharwar, Belgaum, and Húkeri, through Kágal and Karandwar, passing between Keligaum and Pandegaum through Brahmapúri on the Bhimá and Sholapur, and thence East to the neighbourhood of Béder. From Sudáshivagadh following the Southern boundary of Sundá to the top of the Western Ghats, it comprehends the whole of Mysore and Coimbatore, and the line of Eastern Ghats. In certain portions of the Field there is an intermixture of Maráthi-speaking population. The number is estimated at nine millions and a quarter in the Provinces of Madras and Bombay, and the independent territory of the Nizam and Mysore, chiefly Hindu. Taking the modern colloquial Language as the standard, we must enter the classical or ancient form of speech as a Dialect, which differs from the standard by the use of different inflectional terminations. The Dialect of the Badagas or Burgers, a numerous Hindu tribe in the Neilgherries, agricultural immigrants from the plains, is a very ancient one. Many of their songs have been published by Gover, and the Gospel of St. Luke has been translated into this Dialect in the Kanarese Character, which is in all essentials identical with that of the Telugu, but there is an Archaic Character of Sanskrit

inscriptions found over a large area, called "Hala Kan-nada." There is no lack of linguistic books. Dialects of this Language are spoken by the wild Pagan hill-tribes.

MALAYÁLIM.

The Malayálim Language is spoken along the Malabar Coast on the Western side of the Ghats or Malaya range of mountains, from Chandragiri near Mangalore, where it supersedes Kanarese and Tulu, to Trivandrum, where it is superseded by Tamil. The population amounts to three millions and three-quarters in the Province of Madras, and the independent States of Cochin and Travancore chiefly Hindu, with a sprinkling of Mahomedans, Jews, and Christians. It has a peculiar Character. There is a Dictionary on the Comparative Method by Gundert. The Language is peculiarly related to, and geographically intertwined with, Tamil, of which it is an ancient offshoot, but much altered. The proportion of Sanskrit loan-words is the greatest in Malayálim of all Dravidian Languages. Its Literature has been described by Gundert. A Dialect of this Language is spoken by the forest tribes on the Western slopes of the Anamulli range, and a more remarkable one by the Mappila of the Western Coast, and the inhabitants of the Laccadive Islands. Burnell, in his "Specimens of South Indian Dialects," gives a specimen of this Dialect in the Roman Character and the Mappila adapted Arabic Character, which is used by all except a few who have retained the Vatteluttu or old Tamil-Malayálim Character, concerning which there is an interesting discussion in the "Palaeography of Southern India" and the "Indian Antiquary." This Dialect must have been formed a thousand years ago. It has a Literature of its own, and has sub-Dialects. It may be added, that the Mappila-Arabic Character is used by all educated Mahomedans, who know nothing of the Arabic Language. It is not known who made the adaptation of the Arabic Alphabet, but it is very

ingenious and sufficient. The inhabitants of the Laccadive Islands are immigrants from the Malabar Coast, and they resemble the Mappilas of the coast; but they all became Mahomedan six hundred years ago. The islands used to belong entirely, and now do in part, to the chiefs of Kannanore. One island, Minikoi, lies half-way betwixt the Laccadives and the Maldives, but belongs to the Mappila chief of Kannanore. The inhabitants speak a Language, called Mahl, a corrupt Dialect of Malayálim, and quite unintelligible to strangers. The population exceeds twelve thousand. The Bible is translated in the Malayálim Character. There is no lack of linguistic books.

TULU.

Tulu or Tuluva is a cultivated Language, but occupies a very small Field. It is destitute, however, of a Literature, and has no peculiar Character, and uses the Kanarese. It is one of the most highly developed of the Dravidian Family. The Chandragiri and Kalyanpuri Rivers in the District of Kanara of the Madras Province have ever been its boundaries. The population amounts to only about three hundred thousand, chiefly Hindu, and the Field has been so broken in upon by other Languages, that Tulu may soon disappear. It is interesting, because it seems to have been cultivated for its own sake, and it is well worthy of a careful study. The Missionaries teach their Christians Kanarese, as well as Tulu. The Tulu Brahmins use the Malayálim Character for Sanskrit manuscripts. It differs far more widely from Malayálim than Malayálim does from Tamil. It differs not so widely from Kanarese, still less so from Kudagu. Brigel has supplied a Grammar. The New Testament has been translated into this Language in the Kanarese Character.

KUDAGU.

The last in the list of cultivated Languages is Kudagu or Coorg, but it is very doubtful, whether it is cultivated.

There used to be an independent State of that name, but it is now part of the Madras Province. Kudagu is certainly an independent Language, and not a Dialect of one of its great neighbours, and in Caldwell's opinion stands midway betwixt Old Kanarese and Tulu. Cole, Superintendent of Coorg, has compiled a Grammar, and some songs have also been published. Their retired mountainous position has enabled this tribe to maintain their Language free from change. They are only semi-Hinduised, as they practise Polyandry and worship demons; they number about one hundred and sixty thousand, and have no Literature or Character. The Kanarese is used as the literary Language, and is understood by all; so the Kudagu will not long survive. Small as is the Language-Field, there are still Dialects, but not distinctly named. Burnell has published specimens in his "South Indian Dialects," using the Kanarese Character.

TODA.

Many books and papers have been written upon the subject of the Todas of the Neilgherries, in the Madras Province, far beyond their deserts. Their residence is in the neighbourhood of Ootacamund, which has brought them under the observation of Missionaries, scholars, and chance tourists. They are Pagans, in the lowest stage of civilisation, and practise Polyandry, and do not exceed seven hundred in number. Their Language presents a different and interesting variation of the Dravidian Family, and is valuable for comparative philology, but both race and Language will soon be extinct. Their Language was once highly inflectional, but, when it lost most of its inflections, the people, who have evidently degenerated in every way, as the result of isolation, have not replaced them by significant particles, or auxiliaries, to the same extent as the other South Indian tribes, and it has thus dwindled down to a mere skeleton, and barely suffices for the purposes of a barbarous people. It was originally

Old Kanarese, and not a distinct Language, and an emigration probably took place from the coast to the hills eight hundred years ago. Vocabularies and Grammatical Notices are supplied.

KOTA.

The Kota tribe dwell intermixed with the Todas, but are totally distinct, and to a certain extent submissive to the latter. They are hardworking, peaceful Pagans in a very low stage of civilisation, and are very disgusting in their habits. They have resided from unknown antiquity in the Neilgherries, and their Language is decidedly Dravidian, with certain analogies to Tamil, and yet more nearly allied to Kanarese than to any of the other sister-Languages. They have neither Character nor Literature, and it cannot be expected that the Language will long survive. Vocabularies are supplied. The number of people is very small.

KHOND.

The tribe known as Khond, Kandh, Kho, Kus, and Ku, speak a Dravidian Language. They have attained an unenviable notoriety amidst the Pagan tribes of India, as having persistently maintained the practice of human sacrifice, known as Meriah, up to a very late date, until it was stamped out by the exertions of British officers. There is reason to believe, that this practice was shared with others, and even with some of the Hindus, at an earlier period, but the special feature of the Khonds is, that they clung to it, while others had abandoned it. They occupy a portion of the hilly tract known as the Cuttack Tributary Muhals in the Province of Bengal, and spread down into the district of Ganjam in the Province of Madras. The River Mahanudy was said to be their Northern boundary, but in the Map attached to the Census of Bengal, 1872, they are described as extending far to the North, and this fact is confirmed by other authorities.

They seem—at least some of them—to have practised female infanticide as well. The officers, appointed to the task of suppressing these abominable practices, studied the Language, and Grammars have been published. Several Dialects are noted, as might be expected in a Language which comes into contact with the Uriya of the Aryan and Telugu of the Dravidian Family. The standard is quite uncertain, but there are separate Dialects at 1. Goomsur, 2. Daringahadi, 3. Rumes, and 4. in the Orissa Muhals. There is neither Character nor Literature, and it is to be regretted, that the political domination of the Uriya people has led to some Khond books being published in the Uriya Character. Others have been published in the Roman Character. The Language is now one of those, for the acquirement of which encouragement is given by Government. The number of victims rescued from the Meriah-sacrifice, and transferred to mission schools, gave excellent opportunities of studying the Language. It is quite distinct from Gond, and has a closer resemblance to Tamil and Kanarese than to Telugu and Gond. The number of the Khonds in the Bengal Province amounts to fifty thousand, and in the Madras Province to eighty-eight thousand. A great many books have been published regarding the Khonds.

GOND.

The Gond Language comes next under consideration. In old maps of India a large territory was marked Gondwána, which is now part of the Central Provinces. The tribe of Gonds is found also in the Provinces of Bengal and Madras. In fact, the tract reaches from the Vindhyan Mountains to the River Godavery, and from the country of the Khonds in the Cuttack Tributary Muhals as far as the country of the Bhils in Khandesh and Malwa to the West. It is, however, divided into two considerable enclaves. There are at least a million and a half Gonds in the Central Provinces, and an uncertain population beyond these limits. Some are Pagans; some have adopted a

semi-Hindu religion and culture; some conform entirely to Hinduism, and claim to be Rajpoots; some are Mahomedan, their chiefs having become so from interested motives; and now, as there are several Missions at work in their midst, some few are Christians. Many of them have abandoned their native Language, and speak a Dialect of Hindi, Uriya, Maráthi, or Telugu. Some are respectable and civilised agriculturists; others are in the lowest state of wild and shy savagery, and nearly entirely naked. It is admitted, that so late as 1852 Gondwána was a totally unexplored country, a Sahára in our maps, and that the boundaries of the adjoining Languages, Hindi, Uriya, Maráthi, and Telugu, were unknown. This tract had, in fact, been a bit of cover, in which, when the plains were swept by hunters, the wild tribes had taken refuge, and thus survived the ever-advancing Hindu immigration, and the ever-absorbing Mahomedan conquest. So long as the independent kingdom of Nagpúr lasted, this was the state of affairs, but, when the Central Provinces were formed into a separate administration, the clouds began to be raised. It was found that among the Northern Gonds the following Dialects existed:—1. Gayeti, 2. Rutluk, 3. Naikude, 4. Kolámi, 5. Mahadeo, 6. Raj. Among the Southern Gonds also the following:—7. Maria, 8. Maree, 9. Gotta, 10. Koi or Koitor. We have Vocabularies of these Dialects, and descriptions of these tribes. It is to the Missionaries, that we are indebted for Grammatical Notices, and text and translation of Gond songs. There is clearly a close affinity in the Gond Language to Tamil, Telugu, and Kana-rese, in some particulars to one, and in some to others. There are a great many Hindi loan-words, and on all sides there are transitional forms of debased admixture of Gond with the adjoining great Languages. It has a very elaborate conjugational system, and, as this is not a feature of other Dravidian Languages, the idea is hazarded, that it may have been borrowed from the contact of Kolarian neighbours. It is impossible to define its exact boundaries, but

generally the Hindi-speaking cultivator has seized the plains, and pushed the Gonds to the hills. Portions of the Bible have been translated into this Language in the Nagári Character. There is every reason to expect, that it will hold its own, and not give way to its encroaching neighbours. The Missionaries at Dumagúden report the necessity of using in the schools the Koi Dialect of Gond, and the preparation of Grammatical Notes and Vocabularies in that Dialect. The part of the country, where the wildest Gonds live, is in the independent State of Bustar, and a portion of it called Abajmárd or Mádian, but the name of the tribe is Máree. Much more is required to do justice to this Language. There is neither Character nor Literature.

ORAON.

The Oraons of Chutia-Nagpur and other places in the Province of Bengal number six hundred thousand. They are called also Khurukh and Dhangars, and are an industrious race, known far and wide as day-labourers. They are Pagans, and dwell to a certain extent intermixed with the Mundáris, who will be noticed further on; and sometimes even the Oraons have been confusedly designated as Koles, with whom, however, they have no connection. Dalton gives a full account of their customs, and Flex has published a Grammar. The Language unquestionably is Dravidian, but the Aryan neighbours and conquerors of this tribe have influenced not only the customs of the people, but their Language to such an extent, that gradually the pronunciation and orthography have been modified. There are a great number of Hindi loan-words. The syntactical structure of the sentence has also been modified. The Dravidian element has survived in the first few numerals, the pronouns, specially the personal pronouns, and the declensional and conjugational structure. The latter is extremely irregular and complicated. Flex remarks, that this Language has been severely

handled by the Aryans, and, though struggling hard for independence, bears the stamp of the Aryan mind on its brow. It has neither Character nor Literature, and the Roman Character is used. It will scarcely survive the struggle for life, which has now commenced.

RAJMUHÁLI.

In the Province of Bengal, in the hills, which actually overhang the Ganges at Rajmuhál, dwell the mountaineer tribe known as the Rajmuháli, or Malers, or Paháris. The skirts and valleys of these hills are occupied by the Sontháls of the Kolarian Family, who will be noticed further on. But these hill-men have attracted notice since last century, when they were weaned from lawless pursuits by the judicious management of Mr. Cleveland. They are still Pagan, and in a low state of civilisation; and it is a remarkable fact, that they have retained their unmistakably Dravidian Language notwithstanding the neighbourhood of the superior Aryan races and the encroaching Kolarian. We have nothing beyond Vocabularies, but Caldwell remarks, that the evidence of the lowest numerals and pronouns is clear. There is a large admixture of Aryan loan-words; there is neither Character nor Literature. But as this Language is on the extreme flank of the Dravidian Family, and the nearest to the Tibeto-Burman, it deserves a more particular study. In many respects the people and Language resemble the Oraons. Their numbers are said to amount to four hundred thousand, but it is a question, whether their Language will survive.

KEIKÁDI.

In Hunter's list of Non-Aryan Languages appears a Vocabulary of Keikádi, which is described by Hislop as that of a wandering tribe, whose route lies more remote from the Tamil country than the Telugu, and yet the Language approaches Tamil more than other Dravidian Languages. Nothing further is known of this Language,

which is only provisionally entered as a separate Language in the present state of our knowledge. Probably it will subside into the position of a Dialect of Tamil on a more intimate acquaintance.

YERUKÁLA.

In Hunter's list of Non-Aryan Languages appears a Vocabulary of Yerukála. In Hodgson's *Aborigines of the Eastern Ghats* we find that a Madras civil officer supplied a Vocabulary of this tribe, and from his notice I conclude, that their habitat is somewhere in the hilly country of Vijigapatam District of the Madras Province. The tribe is also mentioned in *Bustar* of the Central Provinces. Nothing further is known, and this Language is provisionally entered as a Dravidian Language in the present state of our knowledge. It will probably subside to the position of a Dialect of Telugu.

CHAPTER IV.

KOLARIAN FAMILY.

GENERAL.

To George Campbell we are indebted for the word "Kolarian," as the name of a class of Non-Aryans in Central India, who are not Dravidians. Hodgson had first drawn attention to the affinity betwixt the aborigines of Central India and Southern India with the aborigines of the Himalayas. Max Müller, in his celebrated letter on the Turanian Languages to Baron Bunsen, pointed out, that there were clearly two distinct Families of Languages. Caldwell made up the Dravidian Family by the inclusion of some of the tribes of Central India and the exclusion of others. Campbell collected roughly those excluded tribes into a Family of their own, and in 1866 called them Kolarian, and that name is now accepted. Like the Dravidian, it is morphologically Agglutinative, but with distinct characteristics. Like the Tibeto-Burman, it probably found its way to its present habitat from the plateau of Tibet, but it has so long been cut off from all connection with that Family by the storm-wave of the Aryan immigration down the valley of the Ganges, that nothing but faint analogies survive. It must decidedly be treated, as an independent Family, occupying ground in the Provinces of Bengal and Madras and the Central Provinces, chiefly in the hills, and intermixed with the more energetic Families, the Aryan and Dravidian. Nearly two millions have kept their Language. Ethnologically the number is greater,

but whole tribes, like the Bhils in Khandesh, Malwa, and Rajpootana, the Bhars, Bhuyas, &c., have adopted an Aryan Language in debased Dialects. While, on the one hand, Trumpp is of opinion that Brahúi, which I have provisionally classed as Aryan, is Kolarian; on the other hand, from the necessity of the case, I am constrained to class the Mal-Pahária, or Naia Dumka, as Kolarian, or leave them out altogether, or form a separate Family for them, which would be hardly justified by the scanty material.

It is worthy of remark, that the Kolarian Family has a higher degree of inflection, and more complete indigenous Vocabularies, than the Dravidian. Everything for the present is provisional, and the following Languages are entered:—

| | |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| I. SONTIÁL. | VI. KUR. |
| II. MUNDÁRI, BHUMIJ, | VII. SAVÁRA. |
| HO, OR KOLE. | VIII. MEHTO. |
| III. KHIÁRIA. | IX. GADARA. |
| IV. JUANG. | X. MAL-PAHÁRIA. |
| V. KORWA. | |

I can only allude to the hypothesis, based upon alleged linguistic affinities and resemblance of names, betwixt the Language of the Mundas and of the Mons of Pegu in the Mon-Anam Family, which will be described further on. There are names of weight on both sides. A much more intimate knowledge of the structure of Mundári is required, before any opinion can be formed.

The following characteristics of this Family may be noted. In its genders it makes a distinction betwixt animate and inanimate objects. It has no oblique forms for its nouns. It has a dual number, while the Dravidian Family has not. It has no negative voice. It has two forms for each tense, which in most of the Languages gives the verb a transitive and intransitive meaning. It varies the meaning of a root by infixing syllables, but never changes, like the Dravidian, any of the letters of the root itself.

SONTHÁL.

The beautiful and vigorous Language of the Sontháls comes first. The tribe is found at intervals much scattered in a strip of the Province of Bengal extending about 350 miles from the River Ganges to the River Baitarni, in the Districts of Bhagalpur, Sonthál Perganas, Birbhum, Ban-cooruh, Hazáribagh, Manbhumi, Midnapur, Singhbhum, and Balasore. The Sonthál Perganas are the nucleus of the tribe, but only lately occupied by a move forward. They are Pagans, and peaceful agriculturists, in number about one million. Several Protestant Missionaries have settled down among them, and find them very docile. One of these, Skrefsrud, has published in 1873 a Grammar, superseding the one published in 1852 by Phillips. It is asserted that the Sonthál is as superior to its sister-Languages, as Sanskrit is to its cognate Languages, and that it is not even second to the Osmanli-Turki in grammatical structure. Its verb-system is artificial and complex, yet logical and transparent, for it possesses voice, mood, tense, gender, number, person, case, conjugations, including five voices, five moods, twenty-three tenses, three numbers, and four cases. The Language is unwritten, and is now rendered in Roman and Bengáli Characters. There exist common roots for very primitive ideas in Sanskrit and Sonthál. Portions of the Bible have been translated into this Language in the Roman Character, and many educational works published. Four Dialects are recorded, which is not improbable, considering that there has been no settled standard till now; that the Language-Field is surrounded and intermixed with other Kolarian, as well as Dravidian and Aryan, Languages.

MUNDÁRI.

Dalton groups together the following tribes, and gives their number:—

| | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---------|
| Mundáris, | . | . | . | 400,000 |
| Hos or Larka Koles, | . | . | . | 150,000 |
| Bhumij, | . | . | . | 300,000 |
| | | | | <hr/> |
| | | | | 850,000 |

Their habitat is on the plateau of Chútia-Nagpore, which is connected with the Vyndha range. Here the aborigines of India have found a secure asylum for many centuries, in a beautiful region, which was a gigantic natural fortress, about 2000 feet above the level of the sea, in extent about 14,000 square miles, with rivers flowing in every direction. They are active agriculturists in the Chútia-Nagpore, Manbhumi, and Singbhum Districts of the Bengal Province, and Pagans, but in former years they have proved high-spirited, and difficult to govern; but all this has passed away. Protestant Missionaries are established among them, and by one of them a Grammar of the Mundári has been published in 1873. One of the Gospels has been translated into this Language in the Nágari Character. It is an unwritten Language, without any standard, and there are several Dialects diverging very considerably from each other. The Dialect of Mankipati is the one in which Hindi has made the slightest inroad, and the Language is consequently purer. Any one familiar with that Dialect will be perfectly well understood by all the Mundári-speaking people of Chútia-Nagpore, and the Larka Koles of Singbhum. Hindi words and phrases are largely used, but attempts are made to restrict the use. The Language-Field is situated in the critical position of the point of juncture of three powerful Aryan Vernaculars, Hindi, Bengáli, and Uriya; and the Dravidian Oraons are intermixed with them, and in the struggle for life it may go hard with this Language. The Larka Koles never had submitted to a foreign ruler until now. The Language is also spoken by the Birhors, a Pagan tribe about seven hundred in number, who live in the jungles of Hazáribagh, in the same Province, and to whom is imputed the atrocity

of eating the dead bodies of their nearest relatives, like the Battas of Sumatra in the Malayan Family, who will be noticed further on.

The term Kol is certainly a lax one, as for some time the Oraons, who belong to the Dravidian Family, were included in it. As a fact, the Moondas and Oraons dwell together in the same villages, meet in social gatherings, but never intermarry. It is also stated, that the term Kol is one of opprobrium, and that its further employment as the designation of tribes, who call themselves by other names, might with advantage be discontinued.

KHARIA.

The Kharias are found in the district of Singhblum of the Province of Bengal, in a very wild state, living in back-woods and on the tops of hills. They are more civilised in Chútia-Nagpore, and are agriculturists. They are Pagans. Of their Language we have Vocabularies, supplied by Dalton, but nothing beyond. There are affinities to Mundári and Juang. There is no Character, and this Language will probably die away.

JUANG.

The Juangs, Malhars, or Puttooahs, are grouped in the Kolarian Family on account of linguistic affinity. Their Language approaches nearest to that of the Kharia. Dalton considers it by no means certain, that the Juangs may not at one time have spoken a different Language. The words for common and familiar objects are identical with Mundári and Sonthál; but they have lived so long in the Tributary Muhals of Cuttack, among an Uriya population, that they have adopted Uriya words, and there are words also, which are neither Aryan, Kolarian, nor Dravidian. It is possible, that they are the remnant of one of the great Forest-races, which occupied the whole mountainous region before the immigration of the Kolarians. They are found in Dhekánah and Keonjhur of Cuttack, in

the Province of Bengal. They cultivate the steep sides of hills in settlements of their own, of about twenty houses, but intermixed with other tribes. They repudiate all connection with any other tribe, and maintain, that their Language is separate from all others, and that they are the earliest human beings of the locality. They are a most primitive people in habits and customs. They had till lately no knowledge of iron. They neither spin nor weave, nor have the least knowledge of pottery. They practise the Jhum system of agriculture. The women used not to wear a particle of clothing, but bunches of leaves before and behind, hanging to a girdle of beads. They were deterred by superstition from wearing clothes, and believed that, if they did, they would be devoured by tigers. Hunter mentions that within the last few years a large supply of cloth has been distributed by the State, and engagements taken from the men, that the women should henceforth wear clothing. There is nothing but Vocabularies of their Language. They are Pagans, and have no Character. Neither tribe nor Language are likely to survive.

KORWA.

In the centre of a dependency of Chútia-Nagpore, called Barwah, live the wretched forest-cultivators, the Korwas, separated from the cognate Kolarians, and intermixed with other tribes; but it is admitted, that they are the earliest settlers, and were once masters of the country. Their number does not exceed fourteen thousand, and they lead a savage and nomadic life. They are Pagans, wholly illiterate. Nothing exists but Vocabularies of this Language, and a long duration cannot be expected for it.

KUR.

The Kur and Kurka dwell in the Central Provinces, on the Mahadeo Hills, and Westward in the forests on the Rivers Tapti and Narbudda, up to the Bhil country. On the Mahadeo Hills they prefer to be called Muasi. They are

Pagans, and, though residing amidst Gonds, their Language is Kolarian. Vocabularies are supplied by Hislop and Dalton. They are wholly illiterate. In the Districts of Hoshungabad and Bétul their number exceeds fifty-nine thousand. This Language will scarcely survive very long.

SAVÁRA.

In the Madras Province, and surrounded by Aryan and Dravidian neighbours, we come upon another small Kolarian tribe, speaking a distinct Language. They are known as Savára, or Sabara, or Sowruh, and supposed to be the Suari mentioned by Pliny and the Sabarce mentioned by Ptolemy. They are found on the West and back of the Mahendra mountain in the Ganjam district of the Madras Province, and their Language-Field is duly marked off on the Language-Map of the Census Report of the Madras Province. They are said to number about eighteen hundred, to dress in leaves, though they have picked up a little civilisation from their Uriya and Telugu neighbours. They are quiet and industrious, and dwell in villages. There are some still wilder members of the Family in the hills. Of their Language little is known beyond Vocabularies. They are Pagans. Dalton, in his Ethnology of Bengal, mentions this tribe by name as occupying the country betwixt the Khond Hills and the Godavery, and retaining a primitive speech; but he adds, that the Bendkar Savaras speak Uriya, and conform to the customs of Hindus of the lower castes, and dwell in the State of Keonjhur dependent on the Cuttack district of the Bengal Province. It may be expected that this Language will be crowded out by Telugu or Uriya. In the Madras Census Report, the Sowruh of the Jypore district in the Madras Province are described as semi-Hinduised, and have forgotten all knowledge of any Language but Uriya.

MEHTO.

The Ethnological Committee of the Central Provinces indicate a tribe called Mangee, or Mehto, in the hilly

tracts of Belaspur, who are Pagans, and who, judging from the short Vocabulary supplied, speak a Kolarian Language.

GADABA.

The tribe of Gudba, or Gadaba, inhabit the Eastern portion of Bustar in the Central Provinces, and Jypore, a dependent State of the Madras Province, where they are numerous. Glasford notices them. Their Language clearly belongs not to the same stock as their neighbours the Gonds, of the Maria Dialect, but to the Kolarian Family. It is interesting to find a Kolarian Language imbedded among the Dravidians down in the South-East. Glasford, in his report of the Bustar District, supplies a Vocabulary. Some of the words are identical with words of the Koorku, Kol, and Sonthal Languages. This same tribe is found again in the highlands of Guddapur, of the Ganjam District of the Madras Province. They are Pagans. We cannot anticipate a long life to this Language. In the Madras Census Report they are connected with another tribe called Kerang-Kapus, who speak the same Language.

MAL-PUHÁRIA.

Dalton mentions the existence in the Ramgurh Hills of the Birbhum District of the Bengal Province of a tribe, who call themselves Mal-Puhárias, but who are altogether different from the Rajmuháli Puhárias, or Malers, of the Dravidian Family. A Vocabulary was collected by Coates from a prisoner in the gaol, but the words seem to be as far removed from Kolarian as Dravidian. They are Pagan, and have their separate customs. It was necessary to enter the Language somewhere, that it might not be overlooked. The classification is entirely provisional. They are also called Naia-Dumka. This Language, and that of the Melitos, is entered for the purpose of exhausting the subject, and accounting for all the Languages, of which Vocabularies have been supplied.

CHAPTER V.

TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY.

I APPROACH the Tibeto-Burman Family with some misgivings, for the Field is imperfectly explored, it is unusually extensive, and the classification is new, and I have no authority to follow, as in the three preceding Families. Little has been done in the way of classifying and arranging since the date of Max Müller's letter to Bunsen on the Turanian Languages a quarter of a century ago, yet in some parts of the Field our geographical, ethnical, and linguistic knowledge has so extended, that a reprint of that letter would do more harm than good. It is my present task to indicate, what has been done, and what remains to be done, and I see signs that something more will soon be done.

The interior grouping of the members of this enormous Family must for the present be based upon geographical considerations, and upon no other. It extends from the River Indus and the frontier of Dardistan, already described in the Aryan Family, in a South-Easterly direction to the River Mekong and the Isthmus of Kraw, in Siam. It embraces the whole length of the Himaláya range and the kingdom of Tibet, and portion of Yunan in China beyond. It is admitted, that there is a linguistic affinity connecting seven groups out of the eight, which make up this Family. The old phrase of Hodgson, "Tamulic," must be abandoned, as based on an error admitted by that scholar; the term Turanian is decidedly objectionable, as implying too much; the pro-

posed subdivision of Max Müller into Gangetic, and Lohitic, would at best only apply to part of the Field, and is inappropriate. We must fall back upon a compound name, formed from the two leading Languages of the Northern and Southern branches of the Family. It is a positive fact, that Tibetan and Burmese are the only two great literary and political Languages of the Family.

It will be observed that in the Himaláya range within the Province of the Punjáb and the North-West Province, the Aryans seem to have pushed the Tibeto-Burmans across the great Watershed, and the Languages of this Family to the West of the River Gogra or boundary of Nepál are all Trans-Himaláyan. It is more convenient to exhaust the groups this side of the Himaláyan Watershed first. The following groups are suggested, as a convenient mode of grappling with the subject:—

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| I. NEPÁL GROUP, | 13 Languages. | 16 Dialects. |
| II. SIKHIM GROUP, | 1 Language. | 1 Dialect. |
| III. ASSAM GROUP, | 16 Languages. | 23 Dialects. |
| IV. MUNIPÚR-CHITTAGONG GROUP, | 24 Languages. | 8 Dialects. |
| V. BURMA GROUP, | 9 Languages. | 10 Dialects. |
| VI. TRANS-HIMALÁYAN GROUP, | 8 Languages. | 23 Dialects. |
| VII. CHINA GROUP, | 6 Languages. | None. |
| VIII. ISLAND GROUP, | 10 Languages. | 3 Dialects. |
| <hr/> | | |
| Total, | 87 Languages. | 84 Dialects. |

This seems the only way of bringing this enormous Language-Field, comprising eighty-seven Languages, and eighty-four Dialects of those Languages, under review. The arrangement is entirely provisional. It is not pretended, that the list of Languages is exhausted, that Vocabularies exist for all the entries, or that the habitat of every tribe is indicated. In another particular there is extreme laxitude. Many names have been entered as Languages, which closer scrutiny may reduce to the rank of Dialects of other Languages. On the other hand, many, now entered as Dialects, subordinate to some

Language, may assert their right to be classed as independent Languages. Scanty Vocabularies represent the great portion of these Languages. In some cases we have Grammatical Notes, in others we have Grammars. In this direction emphatically lies the work of the next quarter of a century. We must have Grammars, and in some cases scientific Grammars, which in due course will be followed by Comparative Grammars and Dictionaries, thus making substantial contributions to the sum of linguistic knowledge in a most interesting direction, just where the Monosyllabic Method is giving way to the earliest development of the Agglutinative.

It may be asserted generally, that this Family belongs to the Agglutinative Order. It is distinguished from the two preceding Families, Dravidian and Kolarian, both of the same Morphological Order, by its two tones, or, in the absence of tones, by its peculiar determinative syllables. It has not both the cerebral and dental row. It has no grammatical gender. The genitive follows the substantive; the verbs in most of the Languages have no person-endings. It is distinguished from the three Monosyllabic Families, with which it comes into geographical contact, the Khasi, Tai, and Mon-Anam, by the position of the determining noun before the determined, by grammatical relations being denoted by suffixes, by the inverted construction of its sentences, the absence of a relative pronoun, and the verb coming at the end of the sentence.

Hodgson asserts, that there is distinct evidence of the existence of two classes of Languages, one of the pronominalised, or complex type, and the other of the non-pronominalised, and simple type. By this term is meant the use of the pronoun in the form of affixes and suffixes. Brandreth last year published a scheme for the general classification of the whole Family on Morphological data, making a great step in advance.

The geographical position of this long range of Languages is remarkable. The most Eastern wave of Aryan

civilisation rolled up against an impassable barrier, the Himaláya mountains, but for which the older culture, which Tibet had imported from China, would have given way to the fresher Aryan culture established at Kanouj and Benares. In spite of this mountain barrier, Tibet has received from India her religion, Literature, and Character, but she has conserved to this day her own Language and type of civilisation, by enforcing a system of absolute isolation, which it must be the work of the next quarter of a century to break down.

Nepál has in a measure succumbed to Aryan influence. The Mahomedan conquest drove Brahmins from the plains to these valleys, and not only did the Aryan Nepálí thus get a footing, as the ruling Language, but each of the Tibeto-Burman Languages, that has attained any degree of culture, is indebted for it to Aryan influence, and these mountaineers, just in proportion to their ceasing to be savages, began to be semi-Hindus.

A special connection of some of the Languages of this Family has been asserted with the Kolarian Family. The distance on the map from the extreme point of the Southern mountains of Assam to Rajmuhál is, as the crow flies, not so great as to forbid the idea, that India has been occupied at remote periods by Pre-Aryan immigrants from Trans-Himaláyan regions. But we await a more scientific comparison of Languages, and more complete ethnological research, before the theory can be firmly established, that the Nisháda dark-coloured Kolarian races were immigrants from the plateau of Tibet. This is a question of Ethnology as well as of Comparative Philology.

The linguistic phenomenon of tones appears in the Languages of this Family. Robinson, to whom we are indebted for Grammatical Notes of some of the Languages of the Assam group, thus describes it. In Languages of monosyllables the colloquial medium is limited. On a new object being presented to the mind, a new name was wanted, and the possibility of uniting two words

to form a new word never occurred. A monosyllable already in use must therefore be made use of again, but differentiated by a tone, adding force, length, or rapidity of pronunciation. There are in practice four tones: first, the even and moderate, neither raised nor depressed: "*recto tono*;" secondly, strong, rough, and vehement; thirdly, strengthening the beginning, and then lengthening the end; fourthly, short and hasty. It is obvious, that the Agglutinative Method gradually limited the necessity of tones, and the Inflexive method superseded it altogether. As a fact there is an entire absence of tones from the Dravidian Family.

I. NEPÁL GROUP.

The first group represents the area of the independent kingdom of Nepál, between the Rivers Gogra and Tiesta, the plains of British India and the plateau of Tibet. The Himaláya is not an unbroken chain or unsurmountable barrier to separate this plateau from the basin of the Ganges, but is pierced by numerous mountain passes. Max Müller compares this portion of the range to a hand with five fingers expanded towards India. Each interval marks the basin of one of the four great feeders of the Ganges, the Gogra, the Gunduk, the Kosi, the Tiesta. There is of this region also three climatic transverse divisions, each having a width of thirty miles. The first is the upper region of the crest of the higher range, with an elevation of 16,000 feet down to 10,000; the second is the central region, from 10,000 feet to 4000; the third is the lower region, extending from 4000 feet to the level of the plains. The foot of the hill, and the hill itself, are important points of difference in Indian ethnology. Generally these regions are represented by the terms Hyundes, Khas Des, and Terai. Thirteen distinct Languages, all of Trans-Himaláyan origin, are spoken in this region, in addition to the Aryan Language already described as Nepáli, and the numerous Dialects of Hindi

spoken all along the fringe of the Terai. These Languages are with difficulty intelligible to their neighbours, and two only, the Newári and Limbu, have a peculiar Character. Another subdivision is that of religion, for there are Hindus, Buddhists, and Pagans. Some are agricultural, some pastoral. They vary also in their degree of civilisation and prosperity. The basin of the Kosi, in the central or temperate region, is occupied by the 1. Limbu and 2. Kiranti. The Watershed of the Kosi and Gunduk by the 3. Newar, 4. Murmi, and 5. Pahri. The basin of the Gunduk is the seat of the 6. Sunwar, 7. Gurung, and 8. Magar. The 9. Thaksha are in West Nepál. The Terai is occupied by the 10. Vayu, 11. Che-pang, 12. Kusunda, and 13. Bramhu. Hodgson revealed the existence of these tribes, and supplies Vocabularies of these Languages. Max Müller popularised this information. We have got no further since.

I proceed to notice each Language separately. Beames has supplied a Grammatical Note on the Magar from authentic sources. The groundwork of the Language is Tibetan, but much has been borrowed from Hindi. The tribe is warlike, and supplies six thousand fighting men, many of them in the English army. They have a population of twenty-four thousand, and three clans, of which the Thapa is the chief. They are Hindu, and pretend to be Rajput; but their appearance is unmistakably Mongolian. They learn to speak Nepálí. In their Language we find a jumble of Hindi, debased Hindi, Arabic, Persian, Tibetan, and debased Tibetan words. The Language is a musical one, both as regard words and grammatical structure.

The Gurung are nominally Hindu, but retain Pagan customs. They also render military service, and many of them speak the Nepálí Language in addition to their own.

The Murmi are very numerous. They are pastoral as well as agricultural, and are settled on mountains from 4000 to 6000 feet, and dwell in houses of stone.

The Newar were rulers of the great valley before the Goorkha conquest. They supply the great mass of the agricultural and artisan population. Two-thirds are Buddhist, and the remainder Hindu. They are not permitted to enlist in the army. They appear to have three varieties of Character, and a small Literature, chiefly translations. No Dictionary or Grammar exists, nor is the cultivation of the Vernacular thought of, as the Buddhists are partial to the use of the Tibetan Language. Nepáli has made no effect on this Language.

The Kiranti is remarkable, as there are no less than seventeen Dialects, and Hodgson supplies a Vocabulary of each. He gives a Grammatical Note on the Bahing Dialect, and a description of the tribe generally. He considers that, on account of their distinctly traceable antiquity as a nation, being the representatives of the Kirata of the Purans and Maha-Bharata, and the peculiar structure of their Language, they are the most interesting of all the races. They are Pagan, number a quarter of a million, occupy a healthy district, and cultivate a fresh portion of the forest year by year. They have also the credit of giving name to the medicine Chiretta. The Language of the Hayu and Vahu is of high antiquity, complex structure, and unintelligible to others. We have Grammatical Notes by Hodgson, and the theory that their name was Hayasvu or horse-faced. They are Pagan, a broken tribe of a few thousands, and on the road to extinction. The Bhramu speak a purely Tibeto-Burman Language, in the valley of Nayakote, West-North-West of the capital, a low and hot valley, but not in the Terai. We have nothing but Vocabularies. They are Pagan.

Amidst the dense forest of the central region to the Westward of the great valley, dwell in scanty numbers, and nearly in a state of nature, the two broken tribes of the Chepang and Kusunda, having no apparent affinity to the civilised races of the country, and seeming to be fragments of an earlier colonisation. They pay no taxes,

use bows and arrows, and shift their habitation from place to place. The Kusunda are lower than the Chepang, for the latter hold intercourse with civilised men. They are harmless. They have clearly been in former days broken in some struggle and outlawed. Hodgson saw some Chepang and made a Vocabulary, but he never got any access to the Kusunda, and there is no Vocabulary. They are considered by the Goorkhas to be the aborigines. The Chepang have linguistic affinity with the Lhopa of Bhutan, but there has been no intercourse betwixt them time out of mind. In a few generations all will be swept away.

The Sunwar are found in the Western hills, North of the Magar and Gurung, and are represented by a Vocabulary. The Limbu are on the extreme Eastern flank of Nepál, and some are found in the adjoining kingdom of Sikkim. They are Pagan; but they have a peculiar Character of their own, and some Literature; at least one book was produced; but the Character seems never to be used now.

The Thaksha and Pahri are Pagan. Vocabularies are supplied of both.

The jealous and barbarous system of isolation, enforced by the Goorkha Government of Nepál, has precluded any increase to our knowledge, and any advance in the education of the people. The Bible has not been translated into any of the Languages of this group. Not a Missionary has access to these hills. But there is no future to any of these Languages, and they only wait their time to be swept off the face of the earth. Under ordinary circumstances, one of them, notably the Newari, might have aspired to be the political Language, but the intruding Nepáli has prevented the occurrence of this usual phenomenon. All the tribes, who are in any degree civilised, adopt Aryan loan-words, and in the lower valleys the deterioration is more rapid. The numerals give way, and such a change takes place, that the classification has to be altered. Thus

the Dařahi, Denwār, Dali, Kaswar, Pakhya appear in this book, as Dialects of Hindi.

II. SIKHIM GROUP.

The Language of the independent territory of Sikhim is Lepcha, with two Dialects, Rong and Khamba. This tribe occupies a tract of one hundred and twenty miles in the basin of the Tiesta, bounded on the West by Nepál, the East by Bhutan, the North by Tibet, and the South by British India. A Grammar has been published by Mainwaring. At the sanitorium of Darjeeling a Protestant Mission has been established, which has led to the translation of a portion of the Bible in the Lepcha Character, and other books of an elementary nature. Though closely allied to Tibetan, the Language has a Non-Tibetan Character. The people are Buddhists, but they bury their dead. This Language will probably hold its own.

III. ASSAM GROUP.

The Assam Group, consisting of sixteen Languages, is situated within the jurisdiction or political influence of the Province of Assam and the Division of Kuch-Buhaar of the Bengal Province. Perhaps no country in the world of the same extent has so many and various races of mankind collected together. It lies to the East of the Sikhim Group, bounded on the North by Bhotan and Tibet, on the East by Independent Burma and the Munipúr-Chittagong group, on the South by Kachári and Sylhet districts in the Bengáli-speaking portions of the Province of Assam.

The central or temperate region of the Himaláya is occupied by tribes speaking the Language of this Family in the following order, commencing from the gorge of the River Brahmaputra where it enters the valley: The 1. Mishmi, 2. Abor, 3. Miri, 4. Aka, and 5. Dophla. In the third or lower region are the 6. Deoria - Chutia, 7. Dhimal and 8. Kachári or Bodo. Crossing the River Brahmaputra at the gorge, and sweeping round the horse-shoe of inferior hills shutting in the valley of Assam on

the East and South, we come upon 9. Singpho, 10. Jili, 11. Namsang-Naga, 12. Khari-Naga, 13. Augámi-Naga, 14. Mikir, and 15. Garo, and in the plains 16. Pani-Koch. The exact political position of each tribe towards the Government of British India is not easily defined. Some are entirely subject, and good subjects; some are entirely independent and uncomfortable neighbours, but they are under our protection against the outer world; some pay revenue; some receive black-mail; some are Pagan savages, others civilised Hindus.

The intrusive Aryan Asamese Language has forced itself up the valley, and there is a gradual subsidence of large bodies of the Non-Aryans into semi-Hinduism and a debased Dialect of Bengáli, of which Kúch is an instance. Two other linguistic Families are represented in the valley, the Khasi and the Tai, which will be noticed further on.

Although Hodgson was first in the field in this Group also, yet considerable progress has been made independent of him. Dalton's Ethnology of Bengal is a mine of wealth. Robinson, Inspector of Schools of Assam, and Nathan Brown, American Missionary, have contributed to our knowledge of the Languages. Large portions of the valley have been occupied by tea-planters, and attempts have been repeatedly made to pierce the mountain-range and river gorge into Tibet, China, and Burma. It must be remembered, that the point, where the River Brahmaputra bursts its mountain-rampart, is ethnically, linguistically, and politically, one of the highest importance. The last and weakest tidal stream of the great Aryan river of religion, Language, and civilisation, has been more than once met by a Tai counter-current, and may be met again. The incursion of the border tribes into settled valleys is often on their part a desperate effort to escape from a superior force, propelling them from their own haunts. By this outlet in times past the population of India has received great additions, though the superiority in number and calibre of the invaders from the North have borne them down, and

the Aryan settler under Hindu, Mahomedan, and Christian rule has held his own. While the tribes of the Nepál Group came exclusively from Tibet, the tribes of the Assam Group have come from the frontier of China proper and the basin of the River Irawaddy.

I proceed now to notice each Language separately. The Mishmi are subdivided into three clans, speaking different Dialects, almost amounting to distinct Languages; the Chulikota or Crop-haired, the Digáru or Taying, and the Mijhu. Of these, the second only dwell within the boundary of British India. It is through their territory, that the late Mr. Cooper tried in vain to force his way to Bathang in China, and thus open out a land-route. They are savage Pagans, dwelling along the course of the River Brahmaputra proper, and this arm of the river at least is distinctly not connected with the River Sampu of Lassa in Tibet.

The Abor inhabit the hills on and to the West of the Dihong River. They are disgusting and untamable Pagan savages, having some trade with the Tibetans, but they oppose any attempt of a European to pass the frontier.

The Miri inhabit both hills and plains, and are Pagan. For a long period they managed to make themselves the channels of communication between the Government of the valley and the savage tribes beyond, and the name means "Mediator," and it is possible, that the *Meriah* sacrifice of the Khonds may have the same explanation.

The Aka, or Hrusso, lie still further to the rear, and are also Pagan. The Dophla are the same. All these tribes used habitually to harry and plunder the adjacent districts in the valley, and now receive some compensatory allowance dependent on good conduct.

The Deori-Chutia are the remnant of a great and powerful tribe, who ruled in the valley before the Shan conquest. A colony has survived in District Lukhimpúr. They are Hinduised, but preserve their old Language.

The Dhimul are one of the subjects of Hodgson's Essay, together with the Kachári or Bodo. The Kachári has eleven Dialects. 1. Rabha. 2. Mech. 3. Hozai. 4. Mechee of Bhutan-Dwar are the best-defined Dialects. The Mech are spread over a considerable area in Nepál, Sikhim, Bhotan, and the Assam Province. They never live in the cleared part of the Terai, but keep to the forest. The Kachári are exceedingly numerous in the valleys; they are Hinduised. They are said to have a peculiar Character of their own, derived from Bengáli. The Dhimul are fifteen thousand in number, and nomadic cultivators, and are Pagan. The Mechee of the annexed Bhutan Dwars have a Dialect of their own, but fast dying out, and superseded by Bengáli. They are quite a separate race. The Rabha dwell in the Gowalpara District, and amount to two thousand families. Dalton describes them as divided into two branches, one of which has conserved its ancient customs, while the latter has adopted the Language and customs of Bengál. This statement is confirmed by an official report of the Commissioner of Kuch-Buhar in 1873, who reports the Rabha as similar to Kachári and Garo and others. Closely allied to the Rabha is the Language of the Pani-Koch, whose villages lie along the skirts of the Garo hills, and who are mixed up with that people and the Rabha. They are Pagan. A doubt exists as to their origin, whether they are unimproved representatives of the Kuch tribe, which has nearly become Hinduised, and lost its Language for Bengáli, or whether it is part of the great Kachári tribe. Dalton seems to think, that they are neither, but a stranger tribe driven at some remote period from the plains by the Aryan invaders. Under Kachári are seven doubtful and undefined Dialects, alluded to by Hodgson. 5. Kúdi. 6. Batar or Bor. 7. Kebrat. 8. Pallah. 9. Ganga. 10. Marahi. 11. Dharel.

Returning to the gorge of the River Brahmaputra, which we cross, we find ourselves in the Khamti country, a tribe which belongs to the Tai Family, and will be noticed

further on. Adjoining them, with their rear on the Patkoi range, are the Singpho, and their connections, the Jili. The latter are described as a small tribe, who formerly occupied the Highlands on the North part of Burma, but were driven forward by the Singpho. The tribe is nearly extinct. Their Language is closely allied to the Singpho, whose Language occupies a transitional position betwixt Tibetan and Burmese. This tribe is but the advance-guard of a much greater horde lying beyond the Patkoi range, known as the Kakhyer or Kaku, mentioned by all writers on the route from Bhamu to Yunan in China. This Language is said to have a Shan Character. They are to a certain extent civilised, but Pagan. It will be more convenient to notice the Kakhyer here, though their habitat is in Independent Burma, near Bhamu on the River Irawaddy, where the trade-route debouches from Yunan. Singpho, or Ching-paw, means merely "a man." A resemblance physical and linguistic is remarked betwixt the Kakhyer and Karen. They are fully described by Anderson and Bigandet. They occupy an important position on the frontier of Burma and China, and we shall know and hear more of them. In the hills above Bhamu they are living in villages, and agriculturists, but low in civilisation.

From this point two courses are open to me: one to follow the line of the Watershed of the basins of the Rivers Irawaddy and Brahmaputra into the territory of the Munnipúr-Chittagong Group, which will be described further on; and the other to turn round the arc of the horse-shoe valley, and follow the line of lower hills, which separate the Watershed of the River Brahmaputra from that of its affluent, the Surmá. Those atrocious savages and Pagans, the Naga tribes, occupy this position. The word "Naga," however derived, is but a general term, and comprises a variety of very distinct tribes, speaking very distinct Languages, and the use of the general term has been a cause of perplexity. Admitting that we still await further information, we may provisionally accept Brown's classification of the Langu-

ages of these tribes into three main classes:—1. The Namsang, with three subdivisions—the Namsang or Jaipuria; the Banpara or Joboka, called also Sibsagur and Abhay-Punja; the Tablung or Mithan and Mulung. 2. The Khari, with four subdivisions—the Khari or Hatileya; the Nougong or Deka Huning; the Tengsa or Dop Darya; the Lhota or Miklai. 3. The Angúmi Naga, with four subdivisions—the Angúmi, Rengma, Arung, and Kutcha. With such a variety of names there is room for much confusion, especially among a people, who have more than once killed the English officer in charge of the District, in which they are understood to reside. Butler, who died at their hands, supplied Vocabularies of their Language and wrote about them. Nathan Brown has supplied Vocabularies of ten forms of speech. They are the most numerous of all the tribes, and their position is most commanding. They have access to the Assam valley, to the district of North Kachar, and to the Munipúr Group. They certainly extend over the Patkoi range into the basin of the Irawaddy, and as far as the Ningthi River, the great West tributary of the Irawaddy. Butler defines their boundary as the Kopili River on the West, and the Bori Dihing on the East, between 93° and 96° East longitude. Northward they extend to the low hills overlooking the Brahmaputra, between 23° and 27° North latitude. The Southern boundary is not precisely known. Whether their general name of Naga means "naked," or "a snake," or "aboriginal," is uncertain. The whole population amounts to three hundred thousand. Their Government is a pure democracy. They are Pagan, and bury their dead. The civil officer in charge of them lives at Samaguting, and communicates with them through interpreters.

Next in order along the range, which backs upon the districts of Kachár and Sylhet, we come upon a Language, which belongs to the separate Family of Khasi, which will be noticed further on. We pass on to the Garo tribe, who occupy the extreme point of the range. Their

Language has been thoroughly studied, and translations made into it by American Missionaries for educational purposes. Grammars and Dictionaries are also forthcoming. Keith considers that this Language has Aryan affinities, and the tract is surrounded on three sides by a Hindu-Aryan population. Still they are Pagan, and have only quite lately been brought under entire subjection to the British power. Robinson compares the Language to Tibetan, and a connection with the Kachári, which has already been noticed, is more than probable.

The last to be noticed of this Group is the Mikir. They amount to twenty-six thousand. They are found in the District of Nougong in the Assam Valley, and in the District of North Kachár, on the other side of the range. They are Pagan. Their name is said to be derived from Mletcha, or "impure." They are peaceable and settled. We have Grammatical Notes and a Vocabulary of their Language. During the course of my inquiries into the Languages of this Group, I have ventured to address the Chief Commissioner of the Province of Assam on the subject of consolidating and extending our knowledge, as an important factor and instrument of the well governing of this most interesting Province. I have been met in the kindest and most obliging manner by the Chief Commissioner, who published my letter in his Official Gazette, and this has already led to communications of both immediate and future importance. A Missionary a few weeks ago visited the Royal Asiatic Society with a Vocabulary of Mikir, which he had been induced by my appeal to publish. I have received other letters from residents of the Valley, expressing readiness to co-operate, and asking for further instructions as to the information required, and the mode in which it was to be presented. I shall have the greatest pleasure in communicating with all inquirers. Robinson, Keith, Brown, and Pryse have shown what can be done with some Languages. Let this be done with all, and then we may hope for some master-mind to rise up, who will deal with the

data thus collected, and, like the prince in the fairy tale, with his linguistic wand, distribute into separate heaps, according to their origin, the confused mass of feathers, bring order out of confusion, and unravel the ethnical mystery brought about by the confused advance and retrogression of savage and ignorant nomads during prehistoric centuries, of which we have no record.

IV. MUNIPÚR-CHITTAGONG GROUP.

The Munipúr-Chittagong Group contains twenty-four names, which are ranked as Languages, and several more as Dialects, yet, with the exception of Tipura and Munipúr, these names are but linguistic expressions, which, as far as conveying any distinct and individual idea, might as conveniently have been represented by algebraic signs as by uncouth syllables. Yet they represent facts, and most interesting ones. It was suggested to me to transfer the Chittagong subdivision to the Burmá Group, on account of affinity of Languages, but my Groups are based on geographical data, and I have to account for the Water-shed, which separates the basins of the Rivers Brahmaputra and the Irawaddy, and to find my way down to the Bay of Bengál. This is the Eastern frontier of the Province of Bengál, of direct Hindu civilisation, and the Brahmanical religion. With small exceptions, the tribes which occupy the tract now under description are Pagan, or some of them at best only semi-Hinduised. Beyond them we find the Buddhist religion to be dominant, and we enter into Further India, or the Indo-Chinese Peninsula, where everything is essentially different.

This tract is unsurveyed, and in many parts has never been traversed by Europeans. In the Lushai expedition of 1871, two forces were despatched to chastise the tribes, whom no arts of peace could deter from continued raids, and one detachment advanced Southward from North Kachár, and the other Northward from Chittagong. Though

the objects of the expedition were gained, so entirely unexplored and unknown was the country, that although from geographical calculations they must have been at one time within forty miles of each other, they could get no information of each other's movements. In preparing the Language-Map I have been unable to indicate the habitat of several tribes, of whose Language we have Vocabularies. Of the twenty-four names, which I now proceed to enumerate, I can identify only thirteen. Here, then, I leave much room for future explorers and linguists.

The Languages are as follows:—1. *Munipúri*. 2. *Liyang* or *Koreng* or *Puireng*. 3. *Maring*, with a Dialect. 4. *Marám*. 5. *Kupui*, with two Dialects, *Puiron* and *Sombu*. 6. *Tangkhul*, with a Northern, Central, and Southern Dialect. 7. *Luhupa*. 8. *Tipura* or *Mrung*. 9. *Khungui*. 10. *Phadung*. 11. *Champhung*. 12. *Kupome*. 13. *Andro*. 14. *Sengmai*. 15. *Chairel*. 16. *Takuimi*. 17. *Anal*. 18. *Namfau*. 19. *Kuki*, with three Dialects. 20. *Shendu*, called also *Pui* or *Heuma*. 21. *Banjógi* or *Lungkhe*. 22. *Pankho*. 23. *Sak* or *Thak*. 24. *Kyau*.

It would be idle to attempt to particularise the above names, with the exception of a few. The kingdom of *Munipúri* is destined to be of importance in the work of civilisation of this frontier. It is under the protection of the Government of British India, and the residence of a European officer. From the reports of *M'Culloch* we gather all the modern and trustworthy information which we possess, and he and *Damant* of the Civil Service are understood to be the only Europeans, who know the *Munipúri* Language. This, being the stronger tribe, has brought its neighbours into political subjection. We have, in addition to Vocabularies, a *Munipúri* Grammar by *Damant*, who has ready for the press a *Munipúri-English* Dictionary, and he has described the peculiar Character, which is a derivative of the Indian. An *English-Munipúri* Dictionary has been published, and the New Testament has been

translated into this Language, in the Roman Character. The people are civilised and Hindu. It is also called Kathe or Moitai.

Tipura is a dependency of the Province of Bengál, the inhabitants of which are semi-Hinduised. They have no peculiar Character.

The term "Kuki" seems to have as large a meaning and as great an expansion as Naga. There appear to be four Dialects. 1. Lushai already mentioned. 2. Thadu or New Kuki of North Kachár. 3. Old Kuki of the same district. 4. Hallámi, whose habitat is fixed in the Tipura Hills. Stewart has described the Old and New Kuki of Kachár. One fact seems clear, that they could not understand each other. Lewin is the authority with regard to the Lushai, and has published two valuable works. He explains that the people call themselves "Dzo;" that they have twelve tribes or clans, Howlong, Syla, and others; but that Lushai is the clan-Language of all; that they never had any Character. They appear to be far from savages, but civilised in the Asiatic sense, and exercising certain arts. They are all Pagan.

The position of this Group is interesting. It presented a debatable ground, which neither the ancient Hindu nor the newer Buddhist civilisation had succeeded in winning from Paganism. At one time the dominant political power of the Burmese seemed on the point of absorbing the territory; but the Christian Government of Anglo-India placed an impassable bar to the further progress of Burma and Buddhism. The consequence has been the extension of the Hindu culture and religion.

V. BURMA GROUP.

The Burma Group, though containing only nine names, is of much greater importance than the preceding. It includes the whole of British and Independent Burma, with certain deductions for Tai and Mon enclaves, which will

be noticed further on; and a certain tract beyond the River Salwen, which is presumably in the kingdom of Siam. Beyond the limits of British Burma everything is very uncertain in this Group, for the geography of the upper basin of the River Irawaddy has not been surveyed or explored. The names are:—1. Burmese. 2. Khyeng or Hiou. 3. Kumi or Quaymi. 4. Kami. 5. Mru or Tung Mru. 6. Karén. 7. Kui. 8. Kho. 9. Mu-tse.

It would be presumption to write much upon a Language so well known as Burmese. My object is to place it in its proper position, and indicate its relation to its neighbours. It is a great political and literary Language, with a large admixture of Aryan elements from the Pali, the sacred Language of the Buddhistic religion, which is the religion of the State and people. It uses a peculiar Character derived from the Indian, but by an uncertain channel. It is amply provided with linguistic books. The Bible has been translated into this Language in the Burmese Character. The Burmese nation has been conquering and ambitious, and the Burmese Language will probably absorb its weaker neighbours. The written Language appears to be the same everywhere, but the pronunciation varies in different parts of the Field. Burmese is called Mugh in Chittagong, but the name is of uncertain origin, and given by foreigners: there are three Dialects: Arakanese in Arakan, and there are no less than sixty-four thousand Mahomedan Arakanese by the late Census: Tavoyee or Taneagsari in Tenasserim: a third Dialect called Yo is spoken in the districts North of the Yoma range in Independent Burma.

The Khyeng or Hiou or Shiou are Pagan mountaineers extensively diffused on the Western slope of the Yoma range, settling down to quiet agriculture. Fryer, of the Burmese Civil Commission, has published Grammatical Notes and a Vocabulary. There is no peculiar Character, and no Literature. The Language is in the first stage of Agglutination, and the tones are elaborate. They number

about twenty-eight thousand, half in British and half in Independent Burma. There are slight dialectic differences betwixt the North and South subdivision.

The Kumi or Quaymi, *i.e.*, "monkey-tailed" (so called because they allow the end of their scanty waistcloth to hang behind them), with the Mru, composed the so-called tribes of the River Kaladyn, the limit of the Kala, the term by which all foreigners are called in Burma. They inhabit the hills bordering on this river, and their Language is known to us by Vocabularies. They are Pagan, and appear to have been driven from place to place in former times.

The Kami or Kemi appear to be a branch of the preceding, with a distinct Language. Stilson, an American Missionary, lived among them two months, and fixes their habitat seventy miles above the town of Akyab in Arakan. Spelling-books and readers were published in this Language. Stilson gives Vocabulary and Grammatical Notes. They are Pagan.

The Mru or Tung Mru are much reduced as a tribe, having been driven from their seat by the Kumi. They occupy now hills on the border of Arakan and Chittagong. They number about twenty-eight thousand. "Tung" means "wild," and they are so called by the Arakanese, who deny their claim to be of the same race as themselves, but there is little doubt of the ethnical fact.

The Karén mountaineers are well known in Europe and America from the labours of the Baptist Missionaries, and the rather exaggerated description of the traditions and religious convictions of these wild Pagans. They are very numerous, are divided into distinct clans, and are found in British Burma, Independent Burma, Siam, and in a guaranteed independence of their own. They all differ in domestic and social practice, degree of civilisation, and mode of livelihood, but are united by the common bond of one Language, though spoken in widely different Dialects. The three distinct tribes are the Sgau, the Pwo, and

Bghai. Each has separate clans. The distinct Dialects recorded are eight in number:—1. Sgau. 2. Bghai. 3. Red Karén. 4. Pwo. 5. Taru. 6. Mopgha. 7. Kay or Gaikho. 8. Toungthu.

The Sgau are found from Mergui in latitude 12° East, to Prome and Toungu in 19°. Some have wandered over the Watershed, that separates the basin of the River Menam from the Salwen, and on the West have migrated to Arakan. They are known by their dress. They are called Burmese Karén, are the most numerous of all, and are good agriculturists. The Pwo prefer the banks of creeks, and are scattered along the coast from Mergui up to and on the Delta of the Rivers Salwen, Sittang, and Irawaddy. They are boatmen, and nominal Buddhists. They are called Talain Karén. The Bghai has six clans, the dominant of which is the Red Karén, so called from the colour of the turban; they call themselves Kaya or "man;" they inhabit the elevated plateau extending from the Eastern slope of the Pounlong range to the right bank of the Salwen; they have two subdivisions, Eastern and Western; the former are friendly to the Burmese, the latter to the English, and they have been declared independent of Burma.

The Toungthu are a cognate race. Their chief village is Thatom, thirty miles North of Martaban, and other villages are scattered along the banks of the Sittang. The Gaikho Karéns are between the Rivers Sittang and Salwen.

There are at least twenty-five thousand Christians among the Karén; the Bible has been translated into the Roman Character; linguistic books are in abundance; they had no peculiar Character, and were quite illiterate, but had many oral legends. The Missionaries have done a work of unparalleled excellence among this people.

Fytche mentions one tribe in the hill country of the Red Karén, who may possibly represent an aboriginal race previous to the immigration of the Mons, Shans, and Burmese. They are called Goung-dho. They differ in feature and in skull, and their Language is more guttural.

No specimens are given, but the idea is hazarded that they may be Kalmucks.

The Kui, Kho, and Mu-tse are beyond the River Salween in the kingdom of Siam. They are mentioned by Garnier in his expedition up the River Mekong, and he gives Vocabularies. Nothing further is known.

VI. TRANS-HIMALÁYAN GROUP.

The Tibeto-Burman Family extends beyond the snowy Watershed of the Himaláya, or rather it may be said to have had its origin there, and passed into the basins of the Rivers Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Irawaddy over those passes. It appears that pure Tibetan is not spoken in the Northern portion of Tibet, but a certain number of Languages, described generally, but perhaps not correctly, as Sifan. They lie beyond our subject, and are only introduced briefly to complete the Family. We are indebted to Hodgson for all we know of this portion of the Trans-Himalayan Group. The latter portion, comprising the Language of Kunáwari and Tibetan, fall naturally within our geographical limits. One Language, of which we have a Vocabulary, marking its independence as a Language, but cannot fix the site, is Bhotia of Lo.

The Languages are as follows, and will be found approximately marked on the map:—1. Gyarung. 2. Thochu. 3. Manyak. 4. Takpa. 5. Horpa. 6. Kunáwari. 7. Tibetan or Bhotia. 8. Bhotia of Lo. Dismissing from our consideration all but the sixth and seventh, I proceed to describe them.

The Kunáwari is the Language of a small province of the territory of the Raja of Bussáhir, a dependent State in the Province of the Punjáb. It lies beyond the River Sutluj, at an enormous elevation above the level of the sea, occupied by a population of less than ten thousand, who are chiefly Buddhists. Though simple in habit, the people are not uncivilised in the Asiatic sense, and in the chief Buddhist temple is an extensive library of Buddhist

works in the Tibetan Character. Small as is the population, it is divided into lofty snow-surrounded valleys, and thus it happens that six Dialects have survived: 1. Milchan. 2. Tibarskad or Bunan. 3. Sumchu. 4. Luh-rung or Kanam. 5. Lidung or Lippa. 6. Sugnum. Of the first we have a Vocabulary; of the second we have the interesting fact, stated by Jaeskhe, the Moravian Missionary, that it contains features, which are Non-Aryan and Non-Tibetan. If such be the case, we have struck upon the traces of one of the most ancient Languages in the world's history, which have been buried in this remote corner. In the course of this survey we have here and there struck upon a vestige, which preceded any of the great immigrations into India, and the subject is worthy of the most careful consideration.

Passing on to the great Tibetan Language, we find that it touches British India very slightly, and is felt more by its Dialects than its standard Language, which is represented at Lassa on the River Sampu, in the plateau of high Asia, a Province of the empire of China, but inaccessible to the European traveller. The Language is in the stage of transition from the Monosyllabic to the Agglutinative Order. It is well supplied with linguistic books. It has a vast Literature, four peculiar forms of Character, derived from the Indian, but the pronunciation has long departed from the mode of spelling. The Characters are, first, capitals, used for religious books; second, small letters, for secular and commercial purposes; third, for books; fourth, the ordinary cursive. The New Testament has been translated into Tibetan in the Tibetan Character; but the study of the Language and Literature of this important Field has been so neglected in Europe, that scarcely one scholar exists. Jaeskhe's Dictionary is now ready for the press, and will be published at the expense of the Government of India. The Tibetan Language is written syllabically, each syllable being separated by a wedge-like sign. The influence of the Tibetan Litera-

ture is felt in Nepál, for vast supplies find their way to that country from the native printing-presses of the Chinese type in that kingdom. Poor traffickers and monks annually visit Kathmandu, and sell books of inferior pretensions, as well as religious tracts; but they are old reproductions, and new compositions are said to be very rare.

Throughout Tibet proper one Language prevails, which is the basis of Dialects, differing in pronunciation and Vocabulary, but not to such an extent as to be mutually unintelligible. The grammatical system is everywhere the same. There is no distinct religious Language, or distinct court Language, but the sacred books have a considerable admixture of Aryan words.

In mentioning the Dialects, I first note, on the authority of the Resident of Nepál, dated 1876, the Dialects within the political kingdom of Tibet. 1. Serpa. 2. Nouri-Khorson. 3. Dokhthol. 4. Hor-Tsang. 5. U-Kombo. 6. Chona. 7. Khan. The last is the most divergent of all. I must pass by these, as mere linguistic expressions, as, with the exception of the first, there is no information as to the locality, where they are spoken, and in what respect they differ from the standard and each other, and proceed to notice the Dialects of Tibetan, spoken beyond the political limits of Tibet, viz., in the territory of the Maharaja of Jummo and Kashmír, British India, Bhutan and Towang.

I must retrace my steps to the River Indus, where I noticed early in this narrative the pre-Sanskritic Aryan Languages, the Kafiri and Dardu. From the junction of the Gilgit River we follow the Kafiri up the course of the Indus, and come to, 8. Balti, 9. Dah, 10. Ladákhi, 11. Zanskári, and 12. Champas. Thence we cross the great Watersheds of the Rivers Indus and Chandrabhaga or Chenab, and find, 13. Kaigili and, 14. Spiti or Lahúli. From this point the Tibetan Language shrinks behind the great Himaláyan Watershed, and the Tibeto-Burman Groups of Nepál and Sikkim, above described, intervene betwixt the great Language and the Aryan Vernaculars. But as we

approach the frontier of Bhutan we come upon further Dialects of Tibetan, 15. Lhopa or Bhutáni, 16. Changlo, and, 17. Bhotia of Twang, beyond which our geographical and linguistic knowledge ceases.

The Balti, in which there are a great many Persian words, is spoken in the Province of Little Tibet or Baltistan, the capital of which is Iskardo on the River Indus, which by the late conquest has passed under the rule of the Maharaja of Kashmír. The population, though of Non-Aryan origin, is chiefly Mahomedan, and the Character used is Arabic. The adjoining Dah are Dard and Aryan by birth, but have adopted a Buddhist Language, religion, and customs. Further on are the inhabitants of Ladakh, who are Non-Aryan Buddhists, speaking Tibetan, and using the Tibetan Character. Leaving the Indus, we find still within the territory of the Maharaja the kindred, but slightly different, Dialects of Zanskári and Champs, spoken by mountaineers. Drew, in the service of the Maharaja, availed himself of his special opportunities to give Vocabularies and descriptions of the above. The people are fairly civilised. To Jaeskhe, the Moravian Missionary, we are indebted for our knowledge of the Dialects of Tibetan as spoken by the people of the district of Lahul or Spiti, within the district of Kangra of the Punjáb Province. This scholar, in 1865-66, lithographed at Kyelang, the capital of Lahúl, a practical Grammar and Dictionary of the living Kyelang Language, with special reference to the local Dialect and the wants of his Protestant Missions amidst a sparse and pastoral population occupying very lofty mountains in hitherto inaccessible tracts.

Bhutan means Bhut-ant, or the end of the Bhut region, and is considered ethnically part of Tibet, though South of the great Watershed. Lho is the native name of the country, and Lhopa and Dukpa are the names of the inhabitants, the former territorial, the latter religious. The people are Buddhists, and civilised, and under an independent sovereign, and have in times past given trouble on

our Assam frontier, which has led to the annexation of the Dwars or lower valleys, and, as the Language of some of the inhabitants of these Dwars is the same as that of Bhutan, Her Majesty has here again a few Tibetan-speaking subjects in the Province of Assam. The Changlo Dialect is spoken along a portion of the Northern frontier of Assam, from 91° to 92° East longitude. The depth of extent and number of population is quite uncertain. The people are agricultural. The word Changlo means "black." They use the Tibetan Character. Beyond, again, is the territory of the Towang Raja, a tributary of Lassa, where the people speak another Dialect called Bhotia of Twang. Here, for the first and only time, the territory of British India marches with the kingdom of Tibet without the intervention of the Great Watershed.

It may be gathered from the foregoing, that there is much to be added to our information, and it is incumbent upon the Government of India to break down the barrier, which shuts off the plateau of Tibet from India, and there are evident signs that this will be done. The Russian explorers threaten an invasion from the North. The French Roman Catholic Missionaries are stationed at Bathang ready to advance; and all along the line of frontier from Towang to Kunawur, Anglo-India appears to be pressing upon her neighbours the advantages of commerce and civilisation.

VII. CHINA GROUP.

A very few lines will suffice for the China Group of the Tibeto-Burman Family, for two very good reasons. Firstly, they lie outside our subject, and are only introduced for the sake of symmetry; and, secondly, we know little about them beyond their mere names, and the Vocabularies supplied by Garnier of the French Navy in his exploration of the River Mekong. They are as follows: 1. Lisaw or Leisa; this Language was noticed by

Anderson in his journey from Bhamo to Momien, and he gives a Vocabulary. They were blended in daily life with the Kakhyen, but were perfectly distinct in every respect. Cooper notices them East of the Mekong River. They appear on the Language-Map according to the indications of Garnier. 2. The Lolu, 3. Kato, and 4. Honhi are near Yuen-Kiang in Yunan, according to Garnier. The 5. Ikia and 6. Mautse are near Machang and Sechuan in Yunan, according to Garnier, and the latter are stated by Cooper to be bounded on the East by the Tatow River. When the time comes that our linguistic and ethnical knowledge of the Province of Yunan in China is enlarged, it will be exceedingly interesting to note the extreme Eastern extension of the Tibeto-Burman Family. For the present, our information is very limited, but in the narrative of Grosvenor's Mission from Yunan to Bhamo, and in the pages of the "Missions Catholiques," we come upon interesting details, and twenty-five years hence we shall know all about them.

VIII. ISLAND GROUP.

(Annexed to this Family but not belonging to it.)

I am constrained by the necessity of exhausting the subject to tack an Island Group to this great Family, but this annex must be understood to be entirely provisional, based on other than linguistic considerations, the chief object being to obviate the possibility of these interesting Languages being overlooked. The Group contains three clusters of islands—1. The Mergui Archipelago. 2. The Andamans. 3. The Nicobars. Regarding these three Groups from a geographical point of view, it will be observed, that they might have been supposed to have been colonised by the Tibeto-Burman, the Mon-Anam, or the Malayan Family, without any strain on probability. They might have been the place of refuge of earlier tribes ejected by the arrival of these stronger races.

Add to this, that they lie on the very road of commerce from India to China and Ceylon. A shipwreck on their shores, the landing of a cargo of slaves, may have added to the ethnical confusion, for on these islands we come first upon unmistakable Negritos, of whom we shall hear more in the Malayan Family further on. However much it may be asserted by some, that Negritos exist in India, Nearer or Further, except in the Peninsula of Malacca and the islands of the Andamans and Nicobars, they never have been exhibited to view.

The Mergui Archipelago is a cluster of islands lying close to the shore of the lower portion of the Tenasserim Province of British Burma, to which they are politically attached. Their inhabitants are called Silong. They are said to be a kind of sea-gypsy, one thousand in number. They are Pagan. Mason thinks, that they are Polynesian, but they have a Mongolian cast of features. Their Language, represented by a Vocabulary, is quite distinct from Burmese. They are mild and peaceful.

The Andamans comprise an area of about 1800 square miles, and have now finally passed into the possession of the Government of British India, and are used as a convict-settlement. Although twenty years have passed since our occupation, still the inhabitants, called Mincopies, are unwilling to have any dealings with the intruders. Cruel massacres have generally been the rule, when any boat's crew or escaped convict fell into their hands. They are intensely black, woolly-haired Negritos, in the very lowest stage of uncivilisation, and totally nude. There is evidence of entirely distinct and mutually unintelligible Languages. Wallace considers that these Negritos differ in a marked manner from any Papuan race, but resemble the Samang of the Malacca Peninsula, who will be noticed further on. De Roepstorff, a Dane, in charge of the Nicobar Islands, published in 1875 a Vocabulary of the so-called Andamanese; but in 1877 Temple of the Indian Army, and Mann, Assistant-Superintendent of the

Andamans, put forth a translation of the Lord's Prayer in Bojínjijída, or South Andamanese, with a Preface and Notes, and announce further elementary studies, Grammatical Notes, specimens of Language, Vocabulary of words, and analysis of the Lord's Prayer. When we receive this, we shall indeed be able to judge as to the classification of this Language; but unfortunately these later authors commence by throwing entire discredit on the labours of all their predecessors, including De Roepstorff, and annihilating all inductions based upon these data. They seem to show that the Language is Agglutinative in its method, but they can hardly mean to imply, that the Language is Dravidian, unless they take that Family as typical of the whole order, which is manifestly not correct. They give the names of seven Languages:—

1. Bojínjijída, South Andaman, near Port Blair.
2. Bojigiah, South extremity of Middle Andaman.
3. Akakol, East of Middle Andaman.
4. Awkojuwai, West of Middle Andaman.
5. Balawa, Andaman Archipelago.
6. Yéréwa, North Andaman.
7. Jarawa, Little Andaman and Rutland Island.

The two first are well known; of the others nothing is known. They will probably all be traced to one common parent. There are considerable affinities betwixt the two first. We shall hope to know more, when the promised Grammar and Vocabularies appear, but it is disheartening to have all previous knowledge cut away. There may possibly be Australian affinities, but a careful comparison with the neighbouring Tibeto-Burman, Mon, and Malayan Families should be made.

The Nicobar group is geographically not far from Achin in the island of Sumatra. The island contains an area of less than 600 square miles. Their inhabitants somewhat resemble the Malayan race, being yellow or copper coloured with high cheek-bones, flat noses, thick lips, intellectually greatly superior to the savage tribes of the Andamans.

In the interior of the Great Nicobar Island exists a race of men resembling the Andamanese, termed Shobœng by the Nicobarese. These are probably the remnants of an earlier race, and savage and shy. Thus we find the further complication of two distinct and totally antagonistic races in this restricted area. The Nicobarese Language has a remote connection with some of the Languages of the Malayan Archipelago. The general conclusion drawn is, that they are Malayan. They are Pagan, and backward in civilisation. De Roepstorff, Superintendent of the islands, gives a Vocabulary of four islands: 1. Nancowry, 2. Great Nicobar, 3. Car Nicobar, 4. Theressa; he gives also a Vocabulary of the Shobœng. It is remarked of the Nicobarese, that owing to intercourse with foreign ships they speak Malay and other foreign Languages. They have no peculiar Character, but Mr. Ball of the Survey has brought away a flag with figures upon it, which he fancies to be pictorial Characters. We may hope in a few years to get more satisfactory knowledge of the Language of the Andamans and Nicobars, and to be able to classify them. De Roepstorff's last communication is, that in 1877 he had had an interview with a Shobœng, and found that he was a Mongolian, and not a Negrito, and had no connection with the Andamanese, though his Language is quite distinct from that of the Nicobarese.

CHAPTER VI.

KHASI FAMILY.

IN the range of hills which separates the valley of Assam from the district of Sylhet, and the basin of the Brahmaputra from that of the Surmá, betwixt the Garo tribe on the West, and the Naga tribes on the East, is the country of the Khasi-Jyntia tribes, in which is situated Shillong, the seat of government of the Province of Assam. Their government is described as that of little republics, but their Language is quite peculiar, and they occupy a linguistic oasis in the midst of the Tibeto-Burman Family; nor can they be classed with any other Monosyllabic Family, though they belong to that Morphological Order. There is an excellent Grammar by Pryse, a Missionary, and a Dictionary; and the New Testament has been translated into this Language in the Roman Character; therefore we have sufficient knowledge to form a judgment, as it has attracted the attention of scholars like Von der Gabelentz and Schott, who have written about it. There are six Dialects; 1. Synteng, 2. Battoa, 3. Amwee, and 4. Lakadong, and 5, 6. two other varieties without names. The Dialects of the Jyntia Hills are almost unintelligible to the Khasi. All grammatical relations are denoted by prefixes; the genitive relation of a noun is frequently denoted by position, and after the noun on which it depends. There is a complete grammatical gender, like that of the Aryan Languages, but no neuter. The construction of the sentence is direct. There is a relative pronoun. Vowels are sometimes elided under a phonetic law to prevent a hiatus. The population amounts to about two hundred thousand, who are Pagan

and civilised. There is no Character or Literature. The orthography is still unsettled, and words are pronounced differently in different villages. So strictly Monosyllabic is it, that the prefixes still have a meaning and usage of their own, when used alone, and are not meaningless particles.

CHAPTER VII.

TAI FAMILY.

LOGAN, who had rare opportunities of studying the subject, which he illustrated by a series of learned papers in the Journal of the Indian Archipelago, which died with him in 1859, divides the Language of the Indo-Chinese Peninsula into two branches:—1. The Western Himalayan or Tibetan, including the Burma Group of the Tibeto-Burman Family, and 2. the Eastern Himalayan or Mon-Anam, including the Tai and Mon-Anam Families. It is at this point that I leave the Western Himalayan branch and enter the Eastern. I also leave the region of the direct or indirect influence of British India, and cross a great physical and linguistic Watershed into a country quite independent of British power, and speaking Monosyllabic Languages. Buddhism and Indian culture have reached thus far also, and at one period the vigour of the Tai Family enabled them to double back and penetrate into what is now British India. In a narrow wedge of inconsiderable width, yet extending no less than fifteen degrees of latitude in length, the Tai Family extends from the River Brahmaputra in the Assam Province to Bangkok on the Gulf of Siam. All the tribes call themselves "Tai," and are Buddhists, and civilised in the Oriental type, but the Siamese alone call themselves "Thai," or "free." The linguistic structure of the whole Family is essentially the same, though in process of time, owing to laws of euphony and variations of Vocabulary, it has become separated into seven Languages. All are tonal, and accuracy of speech depends upon the

knowledge of the tone. Nominally Buddhists, the Tai race clings to local Pagan worship of Nats or spirits. With Buddhism has come in a great many polysyllabic Anam words from the Pali, and the religious Language is a Mosaic of Tai, Pali, and Burmese words. The linguistic features, that mark the Tai Family, are, that there is no grammatical gender; that the article follows the noun; that vowels are not elided; that there are five tones. The tenses are sometimes differentiated by prefixes, and at other times by suffixes. The determining noun comes after the determined. The construction of the sentence is direct, and the verb has no person-endings.

The Languages are as follows:—1. Siamese. 2. Lao. 3. Shan of Burma. 4. Tai Mow. 5. Minkia. 6. Khamti. 7. Aiton. There is one dead Language, Ahom, already noticed in Chapter I.

The Siamese Language-Field is of unknown dimensions, as it extends from the boundary of British Burma Eastward to the great lake of Kambodia, and from the Gulf of Siam to the confines of Lao Northwards. Within this area are settled a large number of Peguans, speaking a Language of the Mon-Anam Family, which will be described lower down. The Siamese proper number about two millions, but they keep in subjection the Lao, who will be next mentioned, the Kambodians, who speak a Language of the Mon-Anam Family, and Malays of the Malayan Family, and other wild tribes, of whom we have no certain knowledge. Bastian remarks, that the Siamese gradually diverged from pure Monosyllabism, by the introduction of words from the Pali, and thus it differs very considerably from the Chinese; on the other hand, it is much more Monosyllabic, and more powerfully accented, than the Burmese. Next to the Chinese, it is richest in tones of the Monosyllabic Languages. It has been known to Europeans for two centuries. An inscription exists in the ruins of the old capital of Ayuthia, dated 1284 A.D. There are three Dialects: 1. that of the sacred

Buddhistic books, 2. that of the higher orders, and 3. that of the people. In proportion to the elevation of ideas is the introduction of Sanskrit and Pali words, accommodated to Siamese vocalisation. There is an enormous religious and secular Literature, in which there is a study of euphony and neglect of sense, and it is deemed an elegance to have many words in the same sentence commencing with the same letter. European printing-presses are established at Bangkok, and Government Gazettes are published, but there is no indigenous native printing-press. The king himself talks and writes English, as did his predecessor. There is no lack of linguistic books. One Vocabulary is dated as far back as 1687 A.D., and it is unnecessary to notice later Vocabularies and Grammatical Notes of so great a Language, for they are numerous; some scientific, others of mere conversational utility. The New Testament has been translated into Siamese in the peculiar Character, which is derived from the Indian, and expresses the tones by accents. This is a strong Vernacular, which will hold its own.

North of the Province of Siam is the Province of Lao, on the River Mekong, also subject to Siam, with a population of a million, speaking a Language not very different from Siamese, with two peculiar forms of Character of Indian origin. We have nothing beyond Vocabularies. Two Dialects, 1. Lawa, and 2. Moi, are doubtfully entered.

The Language of the Shans of Burma is somewhat different, being affected by contact with the Burmese. The peculiar Character feels the same influence, and is circular in shape. Some Shans have settled in British Burma. The Shan States extend from the country of the Karén to the River Mekong and have only been traversed once or twice by English or French travellers. A Grammar has appeared.

The Tai-Mow are known as Chinese Shans; they are also called Tai-Khe. The French expedition up the Mekong River passed through their country, and the

English expedition from Bhamo to Momien did so also. We have Vocabularies, and we are informed that the peculiar Character is diamond-shaped: a fact to be attributed to Chinese influence. In China also is a tribe called Minkia, whose Vocabulary is Tai. They are the principal inhabitants of the plains of Tali, but are not entered on the Language-Map. A Vocabulary of Hota Shans is also supplied, supposed to be a Dialect of Tai-Mow.

I am compelled to return to the Province of Assam in British India to notice the two Tai Languages, which still exist there. At one period the Tai Family made an irruption over the Patkoi range, and subdued the valley of Assam, and extinguished the old Hindu dynasty. They assumed the title of Ahom from the Sanskrit "Asama," "unequalled," and left this name in the valley; but it is noteworthy, that their Language has left no trace in the Aryan Vernacular of Asamese, which has been described above. These intruding settlers lost both their Language and religion, but still constitute a large portion of the population of the valley as Asamese-speaking Hindus. Only a few priests have preserved their ancient religion, and the use of the old Ahom as a sacred and dead Language, and their peculiar Character.

The Khamti tribe, who entered the valley at a later period, are peaceful subjects of the British Government, dwelling near Sudya, but they are the representatives of a much larger and unknown horde beyond the frontier, whose stronghold is on the River Irawaddy, in the extreme North of Burma. They number about fifteen hundred. They have a peculiar Character. The original name of the tribe appears to have been Nora, and to have had two subdivisions, Ai-Kham or Khamti to the North, and Ai-ton to the South, and this last appears as a separate Language represented by a Vocabulary, but the number of the population and the habitat are quite uncertain.

It appears from the above, that our information with regard to the Language of this Family is quite insufficient.

We must look to the kindness of the Chief Commissioner of the Province of Assam for Grammatical Notes on the Khamti and Aiton Languages, and information regarding the dead Language of the Ahom, and the extent to which its Vocabulary still survives in the lips of the Asamese. As regards the Languages spoken within the kingdom of Siam, it is to be hoped, that the Consul-General or Missionaries may do something to add to our stock of knowledge. The Language of the Shans of Burma is already fairly well known to us, but the Language of the Chinese Shans will only be thoroughly studied, when the Province of Yunan in China is laid open to Europeans.

CHAPTER VIII.

MON-ANAM FAMILY.

I ACCEPT the provisional arrangement of a Mon-Anam Family out of deference to the expression of opinion of Logan, and with a view of exhausting the subject. It has by no means received universal assent, yet no antagonistic scheme has been started, for in fact very little is known of the Language of this quarter. The Group is composed of twenty Languages—1. The Mon or Peguan. 2. The Kambojan. 3. The Annamite. 4. The Paloung. 5-20. The Languages of the sixteen Wild Tribes inhabiting the upper basin of the River Mekong. The group belongs to the Monosyllabic Order, but it has not the coherency of other Groups. It has been less studied, and it seems quite possible, that it may be necessary to disconnect Annamite altogether. The Language-Map shows, that the Language-Field is broken up into three enclaves, which have ceased to have connection with each other, owing to the intrusion of a Tibeto-Burman and Tai flow of linguistic lava. Another marked feature is, that the influence of Indian culture, Indian religion, Indian nomenclature, and Indian Characters cease with Kambojan, and that the Annamite-speaking population borrow everything from the Chinese. At any rate, we walk upon very uncertain ground here. The chief authorities are Logan, Bastian, Garnier, Aymonier, and Des Michels.

The coast of the delta of the River Irawaddy has, from prehistoric times, been occupied by a race separate in Language from the Burmese; the race is known as Talain, the Language as Mon, and the Province as Pegu. They

had their day of greatness, but within the last century have been overpowered by the Burmese, who occupy the middle regions of the River Irawaddy, and during their time of power tried to exterminate this Language, which has, however, revived since, in 1853, Pegu became a British Province, and Rangoon the capital of British Burmah.

Mason and Phayre have stated their opinion in favour of a connection linguistically between the Mon and the Language of the Hos or Koles, on the other side of the Bay of Bengal, in the Kolarian Family. We have a Grammar by Haswell, who does not agree in this theory. Phayre states that it is uncertain from what quarter the Mons came; they were joined by a Dravidian emigration from the Indian Peninsula, and the word Talain survives as a record of the Telinga connection.

The Mon Character is of an Indian source through the Dravidian, but there is little trace in the Language of that connection. Bastian says that the Mons adopted for their sole Character (religious and secular) the Pali Character, which is used everywhere else for the sacred books only. There is no Dictionary, but a Peguan Vocabulary is attached to the Grammar. The people are Buddhists. Their sacred books are translated into Mon, abundantly interspersed with Pali. There are many loan Pali and Burmese words brought in by religious and secular domination. The construction is quite different from the Burmese, the location of words being almost always the reverse. This is one of the Languages, whose days are numbered; it may survive in villages, or among the emigrants settled in Siam, but Burmese will supplant it in the towns. We have a translation of the New Testament in the peculiar Character.

Adjacent to the prosperous realm and the well-known Language of the Siamese is the fallen and sadly-reduced kingdom of Kambodia, on the River Mekong. All the surrounding nations admit, that the Kambodians were their teachers in religion and science; but for the inter-

ference of the French, who have now taken the remnant of the kingdom under their protection, in all probability it would have been totally absorbed in its two powerful neighbours, Annam and Siam. It is calculated, that about one million and a half still speak the modern form of the ancient Language of the Khmer or Khomer, though the kingdom of Kambodia comprises only one million; the remainder are subjects either of Siam or of French Cochinchina. The magnificent ruins of Angeour or Nakhon Wat have drawn attention to the subject, and among these ruins are inscriptions, in an Archaic form of the peculiar Character of Kambojan, the most easterly derivative of the Indian, and in an Archaic form of Language, imperfectly understood, if at all, by the modern Buddhist priesthood; at least, these inscriptions have not been satisfactorily translated.

The great Khmer people differed essentially from their neighbours of Annam and Siam; they are an elder race, having descended the River Mekong at a period anterior to the Tai, and before the powerful race of Annam crossed the dividing range. The present Kambodians are Buddhists, with marked Pagan customs. Their Language differs materially from any other Monosyllabic Language. It has no tones, being spoken *recto tono*: the numeration is quinary. Garnier remarks, that modern Kambojan is a transition Language betwixt the Polysyllabic Language of the Malayan and the Monosyllabic Language of the Tai. It is full of Siamese words, and Bastian remarks, that it is so full, that for a long time it was mistaken for Siamese. Many loan-words are found contracted in the manner required by the tendency of the Kambojan Language, which is certainly towards Monosyllabism. It has also loan-words from Malay, Pali, Annamite, and Mon. The written annals go back to A.D. 1346, but there is evidence of a much higher antiquity to the power and civilisation of the nation. We have Vocabularies, a Dictionary of French and Kambojan, and a Grammar in French. We

clearly may expect, that our knowledge of this important Language, so accessible, and so abundant in Archaic monuments, and spoken to this day by a civilised people, should be speedily brought up to a proper level.

There are two modern Characters. 1. The sacred, which is used also in Siam; and, 2. The vulgar. Fundamentally they are identical, but differ in detail. It is proposed by French scholars to use the sacred Character for printing purposes, to the exclusion of the vulgar form, which will not make a good printing medium. Three Dialects are indicated of this Language: 1. Xong, 2. Samre, and 3. Khamen-Boran, which are duly shown in the Language-Map, and represented by Vocabularies; but there are sixteen Wild Tribes inhabiting the upper basin of the River Mekong, the connection of whose Language with the polished Kambojan is a subject of perplexity, which will be discussed further on. The remaining great question of the relation of the Kambojan to the Annamite must be disposed of at once. It has been assumed, on the authority of Logan, whose experience is anterior to the occupation of Saigon by the French, that there is a linguistic connection betwixt these neighbour Languages, as unquestionably exists betwixt Mon and Kambojan. I referred the question to Professor Des Michels of the Ecole des Etudes Orientales at Paris, and am assured by him, that not only the two Languages are completely distinct (which was not doubted), but that contact between the races, both as regards physical and moral organisation, was actually non-existent. No linguistic work has as yet been published on this important subject, and attention is invited to the necessity of setting forth the true state of the case, which must cause the breaking up of, or the firm establishment of, the Mon-Anam Family.

I now pass on to the Language called Annamite, *alias* Annamese, or Cochin-Chinese. Descending the river Mekong to the sea, we find ourselves in French Cochin-China, and the nucleus of a new civilisation. Whether this

settlement will pay commercially is a question; at any rate, linguistically, it is a great step in advance, and we find sweetness and light thrown round the hard questions of Grammar. The French have more than a century meddled in the affairs of Cochin-China, and such meddling generally ends in annexation. The kingdom of Annam consists of two Provinces, Tonquin and Cochin-China, and occupies the whole length of the Eastern face of the Indo-Chinese Peninsula, extending from latitude 8° to 23°. The central portion comprises the old Malay kingdom of Champa, of which the Language, religion, and nationality have perished. Yule gives the history of this forgotten State, and Crawfurd, in his Malay Grammar, analyses the vocables, and considers that it was fundamentally a local Language, mixed up with much Malayan. Of the three capitals, Huet, Hanoy, and Saigon, the latter has passed by conquest into the hands of the French. The people are Buddhists, but of the Chinese type. The lower classes use many words of uncertain origin, because they have been altered to suit the euphonic laws of a Mono-syllabic Language, in which the use of tones presents a great difficulty to the student. There are abundance of particles, which have no independent existence as words, and yet they do not coalesce, so as to form one word with the word which they are employed to qualify. The sounds are easy enough to acquire, and the Roman Catholic Mission, which has existed more than a century, has by ingenious additions adapted the Roman Character to these sounds, which makes the study of the Language independent of the acquisition of the peculiar Character, which is composed of a selection of Chinese Ideographs, used phonetically as a Syllabary, with upwards of nine hundred varieties. So clumsy is this arrangement, that the highest literati set it aside and use the Chinese ideographic signs. In such a Language the meaning has to be gathered from the position of the words and the context. The idea of past, present, and future is expressed by particles, or

omitted ; three-fourths of the nouns are formed by addition of particles to the verb ; there is no passive voice ; all animate objects have one determined prefix, and inanimate another ; and as an instance of the redundancy of vocables, it may be stated, that there are nine different words for "carrying" with reference to the hand, head, &c. The word "strong" is a portion of seventy-five compounds, and the word "to do," implying a sense of action, appears in one hundred and thirty-five compounds. There is an abundant Literature. The nation is civilised, strong, and, until the French occupation, warlike and ambitious. Within the memory of man the sovereigns were too proud to give a personal audience to the ambassadors of the rulers of India. The Dialect of the three provinces varies to a certain extent. A Dictionary was published at Rome in 1654 A.D. with a short Grammar. The standard Dictionary is in Latin. A Grammar and Vocabulary was published at Paris for the special use of students and the French officials. The Language is included in the course of the Ecole des Langues Orientales at Paris.

The Paloung Language is spoken by a race residing in an enclave within the territory of Independent Burma, surrounded by Tibeto-Burman and Tai populations. Our knowledge of this is very scanty indeed. Logan assigns this Language, of which we have Vocabularies, to the Mon-Anam Family, but by other authorities, such as Bigandet and Anderson, it is claimed for the Tai Family. A British agent is now established at Bhamo, so we have hope for light in these dark places.

In addition to the Kambojan and Lao Languages above described, there are at least a score of Languages spoken on the banks of the River Mekong, and its numerous confluents, and in the mountain chain extending from Tonquin to French Cochinchina. According to the custom of these polyglot regions, every town has at least four names, being known under a different combination of syllables by the Siamese, Annamites, Kambodians, and savage people.

Thus these wild savage Pagan races are themselves called Penoms by the Kambodians, Khu by the Siamese, Moi by the Annamites. All these words mean "savage," and the Chinese use Lawa in much the same sense. Garnier remarks on the important affinity of the Kambojan with the Languages of some of these savage races. We are in an absolutely *incognita terra*, and require a master-mind, like that of Hodgson, to collect materials during a patient note-taking of twenty-five years, and a fine discernment, like that of Max Müller, to arrange them. They have no peculiar Character, no Literature, no Grammatical Notes, and but very scant Vocabularies. Among some, like the Stieng, there is a Roman Catholic Mission maintained by devoted Frenchmen. Our authorities here are Garnier and Bastian. Their lists have been carefully analysed, and, whenever the Vocabulary indicated Tibeto-Burman and Tai affinities, they have been transferred to those Families. I have been informed by Des Michels, that in his opinion the Languages of none of these tribes have affinity to the Annamite. It follows, that they must be provisionally classed as congeners of the Kambojan, and though they read as a collection of meaningless syllables, still they represent facts:—1. Mi. 2. Khmu. 3. Lemet. 4. So. 5. Nanhang. 6. Banar. 7. Cedang. 8. Huei. 9. Kat. 10. Sue. 11. Stieng. 12. Proom. 13. Hin. 14. Sue. 15. Lawa or Doe. 16. Binna.

There is still a residuum on my list of Languages, or Dialects of Languages, indicated by name only, and not represented by Vocabularies, or attached to any location. These must be left to the care of future explorers and linguists. While I am writing, I hear of one traveller having for the first time traversed the Watershed, which separates the basin of the Upper Mekong from the China Sea. Another traveller has forced his way from Bassac on the River Mekong up into the Lao country, and thence into Yunan. Twenty-five years hence the Maps of Indo-China may be as full of accurate detail, as those of British India are now.

CHAPTER IX.

MALAYAN FAMILY.

I now pass into the Indian Archipelago, and, with the exception of the narrow Peninsula of Malacca, leave the continent of Asia, and find myself in a new terminology of Polynesia, Indonesia, and Malaisia, besides numerous other compounds of the word for island. In some linguistic books the whole Family of Languages as far as Easter Island is called the Polynesian, including the Malayan as a sub-Family. There is, therefore, a wider and narrower use of the term. Indian culture, Indian religion, the Indian Character, and Indian names accompany me, but the race and the Language have wholly changed. As there is no actual physical boundary to this portion of the inquiry, such as was supplied by the coast-line of the Indo-Chinese Peninsula, I must proceed to draw a line on the outer edge of the Shallow-Sea region, so as to include all the islands on that submarine plateau, whose Fauna is absolutely, and whose Flora and Ethnic and Linguistic features to a great degree are distinct from the Deep-Sea region, or Papuanesia. It exceeds the line at some points, so as to include particular islands, such as the Celebes, Molucca, and Timour Groups. Two strongly contrasted races occupy these islands, which, if lumped together, would form a large continent. First, the Malay, a brown race with lank hair; secondly, the Negrito, with black skin and curly hair. Between these are the Alfurese, the exact position of which it is hard to determine. Wallace, in his survey of the whole Malay Archipelago, gives specimens of fifty-nine Languages, but he omits some, which did not come

within his scope. Here lies the work of the philologists of the next generation, who are advised to leave the well-worn tracks of the Aryan Family, and bring order out of the existing confusion in the Malayan Family. Geographically and linguistically this region is part of Asia, while the portion, which we reject, is for the same reasons part of Australasia. A somewhat different method has to be pursued in the description of this Family. Islands do not make good Language-Fields. If large, like Java, Sumatra, Celebes, and Borneo, they may comprise several Languages; if small, they may be included in part or entirely within the Language-Field of their larger neighbours. All islands are liable to the linguistic difficulty of their littoral being occupied by a superior seafaring and commercial race, either continuously or in detached "camp-ongs," while the interior and unexplored mountains become the refuge of shy and uncivilised indigenes. In some cases there is a well-settled Language, if not literary, at least uniform and notorious. In other cases there is a score of ill-defined mutually unintelligible Languages, without any specific name, lumped together under a general name, such as Alfurese, or not attempted to be defined at all. I have tried by the device of conventional pecked lines to break up the Archipelago into enclaves, so as to render description intelligible. In some cases tribes, speaking separate Languages, communicate with each other in a form of Malay more or less pure, which has thus become the Lingua-franca of the Archipelago. Any approximation of the amount of population, except in the island of Java, is impossible, and the tribes, which are not stated to be Mahomedan, are Pagan, and often very savage ones.

My method of gathering Languages into groups has been throughout this essay geographical and not linguistic, subject to the provision that Families have been kept distinct. For instance, the Khamti Language, though obviously within the valley of Assam, has not been included within the Assam Group of Languages, because it is of the Tai

Family, and not the Tibeto-Burman. But here an ethnological difficulty meets me, and I am constrained to add an ethnological group collected from several islands to comprise the Languages of the Negritos and Alfurese, as obviously there is no affinity either in Language or race betwixt them and the members of any other group. I proceed to enumerate my ten groups:—1. Sumatra-Malacca. 2. Java. 3. Celebes. 4. Borneo. 5. Philippine. 6. Molucca. 7. Timour. 8. China. 9. Madagascar. 10. Alfurese-Negrito.

The Sumatra-Malacca Group comprises several islands, and the Peninsula of Malacca on the continent of Asia. There are eleven Languages in this group:—1. Malay. 2. Achinese. 3. Batta. 4. Rejang. 5. Lampung. 6. Kordinchi. 7. Nassau. 8. Nias. 9. Enganoes. 10. Ourung Binwuh of Sumatra. 11. Ourung Binwuh of Malacca.

The Malay Language is spoken in portions of the island of Sumatra and of the Peninsula of Malacca, the islands of Banca, Billiton, the Rhio-Lingga Archipelago, the islands lying West of Sumatra, portions of the coasts of Borneo, of the Moluccas, and other islands. It is said to be spoken by ten and a half millions, but all calculations must be vague. The Bible has been translated both into Standard and Low Malay in both Arabic and Roman Characters.

The Malays had their ancestral home in the interior of Sumatra, the region of Menangkaba; thence they colonised the coasts of Sumatra, the Peninsula of Malacca, the coasts of Borneo, and made their influence felt far beyond as adventurous pirates and merchants. Their Language being simple, has readily adopted loan-words from the Sanskrit, Arabic, Persian, English, Portuguese, Dutch, Javanese, Telugu, and Chinese Languages, avoiding allusion to the disputed main ingredients of primitive Malayan and the great Polynesian. In the Dialect spoken the Malay preponderates; in Literature the learned exotics. It is asserted, that the Malay of Singapore and of Queddah in

Malacca is the most pure. If there ever was a peculiar Character, it has not survived the introduction of the adapted Arabic. A considerable Literature exists, chiefly prose, but nothing of an original nature. Van der Tuuk pronounces all Dictionaries, whether English or Dutch, to be insufficient, and not up to the mark. There are two Dialects worthy of notice. 1. The Malay spoken at Batavia in Java differs very much from that spoken in the original country. It is called the Low Malay, and is most readily acquired. It contains no hard gutturals or difficult consonants. It is soft and musical, and has a nice blending of vowels and consonants. It has become the Lingua-franca in the Dutch colonies. All servants are addressed in it, and European children speak it before they know their own Language. 2. The Samsans of Queddah in the Peninsula of Malacca are Siamese by race and Mahomedan by religion, and speak a Dialect of Malay mixed with Siamese.

The written Language is called Jawi, a word in Java correlative of Kawi. It means "ordinary," and is antithetical to the other, which means "abstruse" Language. As the Malays have no learned Language of their own, they use the word Jawi as correlative to Arabic, the depository of all their learning, chiefly translations. In some species of composition the writers introduce Arabic terms, as a proof of their learning and religious attainments, but very few Semitic words have become actually part of the Malay Language.

The nouns have no accidents; gender is only sexual; number is indicated by a word of plurality; cases by prepositions. The only instance of an inflexion is to express a possessive. The idea of time in the verb is indicated by particles, but they are often omitted. The relation of the genitive is expressed by juxtaposition, and the governing words precede the governed. A verb is changed from neuter to active by affixing or prefixing certain inseparable particles. The adjectives follow the substantives. One part of speech is formed from another with great ease

by prefixing a particle, and the same word in its primitive form is often used colloquially for several different parts of speech. As in the Hindustáni, Arabic and Sanskrit words can be incorporated into the Malay at the pleasure of the speaker. It has been for centuries the Lingua-franca of the Archipelago, and its simplicity, power of adaptation, and smoothness of pronunciation, make it one of the strong Vernaculars likely to absorb its weaker neighbours.

The Malay is remarkable for its uniformity. The style of Language may be degraded and vulgar, but it is still the same Language, and the written form is everywhere identical.

But in the island of Sumatra there are other Languages akin to, but quite distinct from, Malay. First in order is the Achinese, the Language of that State in the North extremity of the island of Sumatra, which has waged a gallant, though unsuccessful, war with the Dutch Government. The population is Mahomedan, and they use the Arabic Character.

Next in order is the Batta, called also Batak, which has been illustrated by Van der Tuuk. There are three Dialects:—1. Toba. 2. Mundailung. 3. Dairi. The Battas are divided into many independent States, are Pagans and cannibals, but are becoming Mahomedan; yet they are not uncivilised, have a peculiar Character, and write with a twig and ink made of soot upon bark and bamboo staves from bottom to top, the lines being arranged from left to right. They have a Literature both in prose and verse. Vocabularies are not wanting. The Language is said by Van der Tuuk to be nearest of kin to the old Javanese and Tagál. Schreiber considers it to have closer affinity with Malay. The New Testament is being translated into this Language in the peculiar Character by Nommensen.

Next is the Rejang, the name of one of the most civilised tribes of Sumatra, who, though Pagan, have a peculiar Language and Character of their own. They write on bamboo slips. Their habitat is chiefly inland, and they are

quite independent. There does not appear to be much Literature. The English settlement of Bencoolen was situated in their territory. Marsden gives a Vocabulary.

Next is the Lampung. The people, who speak this Language, live on the coast separated from Java by the Straits of Sunda. The Language is quite distinct, and has a peculiar Character; one-third of the words appear to be original. The people are rude, partly Pagan, partly Mahomedan. A Vocabulary is given by Marsden, but Van der Tuuk has published Grammatical Notes, but nothing amounting to a Dictionary or Grammar.

To these tribes in the island of Sumatra may be added the Korinchi, the inhabitants of a hitherto unexplored tract. They are Mahomedan, and speak a Language akin to Malay, but use a peculiar Character, of which Marsden gives a specimen, and it is certainly of Indic origin. On the other hand, well-informed persons at Leiden doubt, whether any such Character ever existed, though in a late book of travel it is specially, though incidentally, mentioned that there were Korinchi settlers at Perak in the Peninsula of Malacca, who had their peculiar Character. There is an expedition of exploration now in the island, and we shall probably soon have more certain information. In the smaller islands lying to the West of Sumatra in some instances a corrupt form of Malay is spoken. We have a Vocabulary of the Language spoken in Nassau or Pagai Island. We have a translation of a portion of the New Testament into the Language of Nias in the Roman Character. Of others we have Vocabularies. The Language of the Enganoes is quite unintelligible to Malays from Sumatra. We have Vocabularies.

Somewhat akin to these last are the Ourung Binwuh, or "Men of the Soil," of the island of Sumatra and Peninsula of Malacca. They are unquestionably Malayan in race, and their Language is a rude Malay. They are wild, Pagan, and wholly illiterate. The following are found in the interior of Sumatra:—1. Lubu. 2. Oelo. 3. Kubu.

4. Abung. 5. Kumring. The following are in the interior of the Peninsula of Malacca, under the general name of Rayet Utan, "Men of the Forest":—1. Jakun. 2. Udai. 3. Sakai. 4. Basisi. 5. Sabimba. 6. Mintira. Add to these, 7. the "Men of the Sea-shore" or Rayet Laut, or Akhye. Crawfurd, Logan, Newbold, and others mention them. I have thought it best to enter them as Dialects provisionally. A French author, on the authority of Roman Catholic Missionaries, mentions the Mintiras or Mantras in some detail. Vocabularies of the Lubu and Oclo are supplied by Willer and Netscher in Holland; and other names occur, such as Bantas and Ourung Guja, showing how imperfect is our knowledge.

The Java Group consists of four great and interesting Languages:—1. Javanese. 2. Sundanese. 3. Madurese. 4. Balinese. It belongs entirely to the Dutch. This group is well supplied with linguistic books.

The Javanese is the chief Language of the island of Java; it has a Low Dialect; it is the most improved and copious of the Malayan Family. Its Character, derived from the Indian, is used by the Sundanese, Balinese, Madurese, and the people of Lombok, whether Balinese or Sassak, and also in Borneo and Sumatra. The letters are not in the well-known classification of the Nágari. The Characters are perfect to suit the sounds of the Language. The foreign ingredients of the Language are very much the same as those of the Malay. The Grammar is very simple, and much is left to be gathered from the context. The general features are the same as those described in the Malay. The number of Javanese-speakers amounts to thirteen millions. The Javanese is one of the most copious Languages in the world, but it is exuberant and redundant in some particulars, and meagre in others; and the phraseology of deference is made a study and science. The Literature is threefold, Hindu, Arabic, and indigenous, and chiefly poetry. Arabic has made but a small impression on the Javanese,

as the people are only semi-Mahomedan. They write on palm-leaves or European and Chinese paper. The great proportion of words are dissyllables. There are a great number of dérivatives formed by inseparable particles. No native Grammar existed, but there was a Vocabulary of synonyms. The Bible has been translated in the peculiar Character.

The Sundanese is the Language of the mountaineers of the West of Java, who are Mahomedan, and spoken by four millions. The letters are fewer. This was probably the ancient Language of the island, and has escaped the influence of foreign innovations. An obsolete Character has been discovered on ancient and rude inscriptions. The Bible has been translated into Sundanese in the Roman Character. It is spoken by four millions.

The Madurese is the Language of the people of the island of Madura, of the immigrants from that island into Java, about one and a half millions, and Mohamedan. It has one Dialect, the Sumanap. It is poorer and ruder than Javanese. Although the arm of the sea is only ten miles in width, the two Languages are scarcely more alike than any other two of the Western Archipelago. The letters are fewer. It has a phraseology of deference and correspondence, but Javanese is the Language of business. It is spoken by one and a half millions.

The Balinese is the sole Language of the island of Bali. It is spoken by half a million; rude and simple, yet more improved than the Sundanese and Madurese, and supplied with a phraseology of deference, borrowed from Sanskrit and Javanese. In Bali writing is on the palm-leaf only, as was the old practice of Java. The religion of the people is still Brahmanical and Buddhist, but blended with Pagan customs, and thus the strict tenets are much perverted. Buddhists and Brahmins live in perfect harmony. The lower classes speak a very distinct Dialect indeed, such as was spoken before the arrival of the Javanese into Bali. Separated by a narrow

strait from Bali is the island of Lombok, which was conquered by the Balinese, who have preserved their religion and Language, and a certain amount of independence. The aborigines of the island are Sassaks, whose Language will be noticed in the Timour Group. The Balinese-speakers number twenty thousand. The Bible is being translated in the Roman Character.

The Celebes Group comprises eight Languages:—1. Macassar. 2. Bouton. 3. Mandar. 4. Bugi. 5. Salayar. 6. Garontolo. 7. Menado. 8. Tomore. Separated from the Java Group by the Macassar Straits is the curiously-shaped island of Celebes, the centre of a civilisation independent of Java. The population at a remote period were Hindu. The Mahomedans had only just arrived, when the Christians came on the field. A certain proportion of the people are Protestant Christians, as the Dutch power is paramount. The Language and Literature essentially differ from the two preceding groups. There is a peculiar Character in use, preserving the classification of the Nagári, but differing in appearance. There is also an obsolete Character. There are two great Languages, with a Literature, the Bugi or Wugi, and the Mangkasara or Macassar. There are other Languages, the Mandar, Bouton, Salayar, Garontolo, Menado, and Tomore. The Bugi are a powerful people, and their Literature copious, but both Languages have a soft and vocalic pronunciation. The Grammar is exceedingly simple, but differing in many particulars widely from the Malay and Javanese. Out of 1700 words 1300 are native, the remainder loan-words from Malay and Javanese. The Bugi Language has exerted an influence upon the Languages of other islands. They have an ancient Literature, and by some are asserted to have an Archaic Language, but no specimen has been obtained. The Macassar and Bugis have much in common, but they are mutually unintelligible. To Matthes we are indebted for Grammars, Dictionaries, and a translation of a portion of the Bible, both in Bugi and Macassar, in the peculiar Character.

The Borneo Group comprises twelve Languages, which are enumerated below. Borneo, situated on the Equator, is the greatest island in the world, three times the size of Great Britain. Of the interior we know little or nothing. Crawfurd is of opinion, that there may be scores of tribes speaking different Languages, but they are all savages, and mostly cannibals. No respectable indigenous civilisation has sprung up on the island. The coasts have been occupied by Malay settlers for more than two thousand years, who in due time brought with them Mahomedanism. Bugis have settled from the East, and are of the same faith. The Javanese have made settlements and introduced Hinduism, leaving traces in ruined temples and names of places. The Chinese have settled on the northern coast. The indigenous population is Pagan, and called by the generic word Dhyak. There is no peculiar Character, but an inscription in an undecipherable Character has been found in the interior. The natives have a kind of symbolic mode of communication by notches on arrows. The greatest known tribe is the Kayan, of which we have a Vocabulary by Burn of eight hundred words. Crawfurd gives a Vocabulary of ten Languages:—1. Kayan, 2. Pido, 3. Petak, 4. Binjuk, 5. Suntah, 6. Sau, 7. Milanau, 8. Meri, 9. Malo, and 10. Sakaran. With the Mahomedan religion the Malay Language is adopted. Latham remarks, that the Binjuk are maritime and the Dhyak landsmen. The Dutch possess half the island, with a population of one million and a quarter. The Bible has been translated in the Roman Character. We have to thank Von der Gabelentz and Hardeberg for Grammatical Notes and a Dictionary of Dhyak. An ample field lies open in this island to the scholars of the next generation. Tiedtke gives a Vocabulary of the 11. Sampit, and 12. Katingar Languages.

The Philippine Group, with its twelve Languages, introduces us into a new linguistic world, and a colony of the Spaniards. The two great Languages are:—1. The Tagal, and 2. The Bisáyan; but there are many hundred islands,

and we need not be surprised to hear of many Languages, among which are the 3. Pampanga; 4. Iloco; 5. Pangasinan; 6. Cagayan; 7. Camarines; 8. Batanes; 9. Chamena; 10. Zambal; 11. Bicol; 12. Zebuana. The residents of the different islands are not mutually intelligible. Out of a population of three millions and a half, called by the Spaniards the Indios, one-third speak a variety of Bisayan, and two-thirds a variety of Tagal. Vocabularies exist. The Roman Catholic priests have played a great part here, and the majority of the population is nominally Christian. One of the islands enjoys independence and Mahomedanism. Savage unsubdued tribes occupy the mountainous interior of the chief island, Luzon; some of them are Negritos, of numbers unknown, and all Pagans. There is one peculiar Character, though the Spanish authors assert the existence of many, but produce no specimens. It is said to be written with an iron style on bamboos or palm-leaves, and in Chinese fashion from top to bottom.

The great feature of the Languages of this group is poly-syllabism, and the blending of noun and verb into a single word, and the difficulty of tracing the roots of either is a cause of perplexity. The changes are most complex; perfect familiarity with every form that a word can assume, not only by addition of particles, but interchange of letters, is necessary to enable a person to detect the radix, which, according to Leyden, is more disguised than in Arabic derivatives. Nouns have no accidents; verbs have moods or tenses, but have no pronominalisation to indicate number and person; the inverted sentence-construction of the passive is preferred to that of the active; the plural of nouns is formed by a particular prefix: in verbs inseparable particles are used, instead of auxiliaries, to mark time.

The number of synonyms to represent one idea is enormous. Crawfurd remarks, that several of the Languages have arrived at a high degree of culture, and differ

greatly in structure from Malay and Javanese. Humboldt asserted, that the Tagál was the most perfect specimen, and the parent Language of the Malayan Family, which is denied by Crawfurd. We have a plentiful linguistic Literature in Spanish, and Crawfurd describes the Languages scientifically in the preface to his Malay Grammar; but of an indigenous Literature we have but an uncertain account, for it appears that the Roman Catholic missionaries extirpated the original memorials of the race with pious care, supplanting the remains of national and Pagan antiquity with hymns and church-legends.

The Molucca Group comprises ten Languages, and is better known under the name of the Spice Islands. It was here that the Portuguese were met in 1521 by Magellan and the Spaniards, who had crossed the Pacific from the West. We find that then, as now, the Malay Language was the medium of commerce, yet each island, Amboyna, Tidor, Ternate, Banda, Gilolo, and Céram, had preserved their Languages different from Malay. There is no peculiar Character; the Roman and Arabic are used, and the people of Amboyna are nominally Protestant Christians. In the other islands the inhabitants are Pagan, with a sprinkling of Mahomedans. Van Hoewell has published Grammatical Notes on the five leading Languages of Amboyna, viz.:—1. *Sassarúa*; 2. *Hurunka*; 3. *Nusalaut*; 4. *Hila*; 5. *Nagari-anpat*; with a Vocabulary. Vocabularies of different degrees of fulness are available for the other five islands.

I come now to the most difficult portion of the subject, the Timour Group. It consists of the long stretch of islands from Lombok to Timour Laut. Of the number and degree of civilisation of the people it is impossible to speak except in the vaguest terms. On some of the islands are Dutch Settlements, and on the island of Timour is the solitary Portuguese Settlement, Dili. Here they speak Malay. On the island of Floris is a Bugi “campong.” With this exception, the enumeration of the eighteen Languages of this

Group is but a string of names, represented only by imperfect Vocabularies, and the list is by no means exhaustive.

First in order comes the island of Lombok, which I mentioned in the description of the Java Groups as having been overrun by Balinese conquerors, who, however, only occupy the littoral. The interior is possessed by the Sasaki, who are Mahomedan, and whose Language is akin to the Language of the West end of the adjoining island of Sumbawa. There is no peculiar Character, but the Sasaki use the Javanese Character. We have Vocabularies.

Separated by a narrow strait from Lombok is the island of Sumbawa. There are three Languages; those to the West and East are the Sumbawa and the Bima. The people are all Mahomedan, with the exception of a few wild mountaineers. There is no peculiar Character, but traces have been found of an Archaic and obsolete one; the Bugi Character is used. The third Language, the Timoura, has kept its own numerals. There is nothing beyond Vocabularies.

The large island of Floris is said to have six distinct Languages:—1. Endeh. 2. Mangarei. 3. Kio. 4. Roka. 5. Konga. 6. Galleteng. To judge from the Vocabularies of two supplied by Crawfurd, there is an admixture of Malay and Javanese. The inhabitants are intermediate between Malayan and Papuan. The Solor and Allor islands, and the island of Somba, have distinct Languages, though imperfectly known.

The next island, Timour, bears that name as the most Eastern of the Malayan settlements; it is occupied by Malayans and Negritos; the number of Languages is two; that to the West is Timourese, to the East Teto or Manatoto.

In the island of Serwate the Language is Kissa.

The islands of Savoe and Rotti have a population with great ethnical and linguistic differences from their neighbours. We have Vocabularies. There are no peculiar Characters, and no Literature or civilisation whatever in this Group.

The China Group consists of one Language in the island of Formosa or Tai-wan, part of the Chinese Empire. Its coasts and plains are occupied by Amoy-Chinese immigrants, but its mountainous interior is occupied by people of the Malayan race, the furthest Eastern out-work of that great Family. European Missionaries are now settled among this people, and we have from several quarters Vocabularies accompanied by Grammatical Notices. There is reason to believe, that the Malay race passed from the Philippines into this island; they are Malays in exterior, and some are in a semi-civilised state, given to agriculture, and known as Kabaran or Pepukhwan, residents of the plain; others as Yukan, wild savages of the hill. They have never made any real progress, being either in subjection to the Chinese, or in savage liberty; they have neither Character nor Literature; there is an entire absence of Sanskrit words, which marks the period of the Malayan colonisation to be anterior to the Hindu conquest of Java; intercourse with the rest of the Malayan race must have been very slack, and the influence of the Chinese immigration and culture upon the Language very strong. Four dialects are reported:—
1. Sideia. 2. Favorlang. 3. Tackais. 4. Tilois.

I arrive at the Madagascar Group, consisting of one Language—the Malagasy. At a distance of many degrees to the West, separated from Africa by the Mozambique Channel, is the island of Madagascar, the most Western outwork of the Malayan race. Crawfurd asserted, that the Malagasy were a Negrito people of African blood, with a slight admixture of the Malay in their blood and Language, from pirates or tempest-driven vessels off the island of Sumatra. Humboldt led the van in the theory of a Malayan origin; and, since the island of Madagascar has become better known, and the residence of Missionaries, his opinion is gaining ground. A Dictionary was published in English forty years ago, and in French more than two hundred years.

ago. Grammars have been published, and a translation of the New Testament, in the Roman Character. The latest opinion is that of Cousins, a Missionary of standing (who has been selected by all the Protestant Missions in the island to the task of revising the Bible), that the Language is one of the Malayan Family, with an admixture of foreign words brought in in the intercourse of trade. Van der Tuuk agrees in the above, and remarks that the Malagásy resembles the Toba Dialect of the Batta Language in the island of Sumatra, above described; that there are resemblances to Javanese, Malay, and Dhyak; and that the Language must have come from the West coast of Sumatra, after an admixture with a Language resembling that of the island of Nias. Certainly the words in Malagásy are very long indeed; Malay and Javanese roots are bisyllabic, and prefixes monosyllabic; while in Malagásy we have prefixes and affixes of three syllables, extending the length of some words to a monstrous extent; and it must be admitted, that the Malagásies are a dark race, speaking apparently the Language of the brown races of the Archipelago. There are no Sanskrit words, therefore the connection must date back to a period before the immigration into Java of the Hindus. They number about two and a half millions. There is no peculiar Character, and the Missionaries have introduced the Roman Character. According to French authorities, the Arabic Character was once used.

It is admitted, that there is great variety in the physical appearance and colour of the different races, and great admixture, and it may be possible, that a person, not a scholar, living on one part of the island, might have difficulty in understanding the spoken dialect of another part; but it is notwithstanding confidently affirmed, that there is no essentially different Language spoken by the different tribes, and on closer study the apparent discrepancies would disappear. The same translation of the Bible is used throughout the island. I am informed by Cousins

that there are ten Dialects; 1. the Hova is the most cultivated, and spoken by the inhabitants of the Central Province of Imerina. 2. The Sakaláva is spoken by a widely scattered tribe occupying most of the Western seaboard, and part of the Northern end of the island. Many of this tribe are quite dark, and among them, living as they do on the West coast, an African element may reasonably be expected to exist, and yet the grammatical forms of the Dialect are the same as those of the Hova, though the Vocabulary is very distinct. 3. The Betsimisáraka is the chief Dialect spoken on the Eastern coast. In the interior of the island South of Imerina is the 4. Betsileo, allied to which Dialect is 5. the Ibára Dialect, spoken by a tribe lying still further South. Between the high tablelands of the interior and the Eastern coast are the tribes of the 6. Tanála, the 7. Bezánozáno, and the 8. Sihanaka, whose Dialects are closely allied to the Betsimisáraka. Other Dialects such as the 9. Taimora and 10. Taifasy are spoken, but little is known of them. At my request a paper was read at the London Philological Society, and published in the Proceedings of 1878, by the above-named distinguished scholar, which places our knowledge on a sure basis.

The last Group is that of the Alfurese-Negrito. It is confessedly not a geographical Group, but merely a device to bring under review certain savage tribes, which can in no way be held to be Malayan in race or in Language, yet are necessarily included in a description of that Family. From the great islands of Sumatra, Java, and Borneo both Alfurese and Negritos are entirely absent; possibly they have been killed down. From the Celebes Negritos are absent, but Alfurese are there in abundance; they are also found in the Molucca and Philippine groups. Negritos are found in the peninsula of Malacca on the mainland, in the Timour Group in great abundance, in the Philippines, Molucca, and the Madagascar Groups. The Alfurese are totally distinct from the brown Malay and

black Negrito; they are wild, savage, Pagan head-hunters. Their name is derived from a combination of Arabic and Portuguese, term "al-fuori," the "outsiders," and is written Alfora, or Harafora, or Turaja. The Negritos are black, woolly-haired savages. I have already noticed their existence in the interior of the Great Nicobar, and in each of the Andaman islands. The Negrito tribe in the peninsula of Malacca is called Samang. Vocabularies have been recorded both of the Languages spoken by Alfurese and by Negritos. We have a translation of the Bible in one of the Alfurese Languages by Hermann, and linguistic and religious works in others. There is no peculiar Character, and indeed very little is known as to the names of these Languages and tribes, and the origin of the particular races. Millies is said to have collected materials for an Alfurese Dictionary, and Schneider and Bleeker have published Grammatical Notes on the Language spoken at or near Minahassa in the Northern arm of the Celebes. We invite one of the distinguished scholars at Leiden or Delft, to publish an essay in the English Language, bringing up to mark the existing knowledge of the Language and customs of these interesting and peculiar tribes. All that I can do is to record the seven Alfurese Languages, of which we have Vocabularies:—1. Bolaang-Mongonbo. 2. Menádo. 3. Tomohon. 4. Amœrang. 5. Minahassa. 6. Toombulus. 7. Tounsea.

The whole Family stands thus:

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| I. SUMATRA-MALACCA.. | 11 Languages | 14 Dialects. |
| II. JAVA..... | 4 Languages | 3 Dialects. |
| III. CELEBES..... | 8 Languages | None. |
| IV. BORNEO | 12 Languages | None. |
| V. PHILIPPINE | 12 Languages | None. |
| VI. MOLUCCA..... | 10 Languages | None. |
| VII. TIMOUR..... | 18 Languages | None. |
| VIII. CHINA..... | 1 Language | 3 Dialects. |
| IX. MADAGASCAR..... | 1 Language | 9 Dialects. |
| X. ALFURESE-NEGrito.11 Languages..... | | None. |
| Total..... | 88 | — 29 Dialects. |

CHAPTER X.

CONCLUSION.

I REMARKED in my Preface, that I began this task, as if by a mere chance, and knowing nothing, and, though the materials have accumulated round me, and kind friends have helped me, I seem to be about to lay down my pen, knowing little better than nothing. I wish that my time of life allowed me to go over again my course of reading, as set out in Appendix C., and, following the Horatian maxim, defer publication for the next nine years. But that policy might eventuate in nothing being done at all, and something ought to be done.

No one will sit in severer judgment on this work than I shall myself, and my first step after correcting the last proof-sheet will be to make corrections and additions in the first interleaved copy. I shall welcome criticisms, however severe, if made in good faith, and based upon truth; and the enormous extent of the line occupied by my work, from Peshawur to the Philippines, will expose me to attack from specialists at one end of the line, who will swallow as Gospel-truth all my errors at the other end. From the remarks, that have been made to me in conversation, by letter, and in print, I think in all humility that these pages will be useful; and that is my one object: in fact, *to do in my old age something for India.*

The Table of Languages shows no less than two hundred and forty-three names, and the Table of Dialects no less than two hundred and ninety-six names; so that in all there are five hundred and thirty-nine varieties of speech.

In passing over so vast an expanse, and much of it untrodden ground, many of my statements must be accepted, as the result of mere surface knowledge, or rather want of knowledge, and a more ample supply of materials, and greater judgment in the use of them, must lead to great modifications, and I shall gladly avail myself of any opportunity to do so ; and, while I am maintaining a correspondence in every part of the Field, I have my eye upon every publication in Europe and Asia, so that I may fairly hope to make my foundation broader and surer before a Second Edition is called for.

What about the Future ? As regards the Peninsula of Nearer India, scores of these Languages will disappear under the pressure of the magnificent Aryan Vernaculars of Northern India, the Hindi, Bengáli, and Maráthi, and the two great Dravidian Vernaculars of Southern India, Tamil and Telugu, which will become the linguistic media of two hundred millions, fully charged, perhaps immoderately, with loan-words from Sanskrit, Arabic, Persian, and English. In these Languages will be developed a new Anglo-Indian culture, and perhaps a new Religion. The Characters, in which these Languages will appear in the future, is uncertain, and there will probably exist, as now, two Northern varieties, the Nágari and Bengáli, and two Southern, the Tamil and Telugu, while the adapted Arabic and the adapted Roman Characters will be largely used by the State, the Missionaries, the Foreign Communities, and all who stand outside the great Brahmanical religion. If we are wise in time, all those teeming millions, who are ready to pass from Paganism and Savagery into some form of Book-Religion and Civilisation, will be led gently into Christianity and the use of the Roman Character : their Language must depend upon the innate strength of their own Non-Aryan form of speech in the death-struggle which must take place with the great Aryan Vernaculars. It appears to me a waste of time, and an impertinence, and an offence against National

rights, which should be respected by the strongest Government in dealing with the weakest tribe, to attempt to introduce the English Language, as a Vernacular in any part of India. It would be resented by a Nation with any sense of freedom, and our mission in India is to lay the foundation of Civilisation, Religion, and Freedom. The only place, which English ought ever to occupy, is that of an esteemed and acquired medium of Civilisation and Science.

As regards the Peninsula of the Farther India and the Archipelago, it is hard to form any linguistic horoscope. The great Vernaculars of Burmese, Siamese, and Annamite will probably dominate on the Continent, and Malay and Javanese in the Islands, for these two last only have received into their fibres that infiltration of Aryan and Semitic strength, which will enable them to supply a medium of culture. For Malay, as for Hindustáni, a magnificent future may be anticipated among the great Speech-Media of Asia and of the World. They manifest that capacity for the absorption and assimilation of foreign elements, which we recognise as making English the greatest Vernacular that the World has ever seen.

And some of the secrets of the Past may be revealed, when we arrive at a full knowledge of the Grammar, Vocabulary, and Phonetic Laws of the Languages of the East Indies; for in no other part of the world is there such a wealth, such variety, such rich combinations of antagonistic systems.

The range of the Himaláyas are a great linguistic Watershed of a most unique and interesting kind. A study of the Languages of India, the Indo-Chinese Peninsula, and the Indian Archipelago, may some day furnish materials for a wider induction of the Laws of Language, than was possible to the limited data, available to Bopp, Von Humboldt, and Max Müller. We seem to catch the first efforts of the human race *in situ*, not in a dead level of savagery, as in Australia, Africa, and America, but in a

graduated scale of improved and improving Languages. In the rear of the Himalaya is the great Monosyllabic Chinese ; their flank is turned by various combinations of the Agglutinative method ; in their front is the great Inflexive Word-system of the elder branch of the Aryan Family, destined in its later Vernaculars to incorporate Semitic loan-words. Thus from these Languages may, possibly, at some future period, be gathered the connecting links between the great Orders of Human Speech.

But a critic of this book, of the lively Competitive Examination-class may say, *Quorsum hac tam putida?* What practical advantage is there in collecting together specimens of these savage media of speech ? If I had invented them, or proposed to translate the Bible into them, or galvanise into an unprofitable extension of linguistic life, Dialects, which will soon perish if left alone, I should be open to such criticism. But if the fact be admitted of the existence of these Languages and Dialects, evidenced by Vocabularies, testified to by the local use of officials and travellers, we have before us the wonderful phenomenon, that scores of tribes in a low state of civilisation, who only require the use of a few hundred words for all purposes, have from the uncontrolled license of their inventive and logical powers developed special and peculiar systems of Sound-Lore, Word-Lore, and Sentence-Lore, and surely this is as worthy of record, as the classified investigations of the Botanist, the Conchologist, and the Entomologist, who do not pause to ask, why their countless specimens existed, but examine and record their distinguishing characteristics, before they cease to exist.

And something more. The life of human beings was aptly compared by Homer more than two thousand years ago to the life of the leaves of the forest. Still more apt would be that comparison to the life of Languages and Dialects. Two thousand four hundred years ago Xerxes, son of Darius, King of Persia, issued orders to the rulers and

Governors of the one hundred and twenty-seven provinces of his empire, and these orders were written to each people according to their Vernacular and the peculiar Character of each province. Now, with the exception of the Hebrew (which since then has changed its Character), the Samaritan, the Phœnician, the Greek of Ionia, the old Bactrian of the Avesta, the Demotic of Egypt, the three Languages of the Tablets of Behistun, the Languages of Accad and Susa, and possibly that of the Asoka Inscription at Kapúr di Giri, all the other Languages and Characters have perished, and left us not a trace on a fire-burnt brick or cylinder, or the legend of a coin. Of what interest would it have been, if Herodotus had written an account of the Languages of the Persian Empire! Of all the living forms of speech, catalogued in Appendix B. of this work, it cannot be proved by monumental or documentary evidence, or assumed with any degree of probability, that, with the exception of the Sinhalese, any one has existed in its present colloquial form more than twelve hundred years. In no portion of human history have chance and caprice played a wider and more enduring part than in the death or survival, the rise and fall, the domination and subjection, of rival Languages and Characters. The rude Languages of the mountaineers appear to be still more transitory and unstable than that of nations settled in towns and villages. And yet many of the roots, of which these words are composed, have an appearance of absolute indestructibility and a proved antiquity, which is startling. The temples and edifices may be built and fall to ruins, and new edifices be built of the old materials; but the bricks bear the mark of their original mould. Thus, in the ordinary Lingua-franca of British India, Vedic and Mosaic roots jostle each other in the mouths of those, who are scarcely aware, that these precious waifs from past ages bore the same meaning in the mouths of Aryan and Semitic speakers more than three thousand years ago.

In the Vocabularies of all the Aryan Vernaculars of the Indic branch there is found a residuum, which cannot possibly be admitted to be of Aryan or Semitic origin. A careful exclusion from the Vocabularies of the Non-Aryan Languages of the East Indies of every word, which can possibly be Aryan or Semitic, will leave likewise a residuum of Non-Aryan vocables. These should be submitted to a careful inter-comparison with each other, and will present valuable data for the Comparative Philologist of the next generation, to whom will devolve the task of comparing Family with Family.

My object has been twofold: to display the extent of the Field, and to bring to one focus the labours of the past, and, at the same time, to organise some scheme of general co-operation for the future. The Bengal Asiatic Society, and the Royal Asiatic Society of London and its Branches, have consistently placed their Journals at the disposal of the contributors to linguistic knowledge in every part of the Field. Something more seems required, which I can only shadow out. Each Society should make itself the centre and medium of linguistic research, and all labourers and inquirers should be encouraged to apply to them for information and guidance; and that this may be done thoroughly, in each Society one or two Members should specially charge themselves with the duty of keeping abreast with the subject, so as to hold together the scattered threads, very much as, forty years ago, James Prinsep made himself the centre of Archæological inquiry in every part of India. The Annual Reports of these Societies should bring together the notices, which may appear in independent periodicals, religious or secular, such as the "Indian Antiquary," "Calcutta Review," "Indian Church Intelligencer," &c., &c., for the great difficulty of labourers is to get information as to what has been published. The Royal Asiatic Society has, for the last two years, done this work at home thoroughly, and will continue to do it. From time to time

the scattered contributions of distinguished authors, such as Hodgson, Robinson, and others, should be collected, carefully edited, and published in a collective form. Each Society should have corresponding Members in different parts of the Field, that it may know where to turn to in times of need for any particular information. And when particular portions of the Field require light being let into them, search must be made for the right man. I may illustrate this by what I have myself been able to do. Great uncertainty prevailed as to the Malagásy Language. I happened last year to hear a Missionary in Exeter Hall describing Mission-work and Bible-work in Madagascar. I made his acquaintance, found that he was actually employed in conducting the translation of the Bible in Malagásy, and he was good enough to read a paper before the Philological Society, which set all doubts at rest. So again about the Kawi Language, and the Language Field of the Malayan Family generally; in no other way but by application to the learned scholars at Leiden could I have arrived at any results. During the last three years I, and my fellow-labourer, Mr. E. L. Brandreth, have been constantly stopped in our researches, until some reply from India or the Continent has removed an obstacle. This is pre-eminently a work, in which a number of co-operators, and central points of reference, are required.

It cannot be expected that the Officers, charged with the Government of the Provinces of India, should have leisure to initiate linguistic researches; but I have ventured to trouble several, and have ever been received with the promptest courtesy. This may have arisen from the condescending kindness of those distinguished Statesmen to an old friend of many years, as well as from an intuitive feeling, that a knowledge of the Vernacular of a people is one of the important factors of good government. At the same time, I have received letters from accomplished and earnest young officers, who have their linguistic life before them, and to encourage, and assist, and guide whose

labours it must be the pleasing duty of the Asiatic Societies. Among all the Governors of Provinces, I must specially thank my dear friends Sir George Campbell and Sir Richard Temple, who have deemed no corner of the great Indian Problem unworthy of their touch, and have touched no portion, which they did not adorn, whose genius and industry have found time amidst the cares of State to make solid and lasting contributions to linguistic knowledge.

The silent and unobtrusive labours of the Missionaries, and behind them of the great British and Foreign Bible Society, must be fostered, encouraged by grants in aid, and duly noticed year by year. A scholar, who has brought a previously unknown and unwritten Language under the eyes of Europe, or who has compiled a Dictionary, where none previously existed, or who has passed a Family of Languages under scientific review, has done a great work, which is worthy of honour and recognition from the State. The Missionary, able to speak the Languages of the people and teach the arts of peace, may, armed with translations of the Bible in the Language of the people, prove to be the best pioneer of civilisation among the wild Tribes of the Frontiers of Bengal, Assam, and Burma. The soldier, with his periodical expeditions, burning villages, and slaughtering ignorant savages, has failed. The Civil Officer, with his inelastic Law and his uncompromising Revenue-demand, has not succeeded. Let us try what the Missionary, with the translation of the Bible in one hand, and implements of agriculture and domestic manufacture in the other, can do towards the pacification, civilisation, and christianising of wild nomads living on a Jhum system of cultivation,¹ and raids upon their neighbours. A power of using the Language of the people, and of communicating to them in that Language new ideas of right and wrong, may do what

¹ Jhum or Forest-clearing. "Arva in annos mutant et superstes ager."—TACITUS.

the sword and the policeman's staff have (by the aid of interpreters) been unable to perform.

I have been asked by inquirers in the Field to draw up a list of leading questions, and a Skeleton Grammar for the guidance and assistance of those, who have the opportunity of collecting information. I do not think that this would be advantageous. The old Eton Latin Grammar, being the solitary conception of a Grammar to many, has done much mischief, and called into existence rows of cases and tenses, which have no existence. If assistance is required in recording Vocabularies, copies should be supplied of Max Müller's "Outline Dictionary for the Use of Missionaries and Explorers" (Trübner, 1867). If it is desired to know how the characteristics of an unwritten Non-Aryan Language may best be introduced to European students, copies should be supplied of Bryan Hodgson's famous Essay on the Kooch, Bodo, and Dhimul Tribes of Assam, reprinted in Calcutta (Baptist Mission Press, 1847) from the pages of the Journal of the Bengal Asiatic Society.

My task for the present is finished.

Εἰ καλῶς, ως έβουλόμην· εἰ δὲ ένδεεστέρως, ως έδυνάμην.

R. N. C.

APPENDIX A.

TWO LANGUAGE-MAPS.

APPENDIX B.

TABLE OF LANGUAGES AND DIALECTS OF THE EAST INDIES.

ARRANGED ACCORDING TO FAMILIES, BRANCHES, AND GROUPS.

MEMORANDUM.—Where there is an accepted *Standard*, it is entered; where none exists, the word *uncertain* is entered. Where there is no chief political or literary representative of a Language, independent of the recorded Dialects, but two or more Dialectal varieties of equal rank, with or without special designations, the number of Dialects is entered, as one less than the number of varieties, so that in all cases the figure entered below each Language-Name represents one less than the actual varieties of that Language.

Example.—Hindi has 49 varieties—1 Standard; 48 Dialects. Kiranti has 17 varieties—no Standard; 17 Dialects. Malagasy has 10 Dialects, but one of these is accepted as the Standard, so 9 Dialects only are counted.

Without this precaution in many cases a Name would have been counted twice, as a Language, and as a Dialect of that Language, thus unduly swelling the total of varieties of human speech.

I. ARYAN FAMILY.

(Languages, 16; Dialects, 133.)

I. IRANIC BRANCH.

(Languages, 2; Dialects, 8.)

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Pushtu | Standard | Kábul. |
| (6) | Dir | Swat. |
| | Tirhai | do. |
| | Laghmáni | South-West Districts. |
| | Pashai | do. |
| | Kandahári | Kandahár. |
| | Pesháwuri | Pesháwur. |
| 2. Balúchi | (uncertain) | Balúchistan. |
| (2) | Mekráni | Mekrán. |
| | Solimáni | Deruh Ghazee Khan District, Punjáb. |

2. INDIC BRANCH.

(Languages, 14; Dialects, 125.)

| Name of Language. | Standard. and Dialects. | Locality. |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Kafiri.....(uncertain) | Yaghistan. | |
| (1) Kohistáni | Kohistan. | |
| 2. Dardúi | | |
| (8) Gurézi..... | Kingdom of Kashmír. | |
| Astori | do. | |
| Gilgiti | do. | |
| Darel | Yaghistan. | |
| Koli..... | do. | |
| Palas | do. | |
| Chilás | do. | |
| Yasin | do. | |
| Chitríl..... | do. | |
| 3. Kashmíri | Standard..... | Valley of Kashmír. |
| (5) Rambáni | Middle Range, Jummoo Mountains. | |
| Bhadarwáhi | do. | do. |
| Pádari | do. | do. |
| Doda | do. | do. |
| Kishtwári | do. | do. |
| 4. Punjábi | Punjáb Proper. | |
| (10) Archaic | do. | |
| Multáni or Uch | Southern Doabs, Province of Punjáb. | |
| Jugdwáli | Mozuffurghur District, do. | |
| Jathkí | Central Doabs, | do. |
| Pothwári | Western Punjáb. | |
| Dogri | Lower Range, Jummoo Mountains, Kingdom of Kashmír. | |
| Chibháli | Lower Range, West of River Chendb, Kingdom of Kashmír. | |
| Gudeo | Middle Range, Kangra Mountains, Pro- vince of Punjáb. | |
| Kooloohi | do. | do. |
| Puhári | Kangra District, | do. |
| 5. Brahúl | Balúchistan. | |
| 6. Sindhi | Standard..... | Kurráchi. |
| (8) Jathkí | Kuch-Gandava, Balúchistan. | |
| Sirai | Upper Sindh, Province of Bombay | |
| Lári | Sindh Delta, | do. |
| Vichóli | Central Sindh, | do. |
| Tharóli | Desert, | do. |
| Káchhi..... | Kachh Peninsula, | do. |
| Judgáli | Mekráñ, Balúchistan. | |
| Mendh..... | Sea-coast of Balúchistan. | |
| 7. Hindi..... | Standard..... | Agra, Benares, Lucknow, North-West Provinces of British India. |
| (58) Old-Hindúi | Language of Chand. | |
| Hindúi | Language of Tulsee Dass. | |
| Hindustáni or | | |
| Urdu | Lingua-franca of Northern India. | |
| Dekháni..... | Lingua-franca of Southern India. | |
| Portuguese Hin- dustáni..... | Lingua-franca of Portuguese Settlements on the West Coast. | |

Aryan (27).

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|--------------------|---|-----------|
| Hindúri..... | Lower Range of Himaláya, betwixt the Beas and Gogra Rivers, Province of Punjáb, and North-West Provinces. | |
| Gurhwáli..... | | |
| Kumáoni..... | | |
| Bhatti..... | Sirsa District, Province of Punjáb. | |
| Bagri..... | Sirsa and Hissar Districts, do. | |
| Bruj..... | Northern Plain, North-West Provinces. | |
| Kósali..... | Trans-Gangetic Plain, do. | |
| Baiswári..... | do. do. | |
| Eastern Hindi..... | do. do. | |
| Kanóji..... | Southern Plain, do. | |
| Rangri..... | Rajpootána, Central. | |
| Alwári..... | do., Alwár. | |
| Jypúri..... | do., Jypúr. | |
| Bikanfri..... | do., Bikanfír. | |
| Marwári..... | do., Joudhpur. | |
| Harouti..... | do., Kotah. | |
| Udipúri..... | do., Udipúr. | |
| Ujyáini..... | do., Malwah. | |
| Bundéli..... | Bundelcund. | |
| Bhagéli..... | Bhagélcund. | |
| Ganwári..... | Benáres Division, North-West Provinces. | |
| Bhojpúri..... | do., and Buhár, Province of Bengál. | |
| Patna..... | Buhár, Province of Bengál. | |
| Gya..... | do. | |
| Maithili..... | do. | |
| Mágadhi..... | do. | |
| West Purneah..... | do. | |

Semi-Dravidian (5).

| | |
|--------------|--|
| Chentsu..... | Masulipatam Hills, Province of Madras. |
| Ramúsi..... | Wandering Gypsies. do. |
| Lambádi..... | do. do. |
| Koráwur..... | do. do. |
| Huláhi..... | Bustar, Central Provinces. |

Semi-Kolarian (13).

| | |
|-----------|--|
| Bhil..... | Central Provinces, Rajpootána, and Province of Bombay. |
|-----------|--|

| | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Chutesgurhi or Larya..... | Central Provinces. |
| Nimári | do. and Province of Bombay. |
| Hulba..... | do. |
| Purja or Tagára... | do. |
| Bhuttia..... | do. |
| Bhogtuh..... | do. |
| Kharwar..... | do. |
| Byga | do. |
| Binjwar..... | do. |
| Punka | do. |
| Mehra..... | do. |
| Katyá..... | do. |

Semi-Tibeto-Burman (8).

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialect. | Locality |
|--------------------------------|--|----------|
| Darahi..... | Terai, Kingdom of Nepál. | |
| Dah..... | do. | do. |
| Dénwar..... | do. | do. |
| Kuswar..... | do. | do. |
| Tharu..... | do. and Oude Terai, North-West Provinces. | |
| Bhuksa | Nayakote, Kingdom of Nepál. | |
| Pakkya | do. | do. |
| Gadi..... | do. | do. |
| 8. Nepálí | (uncertain) Central Valley, do. | |
| (1) Palpa | Lower Ranges, Western Frontier, do. | |
| 9. Bengálí | Standard Calcutta, Central Province of Bengál. | |
| (12) Northern | Frontier of Hindi-Field, do. | |
| Southern..... | Frontier of Uriya-Field, do. | |
| Eastern | Frontier of Tibeto-Burman-Field, do. | |
| Western | Frontier of Kolarian-Field, do. | |
| E. Purneah..... | East of Province of Bengál. | |
| Rungpúr..... | do. | |
| Kúch | do. | |
| Sylhet | do. | |
| Rabba (Páti) | Province of Assam. | |
| Meeyang | Munipúr State. | |
| Chittagong | Chittagong Frontier Tribes. | |
| Mahomedan | Dialect of Mahomedans in the Province of Bengál. | |
| 10. Asamese | (uncertain) Assam Valley, Province of Assam. | |
| 11. Uriya | Standard Cuttack, Province of Bengál. | |
| (5) Northern | Frontier of Bengálí-Field. | |
| Southern..... | Frontier of Dravidian-Field. | |
| Western | Frontier of Hindi-Field. | |
| Gomsúr | Province of Madras. | |
| Kalahundi | Central Provinces. | |
| 12. Maráthi | Standard Bombay and Poona. | |
| (7) Wild Hill-Tribes | Western Ghats, Province of Bombay. | |
| Desi..... | Poona, do. | |
| Dakhini | South Plateau, do. | |
| Nagpúri | Central Provinces. | |
| Konkani | Littoral of West Coast, Province of Bombay. | |
| Goadesi or Gomantaki | Sawuntwari and Portuguese Territory. | |
| Roman Catholic Community | Mangalore, Province of Madras. | |
| 13. Gujarátí | Standard Gujarat State. | |
| (6) Suráti | Surat, Province of Bombay. | |
| Kattiarwári | Kattiarwar, do. | |
| Ahmedabadi | Northern Districts, do. | |
| Mercantile | Bombay. | |
| Bhil..... | West Sutpurá Range, Province of Bombay. | |
| Pauriya | do. do. | |
| 14. Sinhalese | Standard Colombo, Island of Ceylon. | |
| (4) Elu | Language of Poetry, do. | |

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|-------------------|---|-----------|
| Veddah | Forest Tribes, Island of Ceylon. | |
| Rodiya | Kandyan Hills, do. | |
| Maldivé | Maldivé Islands, Dependent on the Government of Ceylon. | |

II. DRAVIDIAN FAMILY.

(Languages, 14; Dialects, 30.)

| | | |
|--------------|------------------|---|
| 1. Tamil | Standard | Madras (Kodun or Colloquial). |
| (6) | Literary | Language of Literature, or Shen. |
| | Irular | Neilgherries, Province of Madras. |
| | Kurubar | do. do. |
| | Malearasa | Annamulli Range, Northern Slope, do. |
| | Vellular | Shervaroy Hills, do. |
| | Tanjore | Southern Districts, do. |
| 2. Telugu | Standard | Madras. |
| (4) | Bustar | Central Provinces. |
| | Jyppore | Vizigipatam District, Province of Madras. |
| | Ramúsi | Wandering Gypsies, do. |
| | Koráwar | do. do. |
| 3. Kanarese | Standard | Mysore State. |
| (3) | Archaic | Classical Language, do. |
| | Badaga | Neilgherries, Province of Madras. |
| | Wild Hill-Tribes | do. |
| 4. Malayálim | Standard | West Coast, do. |
| (4) | Forest Tribes | Annamulli Range, Western Slope, do. |
| | Mappila | Kannanore, do. |
| | Laccadive | Laccadive Islands, Dependent on Province of Madras. |
| | Mahl | Minikoi Island, do. |
| 5. Tulu | Standard | West Coast, Province of Madras. |
| 6. Kudagu | (uncertain) | Coorg State, do. |
| 7. Toda | do. | Neilgherries, do. |
| 8. Kota | do. | do. do. |
| 9. Khond | do. | Frontier of Provinces of Bengal and Madras. |
| (3) | Gumsár | Ganjam District, Province of Madras. |
| | Daringabaddi | do. do. |
| | Rumes | do. do. |
| | Orissa Muhals | Province of Bengal. |
| 10. Gond | (uncertain) | Central Provinces. |
| (9) | Gayeti | Northern Districts. |
| | Rutluk | do. |
| | Naikude | do. |
| | Kolámi | do. |
| | Mahadeo | do. |
| | Raj | do. |
| | Maria | Bustar, Central Provinces. |
| | Maree | do. do. |
| | Gotta | do. do. |
| | Koi or Koitor | North of the Nerbudda River, Province of Madras. |
| 11. Oraon | (uncertain) | Shahabad and Belaspur Districts. |
| (1) | 2 Dialects | Province of Bengal. |

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 12. Rajmuháli..(uncertain)..... | Bhágulpore Hills, Province of Bengál. | |
| 13. Kaikádi..... | do. | Wandering Tribes of Dekhan. |
| 14. Yerukála..... | do. | Vizigapatam District, Province of Madras. |
| | | Bustar, Central Provinces. |

III. KOLARIAN FAMILY.

(*Languages, 10 ; Dialects, 5.*)

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 1. Sonthál.....(uncertain)..... | Bhagulpore, Birbhum Districts, &c. &c. |
| (3) 4 Dialects..... | Province of Bengal. |
| 2. Mundári,..(uncertain) .. | Chútia-Nagpúr, Province of Bengál. |
| (2) Mankapati..... | do. |
| 2 Dialects..... | do. |
| 3. Kharia(uncertain) | Singhbhum District, Province of Bengál. |
| 4. Juang..... do. | Tributary Muhals of Cuttack, Province of Bengál. |
| 5. Korwa. do. | Chútia-Nagpúr, Province of Bengál. |
| 6. Kur..... do. | Central Provinces. |
| 7. Savára..... do. | Ganjam District, Province of Madras. |
| 8. Mehtu. do. | Hill Tracts, Belaspur, Central Provinces. |
| 9. Gadaba..... do. | Bustar, do. |
| 10. Mal-Puhária do. | Birbhum District, Province of Bengal. |

IV. TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY.

(*Languages, 87 ; Dialects, 84.*)

I. NEPÁL GROUP.

(*Languages, 13 ; Dialects, 16.*)

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 1. Magar.....(uncertain)..... | Kingdom of Nepál, Lower Hills. |
| 2. Gurung..... do. | do. Higher Hills. |
| 3. Murmi do. | do. between Rivers Gunduk and Kosi. |
| 4. Newári. do. | Kingdom of Nepál, between Rivers Gunduk and Kosi. |
| 5. Kiranti..... do. | Kingdom of Nepál, East Basin of River Arun. |

Rodong.....
Rungchenbung ...
Chinghtangya.....
Náchereng.....
Wáiling
Yakka
Chourasya
Kulungya.....
Thulungya
Bahinggya
Lohorong
Lambiekhong.....
Baldí
Sangpang.....

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|-------------------|------------------------|--|
| | Dumi | |
| | Khaling... | |
| | Dungmáli | |
| 6. Vayu | (uncertain) | Kingdom of Nepál. |
| 7. Bramhu..... | do. | do. Nayakote. |
| 8. Chepang.... | do. | Kingdom of Nepál, Forests of Central Region. |
| 9. Kusunda.... | do. | Kingdom of Nepál, Forests of Central Region. |
| 10. Sunwar..... | do. | Kingdom of Nepál, Basin of River Gunduk. |
| 11. Limbu..... | do. | Kingdom of Nepál, Basin of River Kosi. |
| 12. Thaksysa.... | do. | do. Interior. |
| 13. Pahri | do. | do. North of Newári. |

2. SIKHIM GROUP.

(Language, 1 ; Dialect 1.)

| | | |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1. Lepcha..... | (uncertain) | Sikhim State. |
| (1) | Rong..... | |
| | Khamba..... | |

3. ASSAM GROUP.

(Languages, 16 ; Dialects, 23.)

| | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|---|
| 1. Mishmi..... | (uncertain) | Eastern extremity of the Valley, Province of Assam. |
| (2) | Chulikota..... | vince of Assam. |
| | Digaru..... | do. |
| | Mijhu | do. |
| 2. Abor | (uncertain) | North Side of the Valley in the Hills, Province of Assam. |
| 3. Miri..... | (uncertain) | do. do. |
| (1) | Abor..... | |
| | Sibságur | |
| 4. Aka..... | (uncertain) | do. do. |
| 5. Dophla..... | do. | do. do. |
| 6. Deori-Chutia | do. | do. Terai. |
| 7. Dhimal..... | do. | do. do. |
| 8. Kachári or | do. | do. do. |
| Bodo..... | Rabha | |
| (11) | Möch.... | |
| | Hozai..... | |
| | Mechee of Bhutan | |
| | Dwar | |
| | Kúdi..... | |
| | Batar | |
| | Kebrut..... | |
| | Dallah | |
| | Ganga..... | |
| | Marahi..... | |
| | Dharel | |
| 9. Pani-Koch.. | (uncertain) | South Side of the Valley, Skirts of the Hills, Province of Assam. |

| | Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|-----|---|------------------------|---|
| 10. | Singpho or Kakhfern. (<i>uncertain</i>) | | South Side of the Valley, in the Hills, Province of Assam, and in the Upper Basin of the River Irawaddy, Independent Burma. |
| 11. | Jili | do. | South Side of the Valley, in the Hills, Province of Assam. |
| 12. | Namsang. | | |
| | Naga | do. | South Side of the Valley, in the Hills, |
| | (2) Banpara | | Province of Assam. |
| | Tablung | | |
| 13. | Khari-Naga (<i>uncertain</i>) | | do. |
| | (3) Nougong | | do. |
| | Tengsa | | |
| | Lhota | | |
| 14. | Angámi- | | |
| | Naga (<i>uncertain</i>) | | do. |
| | (3) Rengma | | do. |
| | Arung | | |
| | Kutcha | | |
| 15. | Garo | (<i>uncertain</i>) | South Side of the Valley, in the Hills, Province of Assam. |
| 16. | Mikir | (<i>uncertain</i>) | do. |
| | (1) Dialect | | do. |

4. MUNIPÚR-CHITTAGONG GROUP.

(*Languages, 24; Dialects, 8.*)

| | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------|---|
| 1. | Munipúri | (<i>uncertain</i>) | | Munipúri State. |
| 2. | Liyang | do. | | Watersheds of the Rivers Ganges and Irawaddy. |
| 3. | Maring | do. | | do. |
| | (1) Khoibu | | | do. |
| 4. | Marám | (<i>uncertain</i>) | | do. |
| 5. | Kupui | do. | | do. |
| | (2) Puiron | | | do. |
| | Sombu | | | |
| 6. | Tangkhul | (<i>uncertain</i>) | | do. |
| | (2) North, Central, and South | | | do. |
| 7. | Luhupa | (<i>uncertain</i>) | | do. |
| 8. | Tipura | do. | | Chittagong Division, Province of Bengál. |
| 9. | Khungui | do. | | Munipúri Hills. |
| 10. | Phadung | do. | | do. |
| 11. | Champhung | do. | | do. |
| 12. | Kupome | do. | | do. |
| 13. | Andro | do. | | do. |
| 14. | Sengmai | do. | | do. |
| 15. | Chairel | do. | | do. |
| 16. | Takuimi | do. | | do. |
| 17. | Anal | do. | | do. |
| 18. | Namfau | do. | | do. |
| 19. | Kuki | do. | | Independent Tribes. |
| | (3) Lushái | | | North-East of Chittagong, Province of Bengál. |

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Old Kuki..... | North Kachár, Province of Assam. | |
| Thadu, or New Kuki..... | do. do. | |
| Hallámi..... | Tipura Hills, Province of Bengál. | |
| 20. Shendu.... | Chittagong Hills, | do. |
| 21. Banjógi.... | do. | do. |
| 22. Pankhu....(uncertain) | do. | do. |
| 23. Sak..... | do. | do. |
| 24. Kyau..... | do. | do. |

5. BURMA GROUP.

(Languages, 9; Dialects, 10.)

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Burmese..... | Standard | British and Independent Burma. |
| (3) | Arakanese..... | Arakan Division, Province of British Burma. |
| | Tavoyi..... | Tenassserim Division, do. |
| | Yo..... | Independent Burma, North of Yoma Range. |
| 2. Khyeng.....(uncertain) | Sandoway District, Province of British Burma. | |
| 3. Kumi..... | do. | Koladyn River, do. |
| 4. Kami..... | do. | do. do. |
| 5. Mru..... | do. | do. do. |
| 6. Karén..... | do. | British and Independent Burmah. |
| (7) | Sgau..... | do. Hills and Plains. |
| | Bghai..... | do. |
| | Red-Karén | do. |
| | Pwo..... | do. |
| | Taru..... | do. |
| | Mogha..... | do. |
| | Kay or Guikho.... | do. |
| | Toungthu..... | do. |
| 7. Kui.....(uncertain) | East of Salween River, Kingdom of Siam. | |
| 8. Kho..... | do. | do. |
| 9. Mutse..... | do. | do. |

6. TRANS-HIMALAYAN GROUP.

(Languages, 8; Dialects, 23.)

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 1. Gyarung.....(uncertain) | North-East Tibet, Kingdom of China. |
| 2. Thochu..... | do. do. do. |
| 3. Manyak..... | do. do. do. |
| 4. Takpa..... | do. do. do. |
| 5. Horpa..... | do. North-West Tibet, do. |
| 6. Kunáwuri... (6) | do. Kunáwur, Bussahir State, Province of Punjáb. |
| | Milchan |
| | Tiberskad or Bunan..... |
| | Sumchu..... |

Lahoul, Kangra District, Province of Punjáb.

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|---|--------------------------|--|
| | Luhprung or Kanam. | Kunawur, Bussahir State, Province of Punjáb. |
| | Lidung or Lippa... | do. |
| | Sugnum..... | do. |
| 7. Tibetan or | Standard | Tibet, Kingdom of China. |
| Bhotia..... | Serpá..... | Central Tibet. |
| (17) | Ngari-Khorsum.... | do. |
| | Dokkhol..... | do. |
| | Hortsang..... | do. |
| | U-kompo..... | do. |
| | Chona..... | do. |
| | Khan..... | do. |
| | Balti..... | Iskardo, Kingdom of Kashmír. |
| | Dab..... | Ladakh, do. |
| | Ladákhí..... | do. do. |
| | Zanskári..... | do. do. |
| | Champas..... | do. do. |
| | Kaigili..... | Himaláya Mountains, Province of Punjáb. |
| | Spiti..... | do. do. |
| | Lhopa or Bhotáni.. | Bhotan State. |
| | Changlo..... | North-East of Province of Assam. |
| | Bhotia of Twang... | Towang State, Frontier of Assam. |
| 8. Bhotia of Lo (<i>uncertain</i>)..... | Tibet, Kingdom of China. | |

7. CHINA GROUP.

(*Languages*, 6 ; *Dialects*, 0.)

| | | |
|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Lesaw..... | (<i>uncertain</i>) | Yunan, Kingdom of China. |
| 2. Lolu..... | do. | do. do. |
| 3. Kato..... | do. | do. do. |
| 4. Honhi..... | do. | do. do. |
| 5. Ikia..... | do. | do. do. |
| 6. Mautse..... | do. | do. do. |

8. ISLAND GROUP.

(*Languages*, 10 ; *Dialects*, 3.)

| | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---|
| 1. Silong | (<i>uncertain</i>) | Mergui Archipelago, Province of Burma. |
| 2. Bojnjijida.. | do. | Andaman Islands, Dependency of British India. |
| 3. Bojigiah.... | do. | do. do. |
| 4. Akakol..... | do. | do. do. |
| 5. Awkojawai.. | do. | do. do. |
| 6. Balawa..... | do. | do. do. |
| 7. Yéréwa..... | do. | do. do. |
| 8. Jarawa..... | do. | do. do. |
| 9. Nicobári.... | Nancowry..... | Nicobár Islands, do. |
| (3) | Great Nicobar..... | |
| | Car Nicobar..... | |
| | Theressa..... | |
| 10. Shobœng ... | (<i>uncertain</i>) | Great Nicobár, do. |

V. *KHASI FAMILY.*

(Languages, 1; Dialects, 5.)

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 1. Khasi | (uncertain) | South Side of Valley in the Hills, Province of Assam. |
| (5) | Synteng | |
| | Battoa | |
| | Amwee | |
| | Lakadong | |
| | Dialect (without name). | |

VI. *TAI FAMILY.*

(Languages, 7; Dialects, 6.)

| | | |
|------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Siamese | Standard | Kingdom of Siam. |
| (3) | • 3 Dialects | do. |
| 2. Lao | (uncertain) | On the River Mekong, Kingdom of Siam. |
| (2) | Lawa | do. do. |
| | Moi | do. do. |
| 3. Shán of Burma | (uncertain) | British and Independent Burma. |
| 4. Tai-Mow ... do. | Yunan, Kingdom of China, on the River Mekong. | |
| (1) | Hota Shan | do. do. |
| 5. Minkia | (uncertain) | do. do. |
| 6. Khamti | do. | Northern Extremity of Valley, Province of Assam. |
| 7. Aiton | do. | do. |

VII. *MON-ANAM FAMILY.*

(Languages, 20; Dialects, 4.)

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| 1. Mon | Standard | Province of British Burma. |
| 2. Kambojan ... (uncertain) | Kingdom of Kambodia, on the River Mekong. | |
| (4) | Archaic | Inscriptions of Nakhon-Wat, Kambodia. |
| | Xeng | West of Kambodia. |
| | Samre | North of Kambodia. |
| | Khamen-Boran .. | West of Kambodia. |
| 3. Annamite ... Standard | Kingdom of Annam, or Cochin China. | |
| 4. Paloung ... (uncertain) | Near Bhamo, on the River Irawaddy, Independent Burma. | |
| 5. Mi | do. | East of River Mekong. |
| 6. Khmu | do. | do. |
| 7. Lemet | do. | do. |
| 8. So | do. | do. |
| 9. Nanhang ... | do. | do. |
| 10. Banar | do. | do. |
| 11. Cedang | do. | do. |

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 12. Huei..... | (uncertain) | East of River Mekong. |
| 13. Kut..... | do. | do. |
| 14. Suc..... | do. | do. |
| 15. Stieng..... | do. | do. |
| 16. Proom..... | do. | do. |
| 17. Hin..... | do. | do. |
| 18. Sue..... | do. | do. |
| 19. Lawa or Doe | do. | South-West Yunan, Kingdom of China. |
| 20. Binna..... | do. | (uncertain.) |

VIII. MALAYAN FAMILY.

(*Languages*, 88 ; *Dialects*, 29.)

I. SUMATRA-MALACCA GROUP.

(*Languages*, 11 ; *Dialects*, 14.)

| | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|---|
| 1. Malay..... | Standard..... | Island of Sumatra, Peninsula of Malacca. |
| (2) | | (Lingua-franca of the Archipelago.) |
| Low..... | | Batavia, Island of Java. |
| Samsan..... | | South Frontier of Siam. |
| 2. Achinese ... | (uncertain) | Northern Extremity of the Island of Sumatra. |
| 3. Batta..... | do. | Interior of Island of Sumatra. |
| (2) | Toba..... | |
| | Mandailung..... | |
| | Dairi..... | |
| 4. Rejang..... | (uncertain) | Western Coast of Island of Sumatra. |
| 5. Lampung... | do. | Southern Extremity of Island of Sumatra. |
| 6. Korinchi... | do. | Interior of Island of Sumatra. |
| 7. Nassau..... | do. | Island of Nassau or Pagai, West of Island of Sumatra. |
| 8. Nias..... | do. | Island of Nias, West of Island of Sumatra. |
| 9. Enganoes... | do. | Island of Enganoes, West of Island of Sumatra. |
| 10. Ourung | | |
| Binwuh... | (uncertain) | Interior of Island of Sumatra. |
| (4) | Lubu..... | |
| | Oelo..... | |
| | Kubu..... | |
| | Abung..... | |
| | Kumring..... | |
| 11. Ourung | | |
| Binwuh... | (uncertain) | |
| (6) | Jakun | Interior of Peninsula of Malacca. |
| | Udai | |
| | Sakai | |
| | Basisi | |
| | Sabimba | |
| | Mintira | |
| | Rayet Laut, or | |
| | Akhye..... | |

2. JAVA GROUP.

(Languages, 4; Dialects, 3.)

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. Javanese | Standard..... | Island of Java. |
| | (1) Low | do. |
| 2. Sundanese | Standard..... | do. |
| 3. Madurese | do. | Island of Java and Island of Madura. |
| | (1) Samunap..... | |
| 4. Balinese | Standard..... | Island of Bali and Island of Lombok. |
| | (1) Low..... | |

3. CELEBES GROUP.

(Languages, 8; Dialects, 0.)

| | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. Macassar | Standard..... | South of Island of Celebes. |
| 2. Bouton |(uncertain) | Island of Bouton. |
| 3. Mandar | do. | West of Island of Celebes. |
| 4. Bugi |(uncertain) | South of Island of Celebes. |
| 5. Salayar |(uncertain) | Island of Salayar. |
| 6. Garontalo | do. | North of Island of Celebes. |
| 7. Menado | do. | do. |
| 8. Tomore | do. | Island of Celebes. |

4. BORNEO GROUP.

(Languages, 12; Dialects, 0.)

| | | |
|---------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 1. Dhyak |(uncertain) | Island of Borneo. |
| 2. Kayan | do. | do. |
| 3. Pido-Petak | do. | do. |
| 4. Binjuk | do. | do. |
| 5. Suntah | do. | do. |
| 6. Sah | do. | do. |
| 7. Milanau | do. | do. |
| 8. Meri | do. | do. |
| 9. Malo | do. | do. |
| 10. Sakaran | do. | do. |
| 11. Sanpit | do. | do. |
| 12. Katingan | do. | do. |

5. PHILIPPINE GROUP.

(Languages, 12; Dialects, 9.)

| | | |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1. Tagdil |(uncertain) | Island of Luzon. |
| 2. Bisayan | ... do. | Bisayan Islands. |
| 3. Pampanga | do. | Island of Luzon. |
| 4. Iloko | do. | do. |
| 5. Pangasinan | do. | do. |
| 6. Cagayan | do. | do. |
| 7. Camarines | do. | do. |
| 8. Batanes | ... do. | Batanes Islands. |
| 9. Chamena | ... do. |(uncertain) |
| 10. Zambal | ... do. | Island of Luzon. |

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| 11. Bicol..... | (<i>uncertain</i>)..... | (<i>uncertain</i>) |
| 12. Zebuana ... | do. | Island of Zebu. |

6. MOLUCCA GROUP.

(Languages, 10; Dialects, 0.)

| | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Sassarúa ... | (<i>uncertain</i>) | Island of Amboyna. |
| 2. Hurunka ... | do. | do. |
| 3. Nusalaut ... | do. | do. |
| 4. Hila'..... | do. | do. |
| 5. Nagari-anpat | do. | do. |
| 6. Tidor | do. | Island of Tidor. |
| 7. Ternáte ... | do. | Island of Ternáte. |
| 8. Banda | do. | Island of Banda. |
| 9. Gilolo | do. | Island of Gilolo. |
| 10. Ceram | do. | Island of Ceram. |

7. TIMOUR GROUP.

(Languages, 18; Dialects, 0.)

| | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Sassak | (<i>uncertain</i>) | Island of Lombok. |
| 2. Sumbáwa ... | do. | Island of Sumbáwa. |
| 3. Bima..... | do. | do. |
| 4. Timoura ... | do. | do. |
| 5. Endeh | do. | Island of Flores. |
| 6. Mangarei ... | do. | do. |
| 7. Kio | do. | do. |
| 8. Roka | do. | do. |
| 9. Konga | do. | do. |
| 10. Galeteng ... | do. | do. |
| 11. Solor | do. | Island of Solor. |
| 12. Allor | do. | Island of Allor. |
| 13. Sumba | do. | Island of Sumba. |
| 14. Timourese | do. | Island of Timour. |
| 15. Teto, or Manatoto | do. | do. |
| 16. Kissá | do. | Island of Serwáte. |
| 17. Savoe | do. | Island of Savoe. |
| 18. Rotti..... | do. | Island of Rotti. |

8. CHINA GROUP.

(Language, 1; Dialects, 3.)

| | | |
|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Formosan... | (<i>uncertain</i>) | Island of Formosa or Taiwan. |
| (3) | Sideia | |
| | Favorlang | |
| | Tackais | |
| | Tilois | |

9. MADAGASCAR GROUP.

(Language, I ; Dialects, 9.)

| Name of Language. | Standard and Dialects. | Locality. |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Malagasy | Standard..... | Island of Madagascar. |
| (9) | Hova | Imerina or Central Province. |
| | Sakalava | Western Sea-Coast. |
| | Betsimasára | Eastern Sea-Coast. |
| | Betsileo | Interior to the South. |
| | Ibara | Southernmost Province. |
| | Tanala..... | Interior. |
| | Bezánozáno..... | do. |
| | Sihanaka..... | do. |
| | Taimoro | do. |
| | Taifásy | do. |

10. ALFURESE-NEGRITO GROUP.

(Languages, II ; Dialects, 0.)

| | | | | |
|-------------|----------------------|----------|--|----------------------|
| 1. Bolaang- | | | | |
| | Mongonbo (uncertain) | | Alfurese Tribe, Island of Celebes. | |
| 2. | Menado ... | do. | do. | do. |
| 3. | Tomohon ... | do. | do. | do. |
| 4. | Amorang... | do. | do. | do. |
| 5. | Minahassa | do. | do. | do. |
| 6. | Toumbulus | do. | do. | do. |
| 7. | Tounsea | do. | do. | do. |
| 8. | Samang ... | do. | Negrito Tribe in Peninsula of Malacca. | |
| 9. | Unknown... | do. | do. | in Philippine Group. |
| 10. | do. ... | do. | do. | in Molucca Group. |
| 11. | do. ... | do. | do. | in Timour Group. |

ABSTRACT.

| Family. | Branch or Group. | Number of Languages. | Number of Dialects. |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| I. Aryan | 1. Iranic | 2 | 8 |
| do. | 2. Indic | 14 | 125 |
| | Total..... | 16 | 133 |
| II. Dravidian | | 14 | 30 |
| III. Kolarian | | 10 | 5 |
| IV. Tibeto-Bur- man | 1. Nepál | 13 | 16 |
| | 2. Sikkim..... | 1 | 1 |
| | 3. Assam | 16 | 23 |
| | 4. Munipúr-Chittagong | 24 | 8 |
| | 5. Burma | 9 | 10 |
| | 6. Trans-Himalayan.... | 8 | 23 |
| | 7. China | 6 | 0 |
| | 8. Island | 10 | 3 |
| | Total..... | 87 | 84 |
| V. Khasi | | 1 | 5 |
| VI. Tai | | 7 | 6 |
| VII. Mon-Anam | | 20 | 4 |
| VIII. Malayan ... | 1. Sumatra-Malacca ... | 11 | 14 |
| | 2. Java..... | 4 | 3 |
| | 3. Celebes..... | 8 | 0 |
| | 4. Borneo..... | 12 | 0 |
| | 5. Philippine | 12 | 0 |
| | 6. Molucca | 10 | 0 |
| | 7. Timour..... | 18 | 0 |
| | 8. China | 1 | 3 |
| | 9. Madagascar | 1 | 9 |
| | 10. Alfurese-Negrito ... | 11 | 0 |
| | Total..... | 88 | 29 |
| Grand Total... | | 243 | + 296 = 539 |

APPENDIX C.

SELECTED AUTHORITIES FOR EACH LANGUAGE
OR FAMILY OF LANGUAGES.

N.B.—These books are selected from a larger and more complete Register of Books, Essays, Vocabularies, &c., which have appeared in the last quarter of a century, in separate Publications, or in Periodicals.

ABBREVIATIONS.

| | |
|------------------|--|
| Voc. | Vocabulary. |
| G. N. | Grammatical Notes. |
| G. | Grammar. |
| C. G. | Comparative Grammar. |
| D. | Dictionary. |
| J. of R. A. S. | Journal of Royal Asiatic Society. |
| J. of B. A. S. | Journal of Bengal Asiatic Society. |
| J. of Bo. A. S. | Journal of Bombay Asiatic Society. |
| Cal. Rev. | Calcutta Review. |
| J. of Ind. Arch. | Journal of Indian Archipelago. |
| App. | Appendix. |
| C. P. | Central Provinces. |
| N.S. | New Series. |
| O.S. | Old Series. |
| D. M. G. | Deutsche Morgenländische Gesellschaft. |

ARYAN FAMILY.

IRANIC BRANCH.

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|-----------|----------|---|
| Pushtu | Standard | Dorn, G., 1840, St. Petersburgh. Trumpp, G., 1873, London. |
| Baluchi | Mekráni | Raverty, C. and D., 1860, London. Bellew, G. and D., London. |
| | | Mockler, G., 1877, London. Pierce, J. of Bo. A. S., 1875. |
| | Solimáni | Gladstone, G. N., Voc., 1874, Lahore. |

INDIC BRANCH.

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Kafiri | (uncertain).... | Lumsden, "Mission to Kandahar," 1860, Voc. Trumpp, G. N., J. of R. A. S., vol. xix., O.S. |
| Kohistáni | Burnes, Voc., J. of B. A. S., vol. viii., 1837. | |
| Dardúi.....(uncertain).... | Leitner, Dardistan, 1870, 1877. Trumpp, Cal. Rev., No. cviii., 1872. | |
| Kashmíri.....(uncertain).... | Burnes, Voc., J. of B. A. S., 1841, 1844, 1866. Shaw, on Ghachuh, J. of B. A. S., 1877. | |
| Dialects | Duhmu and Kashmir," 1875, G. N., App. II., London. | |
| Punjábi | (uncertain)....Beames, C. G., 1872, London. Trumpp, "Adi Gruuth," G. N., 1876, London. | |
| Dialects | D., Lodeanuh, 1854. G., do., 1866. | |
| Dogri | Drew, Jummoo and Kashmir, G. N., 1875. App. II., London. | |
| Multáni..... | Burton, G. N., J. of Bo. A. S., 1849. | |
| Dialects | Cunningham, Ladakh Voc., 1854, London. | |
| Brahui.....(uncertain).... | Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. Bellew, "Indus and Tigris," G. N., 1873, London. | |
| Sindhi...Standard..... | Leech, G. N., J. of B. A. S., vol. vii., 1838. Lassen, G. N., Zeitschrift, D. M. G., vol. v., p. 358. Nicholson, Translation of Indian History, 1877, Kurráchi. | |
| Jathki | Trumpp, G., 1872, London. Eastwick, Voc. J., of Bo. A. S., 1843. | |
| Káchhi | Stack, D., 1855. Beames, C. G., 1872, London. | |
| Judgúli | Hughes, G. N., Balúchistan, 1877, London. | |
| Mendh..... | J. Wilson, G. N., Administrative Report, Bombay, 1872-73, Bombay. | |
| Hindi | Kellogg, G., 1876, Benares. Bate, D., 1875, Calcutta. | |
| Dekhani | Beames, C. G., 1872, London. | |
| Semi-Dravi- dian Dialects | Hornle, G. N., J. of B. A. S., vols. xli., xlii. Fitz-Edward Hall, "Reader," 1870, London. | |
| Old Hindui.... | Beames, "Chand," J. of B. A. S., vol. xlvi., 1873. | |
| Hindui..... | Growse, Tulsee Dass Ramayuna, 1876. | |
| Hindustáni.... | Platt, G., 1870. Fallon, D., 1874-75. Shakespeare, D., 1849, London. | |
| Semi-Kolarian | Dialects | Cradock, G., 1851, Madras. |
| Gazetteer Central Provinces, 1870. | | Hodgson, J. of B. A. S., vol. xxv., 1856. |
| Dialects | | Caldwell, C. G., 1875, London. |
| | | Beames, Indian Philology, p. 96, 1868, London. |
| | | Glasfurd, Bustar, Records of Govt. of India, F.D. xxxix., 1863. |
| | | People of India, vol. i., Kaye and Forbes Watson. |
| | | Dalton, Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|------------------------------|--|------------|
| Semi-Tibeto-Burman Dialects. | Hodgson, Language, Literature, and Religion of Nepál, Part II., p. 55, 1874, London. | |
| Nepáli. | Yates and Wenger, 1864, G., Calcutta. | |
| Bengáli. | Shama Churun Sirkar, G., 1861, Calcutta. | |
| | Beames, C. G., 1872, London. | |
| | Haughton, D., 1833, London. | |
| Dialects. | Grierson, J. of B. A. S., 1877. | |
| | Dalton, Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. | |
| | M'Culloch, Munipár Hill Tribes, Records of Govt. of India, F.D. xxvii., 1859. | |
| Asamese. | Hodgson, Kooch, Bodo, Dhimal, 1877, Calcutta. | |
| | Bronson, D., 1877, Sibsagur. | |
| | Brown, G. N., 1848, Sibsagur. | |
| | Robinson, G. N., J. of B. S. A., 1849. | |
| Uriya. | Standard ... Maltby, G., 1875, Madras. | |
| | Beames, C. G., 1872, London. | |
| | Sutton, D. | |
| Dialect. | Glasfurd, Records of Govt. of India (Kala-hundi), Bustar, F.D. xxxix., 1863. | |
| Maráthi. | Standard ... Beames, C. G., 1872, London. | |
| | Molesworth, D., 1857. | |
| | Student's Manual, 1868, Bombay. | |
| | Stevenson, J. of R. A. S., vol. vii., 1843. | |
| Dialect. | Burnell, Specimens of South Indian Dialects (Konkani), 1872. | |
| Gujaráti. | Standard ... Shapurji Edelji, G. and D., 1867, Bombay. | |
| | Beames, C. G., 1872, London. | |
| Sinhalese. | Standard ... D'Alwys, G., 1852. | |
| | Clough, D., 1830. | |
| | Childers, J. of R. A. S., vol. vii., 1874, N.S. | |
| Dialect. | Gray, J. of R. A. S., vol. x., 1878 (Maldives), N.S. | |
| | Tennant, Ceylon, 1859 (Veddah), (Rodiyuh). | |
| | Rhys, David, J. of R. A. S., vol. vii., N.S., p. 158 (Elu). | |

DRAVIDIAN FAMILY.

| | |
|-----------|---|
| Tamil. | Standard ... Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. |
| | Pope, G., 1867, Madras. |
| | Rottler, D., 1834. |
| Telugu. | Standard ... Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. |
| | Brown, G. and D., 1857, Madras. |
| | Arden, G., 1873, Madras. |
| Kanarese. | Standard ... Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. |
| | Reeve, D., 1858, Bangalore. |
| | Hodgson, G., 1864, Bangalore. |
| | Gover, Folk-songs, Madras. |
| Dialect. | Burnell, Specimens of South Indian Dialects, 1873 (Badaga). |

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|
| Malayalam..... | Standard..... | Peet, G., 1860, Cottayam. Gundert, D., 1872, Mangalore. |
| | Dialects..... | Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. Burnell, Specimens of South Indian Dialects, 1873 (Mappila). |
| Tulu..... | Standard..... | Robinson (Laccadive), 1874, Madras. Brigel, G., 1872, Mangalore. |
| | | Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. |
| Kudagu.....(uncertain).... | | Cole, G., 1867, Mangalore. Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. Burnell, Specimens of South Indian Dialects, 1873. |
| Toda.....(uncertain).... | | Metz, Specimens of South Indian Dialects, 1873. Pope, G. N., 1873. Breeks, Voc., Neilgherry Hills, 1873, London. |
| | | Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. |
| Kota.....(uncertain).... | | Metz, J. of Bo. and Madras Literature and Science, 1859. Breeks, Voc., Neilgherry Hills, 1873, London. |
| | | Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. |
| Khond.....(uncertain).... | | Smith, G., Cuttack, 1876. Macpherson, Records of Govt. of India, 1854. |
| | | Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. |
| Gond.....(uncertain).... | | Hislop, Voc., Aboriginal Tribes of Central Provinces, 1866, Nagpur. Dryberg, G. N., 1849. |
| | | Dalton, Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |
| | Dialects..... | Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. Gazetteer, Voc., 1870 (Maria) (Maree), Central Provinces (Koi) (Gotta). |
| | | Glasfurd, Bustar-Voc., Records of Govt. of India, F.D., No. xxxix. |
| | | Ethnological Committee, Central Provinces, Report, 1868. |
| Oraon:..... | | Flex, G., 1874, Calcutta. |
| | | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |
| | | Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. |
| Raj-Muháli..... | | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |
| | | Caldwell, C. G., 2d edit., 1875, London. |
| Kaikádi..... | | Hislop, Voc., Aboriginal Tribes of Central Provinces, p. 27, 1866, Nagpur. |
| Yerukála..... | | Hodgson, Voc., J. of B. A. S., vol. xxv., 1856, p. 39. |

KOLARIAN FAMILY.

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Sonthál.....(uncertain).... | Skrefsrud, G., 1872, Benares. Phillips, G., 1852, Calcutta. |
| | Dalton, Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |
| Mundári.....(uncertain).... | Whitley, G., 1873, Calcutta. |
| Bhumij, Ho or | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |
| Lurka-Kole. | Tickell, Voc., G. N., J. of B. A. S., xxxv., 1866. |

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|------------------|-------------|--|
| | | Ruhal Das Haldar, Voc., J. of B. A. S., xl., 1871. |
| Kharia | (uncertain) | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |
| Juang | (uncertain) | do.; also J. of B. A. S., vol. xxvi., 1857. |
| Korwa | (uncertain) | do.; also J. of B. A. S., 1848 |
| Kur or Kurka | (uncertain) | do.; also Hislop, Aboriginal Tribes of Central Provinces, 1866, Nagpur. |
| Savira | (uncertain) | Madras Census Report, 1874. |
| | | Shortt, Hill Ranges of South India, 1871, Madras. |
| | | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |
| | | Latham, Voc., Comp. Philology, 1862, London. |
| Mehtu or Mangee. | (uncertain) | Report of Ethnological Committee, Central Provinces, 1868, Voc. |
| | | G. Campbell, Voc., Languages of India, 1874, Calcutta. |
| Gadaba | (uncertain) | Report of Ethnological Committee, Central Provinces, 1868, Voc. |
| | | Glasfurd, Bustar, Voc., Records of Govt. of India, F.D., xxxix., 1863. |
| | | Madras Census Report, 1874. |
| Mal-Paharia | (uncertain) | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |

TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY.

NEPAL GROUP.

| | | |
|---------|-------------|--|
| Magar | (uncertain) | Beames, G. N., J. of R. A. S., vol. iv., 1870. |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Gurung | (uncertain) | Hodgson, Voc., J. of B. A. S., 1833. |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Murmi | (uncertain) | Hodgson, Voc., J. of B. A. S., 1848. |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Newari | (uncertain) | Hodgson, Language, Literature, and Religion of Nepal, 1874. |
| | | Wright, History of Nepal, 1877, Voc. |
| Kiranti | 17 Dialects | Hodgson, J. of B. A. S., vol. xxvi., 1857. |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Vayu | (uncertain) | Hodgson, G. N., J. of B. A. S., vol. xxvii., 1858. |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Bramhu | (uncertain) | Hodgson, J. of B. A. S., vol. ix. |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Chepang | (uncertain) | Hodgson, J. of B. A. S., vol. v., 1857. |
| Kusunda | (uncertain) | Hodgson, J. of B. A. S., vol. v., 1857. |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Sunwar | (uncertain) | Hodgson, Voc., J. of B. A. S., 1848. |

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Limbu.....(uncertain).... | Hodgson, J. of B. A. S., 1848. | |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Thaksha.....(uncertain).... | Hodgson, Voc., J. of B. A. S., 1857. | |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Pahri.....(uncertain).... | Hodgson, J. of B. A. S., 1857. | |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |

SIKHIM GROUP.

| | | |
|-------------|---------------|---|
| Lepcha..... | Standard..... | Mainwaring, G., 1877. |
| | | Dr. Campbell, J. of B. A. S., vol. ix., 1840, Voc. |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |

ASSAM GROUP.

| | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Mishmi.....(uncertain).... | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. | |
| 3 Dialects | Robinson, G. N., J. of B. A. S., 1856. | |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Abor.....(uncertain).... | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. | |
| | Brown, Voc., J. of B. A. S., 1849. | |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Miri.....(uncertain).... | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta, | |
| | Robinson, G. N., J. of B. A. S., 1859. | |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Aka.....(uncertain).... | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. | |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Dophla.....(uncertain).... | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. | |
| | Robinson, G. N., J. of B. A. S., 1852. | |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Deori-Chutia.(uncertain).... | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. | |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Dhimul.....(uncertain).... | Hodgson, G. N., Kooch, Bodo, and Dhimal, 1847. | |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Kachári or Bodo. | (uncertain)....Hodgson, G. N., Kooch, Bodo, and Dhimal, 1847. | |
| 10 Dialects | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Singphu or Kakyen. | (uncertain)....Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. | |
| | Robinson, G. N., J. of B. A. S., 1859. | |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| | Anderson, Mandalay to Momien, Voc., 1876. | |
| | Bigandet, J. of Ind. Arch., vol. ii. | |

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|----------------|-----------------|---|
| Naga..... | (uncertain).... | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |
| | 3 Languages | Robinson, G. N., J. of B. A. S., 1859. |
| | 8 Dialects | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| | | Brown, Voc., J. of American Society, 1851. |
| | | Butler, Voc., J. of B. A. S., 1875-76. |
| | | Peet, Voc., J. of B. A. S., 1871. |
| Mikir..... | (uncertain).... | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |
| | | Neighbor, Voc., 1878, Calcutta. |
| | | Robinson, G. N., J. of B. A. S., 1859. |
| | | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. |
| Garo..... | Standard..... | Dalton, Voc., Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |
| | | Keith, G., 1874, Sibsaugur. |
| | | Ramnauth Chuckurbunty, Voc., 1867, Calcutta. |
| Pani-Koch..... | (uncertain).... | Dalton, Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |

MUNIPÚR - CHITTAGONG GROUP.

| | | |
|----------------|-----------------|--|
| Munipúri..... | (uncertain).... | English, Bengáli, and Mánipuri, D., 1837, Calcutta. |
| | | Damant, G. N., J. of B. A. S., 1875-1877. |
| | | M'Culloch, Mánipúr and Hill Tribes, Records of Govt. of India, F.D., 1859. |
| Liyang..... | (uncertain).... | M'Culloch, do. |
| Maring..... | (uncertain).... | do. |
| | Khoibu. | |
| Marám..... | (uncertain).... | do. |
| Kupui..... | (uncertain).... | do. |
| | 2 Dialects. | |
| Tangkhul | (uncertain).... | do. |
| | 2 Dialects. | |
| Luhupa..... | (uncertain).... | do. |
| Tipura..... | do. | do. |
| Khungui..... | do. | do. |
| Phadung | do. | do. |
| Champhung... | do. | do. |
| Kupome..... | do. | do. |
| Andro..... | do. | do. |
| Sengnai..... | do. | do. |
| Chairel..... | do. | do. |
| Takuimi..... | do. | do. |
| Anal..... | do. | do. |
| Namfau..... | do. | do. |
| Kuki..... | do. | Lewin, Hill Tracts of Chittagong, 1869. |
| | 3 Dialects. | |
| | | do. Lushai Exercises, 1874. |
| | | Stewart, New Kuki or Thadu, G., J. of B. A. S., 1856. |
| | | Stewart, Notes on N. Kachar, J. of B. A. S., 1855. |
| Shendu..... | (uncertain).... | Lewin, Hill Tracts of Chittagong, 1869, Calcutta. |
| Banjógi..... | do. | Lewin, do. |
| Pankho..... | do. | do. |
| Sak..... | do. | do. |
| Kyau..... | do. | do. |

BURMA GROUP.

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|----------------|---|--|
| Burmese..... | Standard..... | Latter, G., 1845. Judson, D., 1866. Phayre, J. of B. A. S., 1868-69. |
| Khyeng..... | (<i>uncertain</i>)..... | Fryer, G. N., J. of B. A. S., 1875. |
| Kumi..... | do. | Latter, J. of B. A. S., 1846, Lewin, Chittagong, Hill Tribes, 1869. |
| Kami..... | do. | Stilson, G. N., J. of American Oriental Society, vol. viii. |
| Mru..... | do. | Lewin, Hill Tracts of Chittagong, 1869, Calcutta. |
| Karén..... | do. | Wade, G., 1861, Bennett, Voc., 1846. |
| 8 Dialects. | Mason, G. and D., 1846, J. of B. A. S., 1858, 1868. | |
| Sgau and Pwo. | Brown, J. of Amer. Oriental Society, vol. iv. | |
| Red Karen..... | O'Riley, J. of Ind. Arch., N.S., vol. iii. | |
| Toungthoo..... | Bastian, J. of R. A. S., 1868. | |
| Kui..... | (<i>uncertain</i>).... | Garnier, Exploration of River Mekong, 1872, Paris. |
| Kho..... | do. | do. |
| Mutze..... | do. | do. |

TRANS-HIMALAYAN GROUP.

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Gyarung..... | (<i>uncertain</i>).... | Hodgson, Language, Literature, and Religion of Nepál, 1874, London. |
| Thochu..... | do. | do. do. |
| Manyak..... | do. | do. do. |
| Takpa..... | do. | do. do. |
| Horpa..... | do. | do. do. |
| Kundwuri..... | do. | Cunningham, Ladakh, 1854, Voc. Milchan. |
| | Tibarskad or | |
| Bunan..... | Gerard, J. of B. A. S., 1842. | |
| | Jaeskhe, J. of B. A. S., 1865. | |
| Sumchu, &c. | Latham, Comparative Philology, 1862, London. | |
| Tibetan..... | Standard..... | Csoma de Koros, G., 1834, Calcutta. |
| | | Foncaux, G., 1858, Paris. |
| | | Schmidt, G., 1841, St. Petersburgh. |
| | | Jaeskhe, D., 1866, G., 1865, Kyelang in Lahul. |
| | | Hodgson, Language, Literature, and Religion of Nepál, 1874, London. |
| Dialects..... | G. Campbell, Languages of India, 1874, Calcutta. | |
| Changlu..... | Robinson, Voc., J. of B. A. S., vol. xviii., 1849. | |
| Ladíkhi | Drew, Jummoo and Kashmír, 1875, London. | |
| Zanskári | do. do. | |
| Champas..... | do. do. | |
| Balti | do. Austen, Voc., J. of B. A. S., 1866. | |
| Dah | Drew, Jummoo and Kashmír, 1875, London. | |
| Kaigili..... | Jaeskhe. | |
| Spiti | do. | |
| Bhotia of Lo (<i>uncertain</i>).... | G. Campbell, Languages of India, 1874, Calcutta. | |

CHINA GROUP.

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|--------------|------------------|--|
| Lesaw..... | (uncertain)..... | Anderson, Mandalay to Momien, 1876, London. Cooper, Pioneer of Commerce, London. Garnier, Exploration of Mekong, 1872. |
| Lolu..... | do. | do. |
| Kato..... | do. | do. |
| Honhi..... | do. | do. |
| Ikia..... | do. | do. |
| Mautsee..... | do. | Cooper, Pioneer of Commerce, London. Margary, Journal, London, 1877. |

ISLAND GROUP.

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Silong of Mergui (uncertain)..... | J. of Ind. Arch., vol. iv. |
| | Ind. Ant., vol. i., Voc., Bombay. |
| Andamanese..... | do. Temple and Man, The Lord's Prayer, 1877, Calcutta. |
| | Encyclopædia Britannica, 9th Edit., 1876. |
| | Marsden, Polynesia, 1834. |
| | Calcutta Review, 1878. |
| Nicobari..... | do. De Roeppstorff, Voc. of Dialects, 1875, Calcutta. Geographical Mag. Feb. 1875, and Feb. 1878. Man, Voc., J. of B. A. S., 1872. Records of Govt. of India, H. D., 1870, lxxvii. Calcutta Review, 1870. |

TAI FAMILY.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Siamese..... | Standard..... | Pallegoix, D., 1854, G. 1850, Paris. Loubere, Voc., 1687, Bangkok. |
| | | De Rosny, G. N., Paris, 1855. |
| | | Schoët, G. N., 1851, Berlin. |
| | | Bastian, Travels, 1839, Berlin. do., J. of R. A. S., N.S., vol. iii. (Character.) |
| Lao..... | (uncertain)..... | Garnier, Exploration of Mekong, 1872, Paris. Lawa Moi..... |
| | | Mouhot, Travels, 1864, London. |
| Shan of Burma (uncertain)..... | Cushing, G., 1871, Rangoon. | |
| Tai Mow..... | do. | Garnier, Exploration of Mekong, 1872, Paris. Hota Shan,....Anderson, Mandalay to Momien, 1871, London. |
| Minkia..... | (uncertain).... | Garnier, Exploration of Mekong, 1872, Paris. |
| Khamti..... | do. | Dalton, Ethnology, 1872, Calcutta. |
| Aiton..... | do. | G. Campbell, Languages of India, 1873, Calcutta. Ney Elias, History of Shans, 1876. |

MON-AN-AM FAMILY.

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Mon or Peguan...Standard... | Haswell, G., 1874, Rangoon. Phayre, J. of B. A. S., vols. xlii., xliii. Mason, J. of American Oriental Society, vol. iv. Bastian, J. of R. A. S., N.S., vol. iii. |
|-----------------------------|--|

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|------------------------------|--|------------|
| Kambojan.....(uncertain).... | Garnier, Exploration of Mekong, 1872, Paris. | |
| Xong | | |
| Samre..... | Bastian, Travels, 1839, Berlin. | |
| Khamen | | |
| Boran..... | Aymonier, G. D., Voc., 1874, Paris. | |
| | Feer, J. of Société Asiatique, 1877. | |
| Annamite.....Standard..... | De Rhodes, D. and G., 1654, Prome. | |
| | Taberd, D. (Latin), 1838, Serampore. | |
| | Aubaret, G. and Voc., Paris, 1867. | |
| | Des Michels, Dialogues and Texts, 1869, Paris. | |
| | Schott, Bastian, De Rosny, De Grammont, G. N. | |
| | Bastian, Travels, 1839, Berlin. | |
| | Yule, Geographical Magazine, 1877 (Champa). | |
| | Logan, J. of Ind. Arch. | |
| | Truong, G., 1867, Saigon. | |
| Paloung.....(uncertain).... | Logan, J. of Ind. Arch., N.S., vol. ii. | |
| | BiganDET, do. do. do. | |
| | Anderson, Mandalay to Momien, 1876, London. | |
| Wild Tribes on the Upper | Garnier, Exploration of the Mekong, 1872, Paris. | |
| Mekong..... do. | Bastian, Travels, Voc., 1839, Berlin. | |
| | Mouhot, Travels, 1864, London. | |

MALAYAN FAMILY.

SUMATRA-MALACCA GROUP.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Malay.....Standard..... | Crawfurd, G., 1852, London. | |
| Low..... | Marsden, D., 1812, London. | |
| | Pijnappel, D., 1862, Amsterdam. | |
| | Van der Tuuk., J. of R. A. S., N.S., vol. i. | |
| | Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages. | |
| Achinse.....(uncertain).... | Encyclopædia Britannica, 9th Edit., 1876. | |
| Batta..... do. | Veth, Achin., 1873. | |
| | Toba | |
| | Maindailung.. | Van der Tuuk, G. D., 1871, Amsterdam. |
| | Dairi..... | Crawfurd, Malay G., Dict. of Ind. Arch., 1852. |
| Rejang.....(uncertain).... | do. do. Marsden, Sumatra. | |
| Lampung..... do. | do. do. do. do. | |
| Korinchi..... do. | Marsden, Sumatra. | |
| Nassau Island do. | Asiatic Researches, 1799, vol. vi., Voc. | |
| Nias Island.... do. | Crawfurd, D. of Ind. Islands, 1856, London. | |
| | Marsden, Sumatra. | |
| Enganoes Is... do. | De Straaten, Voc., 1855, Leiden. | |
| Wild Races.... do. | Wille and Netscher, 1855, Leiden. | |
| of Sumatra...4 Dialects.... | Crawfurd, D. of Ind. Islands, 1856. | |
| Wild Races....(uncertain).... | Newbolt, Malacca, London. | |
| of Malacca....6 Dialects.... | Logan, J. of Ind. Arch. | |
| | Favre, Wild Tribes of Sumatra, 1875, Paris. | |
| | Revue de, Philologie (Mantras), 1872, Paris. | |
| | Crawfurd, D. of Ind. Islands, 1856, London. | |

JAVA GROUP.

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|-----------|----------|---|
| Javanese | Standard | Roorda, D. G., 1875, Amsterdam. |
| | Low | Favre, D., Paris, 1866. |
| Sundanese | Standard | Blisse, D., 1876. |
| | | Rigg, D., 1862, Batavia. |
| Madurese | Standard | Vrede, Handbook and Glossary, 1876. |
| | Samunap | Spelling-book, 1866, Batavia. |
| Balinese | Standard | Van-Eyk, D. and G., 1874-76. |
| | Low | J. of R. A. S., N.S., vols. viii. ix. x. Encyclopædia Britannica, 9th edit., 1876. |

CELEBES GROUP.

| | | |
|-----------|-------------|---|
| Macassar | Standard | Matthes, D., 1859, Amsterdam. |
| | do. | G., do. |
| Bouton | (uncertain) | Wallace, Raffles, Voc. |
| Mandar | do. | Raffles, Voc. |
| | | Encyclopædia Britannica, 9th edit., 1876. |
| Bugi | Standard | Matthes, D. and G., 1876, Amsterdam. |
| | | Crawfurd, Malay Grammar, 1852. |
| Salayer | (uncertain) | Wallace, Voc. |
| Garontalo | do. | Rosenberg, Voc., 1865, Amsterdam. |
| Menado | do. | Latham, Comp. Phil. Voc., 1862. |
| Tomore | do. | Wallace, Voc. |

BORNEO GROUP.

| | | |
|-------------------|-------------|--|
| Dhyak | (uncertain) | Von Gabelentz, G., 1852, Hardeland, G. and D., 1850. Brook, Crawfurd, Voc. |
| Kyan | do. | Crawfurd, Malay Gram., 1852, London. |
| Pido Betuk | do. | Tieddke, Holland, 1872. |
| Binjuk and others | do. | Encyclopædia Britannica, 9th edit., 1875. Burn, Voc., Logan, J. of Ind. Arch. |

PHILIPPINE GROUP.

| | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Tagûl | (uncertain) | Buyeta, G., De los Santos, V. Noceda D., Madrid, 1850, Manilla, 1794, 1754. |
| Bisayan | do. | Montrida, G. and D., Manilla, 1818, 1841. |
| Pampagna | do. | Bergnano, G. and D., Manilla, 1732, 1736. |
| Iloco | do. | Lopez, G., 1792, Sampaloc. Bergnano, G., 1729, Carro, D., 1849. |
| And eight other Languages | do. | Crawfurd, Malay Grammar, 1852, London. do., Dict. of Ind. Islands, 1856, London. F. Müller, Reise der Novára, 1872, Vienna. |

MOLUCCA GROUP.

| Language. | Dialect. | Authority. |
|----------------------------------|---|------------|
| Amboyna and (<i>uncertain</i>) | Van Hoewell, G. N., Holland, 1877. | |
| other Islands | Wallace, Crawfurd, Raffles, Voc. | |
| | Ludeking, Ekris, Holland, 1874. | |
| | Crawfurd, Malay Grammar, 1852, London. | |
| | do., Dict. of Ind. Islands, 1856, London. | |

TIMOUR GROUP.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Sassak..... | (<i>uncertain</i>) | Raffles, Zollinger, Wallace, Voc. |
| Sumbawa..... | do. | do., do., do. |
| Floris..... | do. | Crawfurd, Malay Grammar, 1852, London. |
| | | do., Dict. of Ind. Arch., 1856, London. |
| Sumba..... | do. | Logan, J. of Ind. Arch. |
| Timour and other Islands..... | | Marsden, East Insular Languages. |
| | | Malayan Miscellanies, 1820. |

CHINA GROUP.

| | | |
|----------------|--|---------------------|
| Formosan..... | (<i>uncertain</i>) | Medhurst, D., 1840. |
| 4 Dialects.... | Guerin, Paris, 1868, Voc. | |
| | Von Gabelentz, G. N. | |
| | Crawfurd, Malay Grammar, 1852, London. | |
| | Favre, G. N., Paris. | |
| | Van der Vlis, Utrecht. | |

MADAGASCAR GROUP.

| | | |
|----------------|---------------|--|
| Malagasy..... | Standard..... | Cousins, G. N., Proceedings of Philological Society, 1878. |
| 9 Dialects.... | | Van der Tuuk, G. N., J. of R. A. S., N.S., vol. i., 1860. |
| | | Freeman, D., 1835. |
| | | Sewell, D. 1875, Antanánarivo. |
| | | Baker, G., 1864. |
| | | Cousins, Synopsis of G., 1873, Antanánarivo. |
| | | Marre de Marin, G. D., 1876, Paris. |

ALFURESE-NEGRITO GROUP.

| | | |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Alfurese..... | (<i>uncertain</i>) | Niemann, G. N., 1869, Holland. |
| Negrito..... | do. | Jansen, G. N., 1856, do. |
| | | Riedel, G. N., 1871. |
| | | Wilken, G. N., 1863. |

APPENDIX D.

I. ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF LANGUAGES.

| | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Abor, 97. | Dardui, 34. | Katingan, 140. |
| Achinese, 135. | Deori-Chutia, 97. | Kayan, 140. |
| Aiton, 122. | Dhimul, 98. | Keikádi, 77. |
| Aka, 97. | Dhyak, 140. | Khamti, 122. |
| Akakol, 115. | Dophla, 97. | Kharia, 83. |
| Allor, 143. | Endeh, 143. | Khasi, 117. |
| Amcerang, 147. | Enganoes, 136. | Khmu, 130. |
| Anal, 103. | Formosan, 144. | Kho, 108. |
| Andro, 103. | Gadaba, 86. | Khond, 71. |
| Annamite, 127. | Galeteng, 143. | Khungui, 103. |
| Asamese, 55. | Garo, 100. | Khyeng, 105. |
| Awkojawai, 115. | Garontolo, 139. | Kio, 143. |
| Balaam-Mougando, 147. | Gilolo, 142. | Kissa, 143. |
| Balawa, 115. | Gond, 74. | Kiranti, 93. |
| Balinese, 138. | Gujaráti, 60. | Konga, 143. |
| Balúchi, 30. | Gurung, 92. | Korinchi, 136. |
| Banar, 130. | Gyarung, 108. | Korwa, 84. |
| Banda, 142. | Hila, 142. | Kota, 73. |
| Banjógi, 103. | Hin, 130. | Koto, 113. |
| Batanas, 141. | Hindi, 45. | Kudagu, 71. |
| Batta, 135. | Honhi, 113. | Kui, 108. |
| Bengáli, 53. | Horpa, 108. | Kuki, 104. |
| Bhotia or Tibetan, 109. | Huei, 130. | Kumi, 106. |
| Bhotia of Lo, 108. | Hurunka, 142. | Kunáwuri, 108. |
| Bicol, 141. | Ikia, 113. | Kupome, 103. |
| Bima, 143. | Iloko, 141. | Kupui, 103. |
| Binjuk, 140. | Jaríwa, 115. | Kur, 84. |
| Binna, 130. | Javanese, 137. | Kusunda, 93. |
| Bisayan, 141. | Jili, 99. | Kyau, 103. |
| Bojigiah, 115. | Juang, 83. | Lampung, 136. |
| Bojinjajida, 115. | Kachári, 98. | Lao, 121. |
| Bouton, 139. | Kafiri, 32. | Lawa, 130. |
| Brahui, 41. | Kakhyen, 99. | Lemet, 130. |
| Bramhu, 92. | Kambojan, 125. | Lepcha, 95. |
| Bugi, 139. | Kami, 106. | Limbu, 94. |
| Burmese, 105. | Kanarese, 69. | Lisaw, 112. |
| Cagayan, 141. | Karén, 106. | Liyang, 103. |
| Camarines, 141. | Kashmíri, 35. | Lolu, 112. |
| Cedang, 130. | Kat, 130. | Luhupa, 103. |
| Ceram, 142. | | Macassar, 139. |
| Chairel, 103. | | Madurese, 138. |
| Chamena, 141. | | Magar, 92. |
| Champhang, 103. | | Malagásy, 144. |
| Chepang, 92. | | Malo, 140. |

| | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Malay, 133. | Ourung Binwuh (Sumatra), 136. | Sonthál, 81. |
| Malay(lim, 70. | Ourung Binwuh (Malacca), 136. | Stieng, 130. |
| Mal-Puharia, 86. | | Suc, 130. |
| Ma-utse, 113. | | Sue, 130. |
| Mandar, 139. | Pahri, 94. | Sundanese, 138. |
| Mangerei, 143. | Paloung, 129. | Suntah, 140. |
| Manyak, 108. | Pampanga, 141. | Sunwar, 94. |
| Marám, 103. | Pangasinar, 141. | Sumba, 143. |
| Maring, 103. | Pani-koch, 98. | Sumbawa, 143. |
| Maráthi, 57. | Pankhu, 103. | Tagál, 140. |
| Mehto, 85. | Phadang, 103. | Taimow, 122. |
| Menado (Celebes), 139. | Pido Petak, 140. | Takpa, 108. |
| Menado (Alfurese), 147. | Proom, 130. | Takuimi, 103. |
| Meri, 140. | Punjáli, 37. | Tamil, 67. |
| Mi, 130. | Pushtu, 28. | Tangkhul, 103. |
| Mikir, 101. | | Telugu, 68. |
| Milinau, 140. | Rajmuháli, 77. | Ternáte, 142. |
| Minahassa, 147. | Rejang, 135. | Teto, 143. |
| Minkia, 122. | Roka, 143. | Thakṣya, 94. |
| Miri, 97. | Rotti, 143. | Thochu, 108. |
| Mishmi, 97. | Sak, 103. | Tibetan, 109. |
| Mon, 125. | Sakarau, 140. | Tidor, 142. |
| Mru, 106. | Salayar, 139. | Timoura, 143. |
| Mundári, 81. | Samang, 147. | Timourese, 143. |
| Munipári, 103. | Sanpit, 140. | Tipura, 103. |
| Murmi, 92. | Sassak, 143. | Toda, 72. |
| Mu-tse, 108. | Sassarua, 142. | Tomohon, 147. |
| Naga { Namsang, 100. | Sau, 140. | Tomore, 139. |
| | Savára, 85. | Toombulus, 147. |
| | Savoe, 143. | Tounsea, 147. |
| Nagari-Anpat, 142. | Seungnai, 103. | Tulu, 71. |
| Namfau, 103. | Shan, 121. | Uriya, 56. |
| Nanhang, 130. | Shendu, 103. | Vahu, 93. |
| Nassau, 136. | Shobceng, 116. | Yerukála, 78. |
| Nepáli, 51. | Siamese, 120. | Yerewa, 115. |
| Newári, 93. | Silong, 114. | Zambal, 141. |
| Nias, 136. | Sinhalese, 62. | Zebuana, 141. |
| Nicobári, 115. | Singphu, 99. | |
| Nusalaut, 142. | Sindhi, 43. | |
| Oraon, 76. | So, 130. | |
| | Solor, 143. | |

2. ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF DIALECTS.

| | | |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Abor-Miri, 97. | Badaga, 69. | Betsilio, 146. |
| Abung, 137. | Búgri, 159. | Betsimására, 146. |
| Ahmedabádi, 62. | Bahingya, 162. | Bezanzíno, 146. |
| Akhye, 137. | Baiswári, 159. | Bghai, 107. |
| Alwári, 49. | Balali, 162. | Bhadarwáhi, 36. |
| Amwee, 117. | Balti, 111. | Bhatti, 159. |
| Angámi, 100. | Banpára, 100. | Bhagéli, 159. |
| Arakanese, 105. | Basisi, 137. | Bhil { (Hindi), 49. |
| Arung, 100. | Batar, 98. | Bhil { (Gujaráti), 62. |
| Astori, 35. | Battoa, 117. | Bhogtuh, 50. |

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Bhojpúri, 159. | Gomsúr- { (Uriya), 56. (Khond), 74. | Konkani, 59. |
| Bhotáni, 111. | Gotta, 75. | Kooloohi, 40. |
| Bhotia of Twang, 112. | Gudee, 40. | Korá- { (Hindi), 40. war { (Telugu), 69. |
| Bhuttia, 50. | Gaiko, 107. | Kosali, 159. |
| Bikaníri, 159. | Ganga, 98. | Kubu, 137. |
| Binjwar, 50. | Gurezi, 35. | Kuch, 54. |
| Bruj, 159. | Gurhwáli, 158. | Kudi, 98. |
| Bunán, 111. | Gya, 159. | Kuki, { Old, 104. New, 104. |
| Bundéli, 159. | Hallámi, 104. | Kulungya, 162. |
| Bustar, 69. | Harouti, | Kumáoni, 158. |
| Byga, 50. | Hindúi, 46. | Kumring, 137. |
| Car Nicobar, 116. | Old Hindui, 46. | Kurular, 68. |
| Champas, 111. | Hindúri, 158. | Kuswar, 50. |
| Changlo, 112. | Hindustáni, 47. | Kutcha, 100. |
| Chentsu, 49. | Hota-shan, 122. | Laccadive, 70. |
| Chibháli, 40. | Hor-Tsang, 110. | Ladíkhi, 110. |
| Chilás, 35. | Hova, 146. | Laghmáui, 29. |
| Chingtangya, 162. | Hozai, 98. | Lambádi, 49. |
| Chitrál, 35. | Hulba, 50. | Lambiekhang, 162. |
| Chittagong, 54. | Huláhi, 49. | Lakadong, 117. |
| Chona, 10. | Ibára, 146. | Lari, 44. |
| Choorasya, 162. | Irular, 68. | Larya, 50. |
| Chulikota, 97. | Jakun, 137. | Lawa, 121. |
| Chutesguhri, 49. | Jathki { (Sindhi), 44. (Hindi), 40. | Lhopa, 111. |
| Dah { (Tibetan), 111. (Hindi), 50. | Judgáli, 44. | Lhota, 100. |
| Dairi, 135. | Jugdwáli, 40. | Lidung, 109. |
| Daringabaddi, 74. | Jypóre, 69. | Lippa, 109. |
| Dekhani { (Hindi), 47. (Maráthi), 59. | Jypúri, 159. | Loharung, 162. |
| Desi, 59. | Kachhi, 44. | Low Balinese, 138. |
| Denwar, 50. | Kaigili, 166. | Low Javancese, 137. |
| Dharel, 98. | Kalahundi, 57. | Low Malay, 134. |
| Digáru, 97. | Kanam, 109. | Lubu, 137. |
| Dir, 29. | Kandahári, 29. | Luhrung, 109. |
| Doda, 36. | Kanoji, 159. | Lushai, 104. |
| Dogri, 40. | Kattiwári, 62. | Mágadhi, 159. |
| Dokhthol, 110. | Katya, 50. | Mahadeo, 75. |
| Dumi, 162. | Kay, 107. | Mahl, 71. |
| Dungmáli, 162. | Kebrat, 54. | Mahomedan-Bengáli, 54. |
| Durabi, 50. | Khaling, 162. | Maithili, 159. |
| Durel, 35. | Khamba, 95. | Maldive, 64. |
| Elu, 63. | Khamen-Booran, 127. | Mankipati, 82. |
| Favorlang, 144. | Khan, 110. | Mappila, 70. |
| Forest tribes (Tamil), 68. | Khari, 100. | Marahi, 98. |
| Gadi, 50. | Kharwar, 50. | Mari, 75. |
| Ganwári, 159. | Khoibú, 164. | Maria, 75. |
| Gáyeti, 75. | Kishtwári, 36. | Marwári, 159. |
| Gilgiti, 35. | Kodun, 67. | Mech, 98. |
| Goadesi, 59. | Kohistáni, 33. | Mechee, 98. |
| | Koi, 75. | Meeyang, 54. |
| | Kolami, 75. | Mehra, 50. |
| | Koli, 35. | Mekráni, 30. |
| | | Mendh, 44. |

| | | |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Mercantile-Bombay, 61. | Rabha { (Bengáli), 54. (Kachári), 98. | Tablung, 100. |
| Mijhu, 97. | Raj, 36. | Tackais, 144. |
| Milchan, 109. | Rambáni. | Tagara, 50. |
| Mintira, 137. | Ramúsi { (Hindi), 49. (Telugu), 69. | Taifasy, 146. |
| Moi, 121. | Rangri, 159. | Taimoro, 146. |
| Mopgha, 107. | Rayet Laut, 137. | Tanala, 146. |
| Multáni, 39. | Red Karen, 107. | Tanjore, 68. |
| Mundaiung, 135. | Rengma, 100. | Taru, 107. |
| Nachereng. | Rodáyah, 64. | Tavoyi, 105. |
| Nagpúri, 59. | Rodong, 162. | Tengsa, 100. |
| Naikude, 75. | Roman Catholic (Mangalore), 60. | Thareli, 44. |
| Namsang, 100. | Rong, 95. | Tharu, 50. |
| Nancowry, 116. | Rumes, 74. | Theressa, 116. |
| Ngari-Khorsun, 110. | Rungpore, 54. | Thulungga, 162. |
| Nicobar (Great), 116. | Runchengbung, 162. | Tibarskad, 109. |
| Nimári, 50. | Rutluk, 75. | Tilais, 144. |
| Nougong, 100. | Sabimba, 137. | Tirhai, 29. |
| Oelo, 137. | Sakai, 137. | Toba, 135. |
| Orissa Muhals, 74. | Sakalava, 146. | Toungthu, 107. |
| Pádari, 36. | Samre, 127. | Udai, 137. |
| Pakhya, 50. | Samsan, 134. | Udipúri, 159. |
| Palas, 35. | Sumanap, 138. | Ujáyini, 159. |
| Pallah, 54. | Sangpang, 162. | U-kombo, 110. |
| Palpa, 51. | Serpa, 110. | Urdu, 47. |
| Pashai, 29. | Sgau, 107. | Veddah, 63. |
| Patna, 159. | Shen, 67. | Vellular, 161. |
| Pauryah, 62. | Sibsagur-Miri. | Vichóli, 44. |
| Pesháwuri, 29. | Sideia, 144. | Wild Hill Tribes (Kanarese), 70. |
| Portuguese-Hindustani, 47. | Sihanaka, 146. | Waling, 162. |
| Pothwári, 40. | Sirai, 44. | Yakka, 162. |
| Puhári, 40. | Solimáni, 31. | Yasin, 35. |
| Puiron, 103. | Sombu, 103. | Yo, 105. |
| Punka, 50. | Spiti, 111. | Xong, 127. |
| Purja, 50. | Sugnum, 109. | Zanskári, 111. |
| Purneah, W. (Hindi), 159. | Sumchu, 109. | |
| Purneah, E. (Bengáli), 54. | Suráti, 61. | |
| Pwo, 107. | Sylhet, 54. | |
| | Synteng, 117. | |

3. ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF PECULIAR CHARACTERS.

| | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Ahom, 18, 122. | Kambojan (Archaic), 20, 126. | Nepáli, 19, 52. |
| Annamite, 19, 128. | Kashmíri, 19, 37. | Newári, 20, 92, 93. |
| Arabic, 19, 30, 31, 37, 41, 46, 50, 64, 111, 134. | Káwi, 18, 20. | Pali, 19, 125. |
| Asamese, 19, 55. | Káyati, 19, 50. | Rejang, 20, 136. |
| Balbodh, 19, 58, 62. | Khamti, 122. | Sharada, 37. |
| Batta, 20, 135. | Konkani, 60. | Shán, 121. |
| Bengáli, 19, 54, 81. | Korinchi, 20, 136. | Siamese, 121. |
| Bugi, 20, 131. | Lampung, 20, 136. | Sindhi, 46. |
| Burmese, 20, 105. | Lao, 121. | Sinhalese, 19, 63. |
| Dewehi Hukara, 64. | Lepcha, 20, 95. | Suráfi, 50. |
| Dogri, 19, 41. | Limbu, 20, 92, 94. | Tagal, 20, 141. |
| Gaháli Tana, 64. | Lundi, 19, 41. | Tai Mow, 122. |
| Grantham, 19, 67. | Mahájuni, 19, 50. | Tamil, 19, 67. |
| Gujaráti, 19, 62. | Malayálim, 19, 70, 71. | Telugu - Kanarese, 19, 69, 71, 72. |
| Gurmúkhi, 19, 41, 46. | Maldivé, 19, 64. | Thakuri, 37, 41. |
| Hala Kannada, 19, 70. | Mappila, 70. | Tibetan, 19, 109, 111, 112. |
| Javanese, 20, 137. | Modi, 19, 58. | Uriya, 19, 57, 74. |
| Kachári, 98. | Mon, 20, 125. | Vattelutto, 19. |
| Kakhyen, 99. | Munipúri, 20, 103. | |
| Kambojan, 20, 127. | Nágari, 19, 46, 50, 51, 58, 60, 76, 82. | |

APPENDIX E.

ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF SUBJECTS, AUTHORS,
BOOKS, AND PLACES.

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Abajmard, 76. | Bassac, 130. | Campbell, 6, 42, 79, 155. |
| Abulfuzul, 35. | Bastian, 3, 19, 120, 124, 126, 130. | Camppong, 132, 142. |
| Adi Granth, 38. | Batavia, 2, 184. | Cannibal, 83, 135. |
| Afghan, 29. | Bate, 51. | Carey, 22. |
| Africa, African, 16. | Bathang, 112. | Cargo of Slaves, 6, 114. |
| Agglutinative, 11, 79, 89, 151. | Beames, 3, 8, 9, 23, 36, 44, 51, 57, 61. | Celebes, 131. |
| Ahom, 8, 55, 122. | Bellew, 42. | Census Report, 8, 85. |
| Aiton, 51. | Behistun, 12, 152. | Central Provinces, 75. |
| Alfurese, 7, 9, 131, 133, 146, 147. | Bencoolen, 136. | Ceylon, 12, 16, 17, 62, 67. |
| Allo-phyllian, 5. | Bengal, 7, 12, 102. | Champa, 128. |
| Alphabets, 19. | Beschi, 68. | Chand, 6, 46. |
| America, 150. | Bhamu, 99, 113, 122. | Changlo = Black, 112. |
| Anderson, 99, 113. | Bhar, 10, 11, 80. | Chandrabhaga, 110. |
| Angeour, 126. | Bhil, 10, 11, 80. | Chief Commissioner, 101. |
| Annamulli, 68, 70. | Bhumij, 82. | Chikakole, 12. |
| Appendices, 24. | Bhutan, 98, 110, 111. | Childers, 62. |
| Arab, 16. | Bhuya, 80. | China, Chinese, 4, 90, 96, 97, 112, 133, 144, 11, 15, 16, 120, 124, 128, 140. |
| Arabic, 16, 17, 19, 134, 149. | Bible Society, 22, 155. | Chittagong, 102, 105. |
| Arakan, 105. | Bigandet, 22, 99. | Christopher, 62. |
| Archipelago, 7, 13, 14, 131, 150. | Birhor, 82. | Chumba, 40. |
| Armenian, 16. | Bleeker, 147. | Church Missionary Society, 9. |
| Aryan, 4, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 28. | Bolan Pass, 11. | Chutia-Nagpür, 50, 76, 82. |
| Arte, 22. | Bombay, 61. | Cleveland, 76. |
| Asama, 122. | Bopp, 13, 150. | Coates, 86. |
| Asoka, 19, 20. | Borneo, 132. | Cochin, 70. |
| Assam, 7, 12, 95, 122. | Brahmaputra, 12, 95, 96, 98, 108, 119. | Cochin-China, 127, 129. |
| Australia, 115, 150. | Brandreth, 25, 26, 89, 154. | Colebrooke, 2, 3. |
| Authorities, 25. | Brigel, 71. | Controversies, 13, 24. |
| Avesta, 17. | Bronson, 55. | Conventional Pecked Lines, 132. |
| Aymonier, 124. | Brown, 3, 19, 96, 99. | Cooper, 97, 113. |
| Ayúthia, 120. | Buchanan, 3. | Cousins, 144. |
| Bábi, 30. | Burma, 8, 99, 121, 122, 123. | Crawfurd, 3, 13, 128, 140, 141, 144. |
| Badakhshan, 34. | Burnell, 3, 19, 59, 66, 72. | Csoma de Koros, 21. |
| Bali, 12, 38. | Buronjie, 56. | Cunningham, 35. |
| Ball, 116. | Burton, 44. | Cuttack, 56, 73. |
| Baltistan, 34, 111. | Bussahir, 108. | |
| Baluchistan, 30. | Butler, 100. | |
| Bangkok, 2, 119. | Caldwell, 3, 8, 22, 23, 42, 65. | |
| Banka and Billiton, 133. | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Dalton, 3, 8, 76, 81, 83, 85, 86, 96, 98. | Ganges, 12, 48, 65, 77. | Indo-Chinese Peninsula, 14, 102. |
| Damant, 103. | Gangetic, 88. | Indus, 12, 34, 111. |
| Darius, 151. | Ganjam, 12. | Inflexive, 11, 151. |
| Darjeeling, 95. | Garnier, 7, 112, 124, 130. | Inscriptions, 58, 62, 120, 126, 138. |
| De Roepstorff, 114. | Geographical Magazine, 2. | Iranic, 12, 28, 31. |
| Desi, 5. | Ghalchuh, 31. | Irawaddy, 13, 97, 99, 100, 103, 107, 108, 122, 124. |
| Des Michels, 124, 127, 130. | Gilgit, 34. | Iskardo, 111. |
| Deruh Ghazi Khan, 31, 42. | Goa, 12, 59. | Island-Group, 110. |
| Dihong, 97. | Godavery, 6, 74. | Italian, 16. |
| Dialect, 5. | Gogra, 88, 91. | |
| Dialect-Field of Hindi, 48. | Goldschmidt, 62. | |
| Dialects of South India, 59, 68, 72. | Gondwana, 9, 46, 75. | |
| Dili, 142. | Goorkha, 52, 94. | |
| Doab, 37. | Goung-dho, 107. | |
| Domestic Language, 16, 22. | Gover, 66, 69. | |
| Dravidian, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 115. | Govind Singh, 38, 47. | |
| Drew, 34, 36, 40, 111. | Grammatical Notes, 22. | |
| Dutch, 16, 40, 135. | Greek, 15, 152. | |
| Dukpa, 111. | Grouse, 51. | |
| Dumagudene, 76. | Gundert, 3, 22, 66. | |
| Dzo, 104. | Hall, 46, 51. | |
| Ecole des Langues Orientales, 127, 129. | Hanoj, 128. | |
| Elsmlie, 36. | Haswell, 22, 125. | |
| English, 16, 149, 150. | Hayasvu, 93. | |
| Estava, 59. | Hayward, 34. | |
| Ethnical, 10. | Headhunter, 147. | |
| Ethnology of Bengal, 85. | Hebrew, 17. | |
| Exploration of Mekong, 7. | Hermann, 147. | |
| Families, 27. | Herodotus, 152. | |
| Fauna and Flora, 131. | Hieratic, 19. | |
| Flex, 22, 76. | Hieroglyphic, 19. | |
| Floris, 143. | Himalaya, 12, 90, 119, 150. | |
| Forest Languages, 16. | Hindu Koosh, 31, 33. | |
| Forest Race, 83. | Hindu Religion, 18. | |
| Formation of New Vernaculars, 15. | Hislop, 85. | |
| Formosa, 3, 4, 144. | Ho, 82. | |
| Fragilis, Frail, 15. | Hodgson, 3, 6, 7, 21, 79, 87, 89, 98, 130, 156. | |
| French, 16, 126, 127, 128. | Hornle, 3, 51. | |
| Friederich, 17. | Hong-Kong, 15. | |
| Fryer, 105. | Hovelacque, 3, 9. | |
| Further India, 102. | Huet, 128. | |
| Fytche, 107, | Hughes, 44. | |
| | Hunter, 7, 77, 78. | |
| | Hyundes, 90. | |
| | Ideographs, 128. | |
| | Imerina, 146. | |
| | India Office, 25. | |
| | India, 12, 28. | |
| | | Knowledge of Vernacular, 22. |
| | | Kolian, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 79. |

Kole, 76.
 Krah, 87.
 Kuch, 10.
 Kuch-Buhair, 95, 98.
 Kuch-Gandava, 44.
 Kur-Gali, 42.
 Kyelang, 111.
 Laccadive, 70.
 Language, 5.
 Language-Field, 8.
 Language-Map, 8, 9, 23.
 Language Shortlived, 152.
 Langue Verte, 16.
 Larka Kole, 82.
 Lassen, 9.
 Lahul, 111.
 Latham, 3, 140.
 Latin, 15, 129.
 Leech, 42.
 Legalis, Legal, 16.
 Leiden, 17, 23.
 Leitner, 34.
 Lepsius, 19, 20, 45, 60.
 Letter to Bunsen, 79.
 Lewin, 7, 104.
 Leyden, 3, 141.
 Lho, 111.
 Lingua-franca, 22, 61, 135.
 Linguistic connection of Mon with Munda, 80, 125.
 Literary Language, 14, 54.
 Literature, 24.
 Logan, 3, 119, 124, 127, 129.
 Lohitie, 88.
 Lombok, 137, 139, 143.
 Lord's Prayer, 114.
 M'Culloch, 7, 103.
 Madagascar, 3, 4, 133.
 Mahanudy, 8, 12.
 Mahendra, 85.
 Malacca, 13, 15, 131, 133, 137, 147.
 Malayan, 7, 9, 13, 131.
 Malcolm, 49.
 Maldiva, 64.
 Malhar, 83.
 Man, 114.
 Marco Polo, 35.
 Marsden, 3, 14, 19, 21, 36.
 Martaban, 107.
 Matthes, 139.
 Mauritius, 67.
 Max Müller, 11, 14, 66, 79, 87, 136, 150.
 Mekong, 7, 87, 108, 112, 121, 125, 126, 127, 129, 130.
 Menam, 107.
 Menangkaba, 13, 133.
 Merewether, 44.
 Mergui, 107.
 Meriah, 73, 74, 97.
 Migratory Tribes, 16.
 Millies, 147.
 Minikoi, 71.
 Mincopic, 114.
 Missionaries, 21, 155.
 Missions Catholiques, 113.
 Mletcha, 101.
 Mockler, 30, 42.
 Molucca Group, 131.
 Momien, 113, 122.
 Mongol, 14, 92, 116.
 Mon-Anam, 4, 8, 13.
 Monkey-tailed, 106.
 Monosyllabic, 11, 89, 117, 119, 120, 126, 151.
 Moravian, 109.
 Morphological, 10, 11.
 Mosaic, 152.
 Mozambique, 144.
 Muasi, 84.
 Mugh, 105.
 Müller, Fred., 3, 14, 62, 66.
 Muir, 3.
 Munipúr Hill Tribes, 7.
 Munipúr - Chittagong Group, 13, 95, 102.
 Mysore, 68, 69.
 Nagpur, 75.
 Naia Dumka, 80, 86.
 Nakhun Wat, 126.
 Nanuk, 38.
 Native Presses, 119, 121.
 Negrito, 4, 114, 116, 131, 133, 143, 144, 146.
 Neilghurries, 68, 69, 72, 73.
 Nepál, 7, 9, 13, 88, 90, 91.
 Nerbudda, 9, 12.
 Netscher, 137.
 Nicholson, 41, 43.
 Nisháda, 90.
 Nizam, 8, 58, 68, 69.
 Non-Aryan,
 Nora, 122.
 Notches on Arrows, 140.
 Oceania, 14.
 Outline Dictionary for use of Missionaries, 156.
 Oxus, 31.
 Pagai, 136.
 Pali, 10.
 Pallegoix, 22.
 Pamer, 12, 31.
 Papuan, 4.
 Parable of Sower, 60.
 Parbatya, 5, 51.
 Patkoi, 99, 100, 122.
 Páizand or Parsi, 17.
 Penom, 130.
 Pepukhwan, 144.
 Perak, 136.
 Perry, 2, 3, 8, 9.
 Persia and Persian, 12, 16, 17, 37, 39.
 Peshawur, 2, 6.
 Phenician Alphabet, 19, 66.
 Philippine Group, 133.
 Phillips, 81.
 Philological Society, 146.
 Phraseology of Deference, 137.
 Pidgeon-English, 15.
 Pliny, 85.
 Polyandry, 72.
 Polynesian, 4, 13, 17, 133.
 Polysyllabic, 126.
 Pope, 65.
 Portuguese, 15, 16, 17, 45, 47, 64, 142.
 Prakrit, 5, 10, 57.
 Pre-Aryan, 35, 90.
 Predatory Tribes, 16.
 Prem-Sagur, 46.
 Priusep, 19, 113.
 Pronominalization, 89.
 Pryse, 22.
 Ptolemy, 85.
 Puhlavi, 17.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Purbatiya, 5. | Shaw, 32. | Timour Group, 131. |
| Puttoah, 83. | Shipwreck, 6, 114. | Tipura, 102. |
| Pyrard de Laval, 64. | Siah-posh, 32. | Tones, 90, 105. |
| Queddah, 133, 134. | Siam, 4, 12, 87, 108, 121, 123, 126. | Tonquin, 128. |
| Quettah, 29. | Sikhim Group, 95. | Trans - Himalayan Group, 88, 90, 108. |
| Raffles, 17. | Sindh, 11. | Travancore, 67, 70. |
| Rangoon, 125. | Singapore, 133. | Trumpp, 3, 33, 43, 44. |
| Raverty, 29. | Sir-i-kul, 31. | Tulsee Dass, 6, 46. |
| Rawulpindi, 6. | Sittang, 107. | Turanian, 5, 87. |
| Reflux of Aryan Civiliza- tion, 37. | Skrefsrud, 21, 22, 31. | Tutsamuh, 15. |
| Residuum of Words, 153. | Societies, Duties of, 153. | Tung, 106. |
| Rhio Lingga, 133. | Spanish, 16, 141. | Turnour, 62. |
| Robinson, 3, 90, 96, 101. | Stevenson, 3, 66. | Turki and Turkistan, 29, 31, 34, 35, 81. |
| Roman Catholic, 16, 22, 60, 112. | Stewart, 104. | Twang, 110. |
| Roman Character, 20, 149. | Stilson, 106. | |
| Romance, 16. | Sumatra - Malacca Group, 13, 133. | Van der Tuuk, 134, 135, 136, 145. |
| Rome, 129. | Sumbhulpore, 56. | Van Hoewell, 142. |
| Roots indestructible, 152. | Surmá, 99, 117. | Vedic, 152. |
| Roumanian, 15. | Sylhet, 95. | Vernacular of a Coun- try, 54. |
| Rost, 26. | Syllabary, 128. | Veth, 2, 9, 23. |
| Russian, 15, 112. | Syriac, 17. | Vigne, 35. |
| Saigon, 9, 127, 128. | Tadbhava, 15. | Vijaya, 62. |
| Salween, 107. | Tai Group, 4, 8, 12, 119. | Vindyan Mountains, 74. |
| Sanpu, 97, 109. | Taiwan, 144. | Vizigipatam, 79. |
| Sanaskrit, 5, 10, 15, 17, 64, 70, 71, 144, 145. | Tajik, 30. | Vocabularies, 22. |
| Satpura, 49. | Talain, 124. | Von der Gabelentz, 140. |
| Schlagenthweit, 9. | Tamulic, 5. | Von Humboldt, 13, 17, 142, 150. |
| Schneider, 147. | Temple, Lieut., 114. | Wakhan, 31. |
| Schreiber, 135. | Temple, Sir Richard, 155. | Wallace, 131. |
| Scythian, 5, 12, 42, 66. | Tenasserim, 105. | Wamistan, 32. |
| Semitic, 17, 151. | Terai, 10, 50, 91, 98. | Whitmee, 4. |
| Serampore, 48. | Thadu, 104. | Willer, 137. |
| Shallow Sea Region, 131. | Thai, 119. | Wilson, John, 8, 22, 44, 57, 59, 60. |
| Shama Churn, 54. | Thapa, 92. | Yaghistan, 33, 34. |
| | Thomas, 10. | Yoma Range, 105. |
| | Tibet, 12, 90, 96, 97, 108. | Yukan, 144. |
| | Tibeto-Burman, 4, 8, 12, 13, 87, 133. | Yunan, 87, 99, 113, 123. |
| | Tiedke, 140. | |

APPENDIX F.

LIST OF ORIENTAL SERIALS, AND BOOKS ON THE GENERAL SUBJECT, OR PORTIONS OF THE SUBJECT,

SERIALS.

Journal of Bengal Asiatic Society, Calcutta.
 Journal of Royal Asiatic Society, London.
 Journal of Bombay Branch of do., Bombay.
 Journal of Madras Branch of do., Madras.
 Journal of Ceylon Branch of do., Colombo.
 Journal of Indian Archipelago (*extinct*), Singapore.
 Journal of Société Asiatische, Paris.
 Journal of German Oriental Society, Leipsig.
 Journal of American Oriental Society.
 Journal of Dutch Oriental Society, The Hague.
 Asiatic Researches (*extinct*), Calcutta.
 Transactions of Royal Asiatic Society (*extinct*).
 Malayan Miscellanies (*extinct*), 1820.
 Indian Antiquary, Bombay.
 Calcutta Review, Calcutta.

COLLECTIVE WORKS.

G. Campbell, Languages of India, 1872, Calcutta.
 W. W. Hunter, Comparative Dictionary of Non-Aryan Languages of India 1868, London.
 Crawfurd, Dictionary of Indian Islands, 1856, London.
 Latham, Comparative Philology, 1862, London.
 Latham, Descriptive Ethnology, 1849, London.
 Dictionary of Languages, 1873, London.
 Hovelacque, La Linguistique, 1876 (French), Paris.
 Science of Languages, 1876 (same as above in English), London.
 Bagster, Bible in Every Land, 1860, London.
 Max Müller, Letter to Bunsen on Turanian Languages—Appendix to Christianity and Mankind, 1849, London.
 Maresden, East Insular Languages, 1834, London.
 Max Müller, Lectures on Science of Languages, 1871, London.
 Fried. Müller, Voyage of the Novara, 1867, Vienna.
 Bastian, Voyages (German), 1839, Berlin.
 Ballhorn, Grammatography, 1861, London.

Hislop, *Aboriginal Tribes of Central Provinces*, 1866, Nagpúr.

Hodgson, *Language, Literature, and Religion of Tibet and Nepál*, 1874, London.

Report of Ethnological Committee of Central Provinces, 1868, Nagpúr.

Reports of the Census of Different Provinces of India.

Gazetteer of Bengal (Hunter), 1877, London.

Gazetteer of North-West Provinces (Atkinson), 1876, Allahabad.

Gazetteer of Central Provinces (Grant), 1870, Nagpúr.

Shortt, *Hill Ranges of Madras*, 1871, Madras.

Caldwell, *Comparative Grammar, Dravidian Languages*, 1875, London.
2d Edition.

Beames, *Comparative Grammar, Aryan Vernaculars of India*, 1875, London.

Wallace, *Malay Archipelago*, 1868, London.

People of India, Races and Tribes, by Forbes Watson and Kaye, 1868, London.

Breeks, *Aborigines of Neilgherries*, 1873, London.

Dalton, *Ethnology of Bengal*, 1872, Calcutta.

Forsyth, *Highlands of Central India*, 1876, London.

Selections from Records of Government of India and Bengal, Calcutta.

Encyclopædia Britannica, 9th edit., 1875, London.

Catalogue of Works published in Holland on Oriental Languages from 1800-74, Brill, 1874, Leiden.

APPENDIX G.

LIST OF TRANSLATIONS OF THE BIBLE, IN PART OR ENTIRETY,
IN THE LANGUAGES OF THE EAST INDIES.

ARYAN FAMILY.

IRANIC BRANCH.

| Language. | Dialect. | Character. | Translator. | Date and Place. |
|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Pushtu | Standard | Arabic | Leyden, Carey | 1811-1819, Serampore |
| Baluchi | (uncertain) | Do. | Löwenthal, Clark Leyden, Carey | 1857, Peshawur 1811-1815, Serampore |

INDIC BRANCH.

| | | | | |
|----------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Kashmíri | (uncertain) | Súráda | Carey | 1820 1832, Serampore |
| Punjábi | Standard | Gurmúkhi | Carey, Newton | 1813, Serampore 1843-1857, Ludianah |
| Do. | Mooltáni | { Debased Nágari | { Carey | 1812-1819, Serampore |
| Do. | Dogri | Nágari | Carey | 1814-1826, Do. |
| Sindhi | Standard | Arabic | Carey | 1815-1825, Do. |
| Do. | Do. | Nágari | Stack | 1855, Bombay |
| Do. | Do. | Gurmúkhi | Burn | 1859, Do. |
| Do. | Kaechi | { Gujaráti and Arabic | Gray | 1835, Do. |
| Hindi | Standard | Nágari | { Several persons | At several places and dates |
| Do. | Do. | Kaithi | { Several persons | At several places and dates |
| Do. | Hindustáni | Arabic | Do. | |
| Do. | Do. | Roman | Sell | 1875, Madras |
| Do. | Dukhani | Arabic | | |
| Do. | { Portuguese Hindustáni | Roman | Newstead | 1826-1833, Colombo |
| Do. | Gurhwali | Nágari | Carey | 1816-1832, Serampore |
| Do. | Kumáoni | Do. | Carey | 1815-1826, Do. |
| Do. | Bruj | Do. | { Carey and Cham- berlain | 1813-1832, Do. |
| Do. | Kanóji | Do. | Carey | 1815-1822, Do. |
| Do. | Kossáli | Do. | Do. | 1820, Do. |
| Do. | Magadhi | Do. | Do. | 1814-1824, Do. |
| Do. | Do. | Do. | Start | Still in manuscript |
| Do. | Bhugéli | Do. | Carey | 1814-1821, Do. |
| Do. | Bhatti | Do. | Do. | 1821-1824, Do. |
| Do. | Jypúri | Do. | Do. | 1815, Do. |
| Do. | Bikaníri | Do. | Do. | 1813-1823, Do. |
| Do. | Marwári | Do. | Do. | 1814-1821, Do. |
| Do. | Do. | Do. | Bombay Auxiliary Committee | 1866, Bombay |

| Language. | Dialect. | Character. | Translator. | Date and Place. |
|-----------|------------|------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Hindi | Harouti | Nágarí | Carey | 1815, Serampore |
| Do. | Udipári | Do. | Do. | 1815, Do. |
| Do. | Ujáyuni | Do. | Do. | 1820-1824, Do. |
| Nepáli | Standard | Do. | Do. | 1815-1821, Do. |
| Do. | Do. | Do. | Start | 1850, Calcutta |
| Do. | Palpa | Do. | Carey | 1807-1832, Serampore |
| Bengáli | Standard | Bengáli | Several persons | Several dates, Calcutta |
| Do. | Do. | Roman | Do. | Do. |
| Do. | Musulman | Bengáli | Paterson and Hill | 1873, Calcutta |
| Asamése | Standard | Asamése | Carey | 1811, 1815, Serampore |
| Do. | Do. | Do. | Nathan Brown | 1811-1819, Serampore |
| Uriya | Do. | Uriya | Carey, Sutton | 1844-1854, Cuttack |
| Maráthi | Do. | Nágarí | Carey | 1804-1825, Serampore |
| Do. | Do. | Modi | Carey, Taylor | 1807, Serampore |
| Do. | Do. | | Dixon and others | 1819, Bombay |
| Do. | Konkani | Nágarí | Carey | 1835-1855, Bombay |
| Gujaráti | Standard | Gujaráti | Do. | 1808-1811, Serampore |
| Do. | Do. | | Skinner and Fyvie | 1813, Serampore |
| Do. | Mercantile | Gujaráti | Bombay Auxiliary Committee | 1823, Bombay & Surat |
| Sinhalese | Standard | Sinhalese | Armour, Tolfrey, &c. | 1864, Bombay |
| | | | | 1823, Serampore, Colombo |

DRAVIDIAN FAMILY.

| | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Tamil | Standard or Kodun | Tamil | Several persons | At several dates, Madras |
| Telugu | Standard | Telugu | Do. | Do. |
| Kanarese | Do. | Do. | Do. | Do. |
| | Badaga | Telugu | | |
| Malayálim | Standard | Malayálim | Do. | Do. |
| Tulu | Do. | Telugu | Amman and Griener | 1844, Mangalore |
| Gond | (uncertain) | Roman | Dawson | 1873, Allahabad |

KOLARIAN FAMILY.

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Sonthál Mundári | (uncertain) | Roman | Phillips and Puxley | 1868-1875, Calcutta |
| | Do. | Nágarí | Nottrott | 1876-1877, Do. |

TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY.

| | | | | |
|----------|-------------|---------|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Lepcha | (uncertain) | Lepcha | Start and Niebel | 1872, Calcutta |
| Munipári | Do. | Bengáli | Carey | 1814-1824, Serampore |
| Burmese | Standard | Burmese | Several persons | At several dates, Serampore, Rangoon |
| Karón | Sgau | Do. | Wade, Mason | 1846-1852, Tavoy |
| Do. | Bghai | Do. | Bennett | |
| Do. | Pwo | Do. | Do. | Do. |
| Tibetan | Standard | Tibetan | Jaeschke | 1863 |

KHASI FAMILY.

| Language. | Dialect. | Character. | Translator. | Date and Place. |
|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Khasi | (uncertain) | Bengali | Carey | 1824, Serampore |
| Do. | Do. | Roman | Lish | 1834, Do. |
| Do. | Do. | Do. | Jones | 1845, Calcutta |

TAI FAMILY.

Siamese Standard Siamese Gutzlaf, Jones 1829, Singapore
Do. Do. Do. Robinson 1850, Bangkok

MON-ANAM FAMILY.

Mon or Peguan } Standard Burmese Haswell 1847, Maulmein

MALAYAN FAMILY.

| Malay | Standard | Arabic | Leidekker and Van der Worm | 1758, Batavia |
|-----------|-------------|----------|----------------------------|---|
| Do. | Do. | Roman | • Do. | 1733, Amsterdam |
| Do. | Low | | Robinson, Medhurst | 1833, Singapore |
| Batta | Toba | Batta | Van der Tuuk | 1859, Amsterdam |
| Do. | Mandailung | Do. | Nommensen | 1878, <i>Preparing</i> |
| Nias | (uncertain) | Roman | Denninger | 1873, Germany |
| Sundanese | Do. | Do. | Grashuis | 1866, Rotterdam |
| Jaranese | Standard | Javanese | Gericke and Roorda | 1848, The Hague |
| Balinese | (uncertain) | Roman | Van Eck | 1878, <i>In the press</i> |
| | | Javanese | | |
| Macassar | Standard | Bugi | Matthes | 1864, Holland |
| Bugi | Do. | Do. | Do. | 1866, Do. |
| Dhyak | Pelopetak | Roman | Hardiland | 1845, Cape of Good Hope. |
| Formosan | (uncertain) | | Gravius | 1661, Holland |
| Malagasy | Standard | Roman | Jones and Griffiths | 1828-35, <i>Antandinariv</i> and London |
| Do. | Do. | Do. | Revision | 1865 |
| Do. | Do. | Do. | Joint-Revision | Proceeding |



PRINTED BY BALLANTYNE, HANNAH, AND CO.,
EDINBURGH AND LONDON. D.



Bound by
Bharti
12, Patwarbagan Lane,
Date.....12 MAY 1999

